WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GISELA BUSTOS, Applicant

VS.

ABM INDUSTRIES INC.; permissibly self-insured, administered by ESIS, *Defendants*

Adjudication Number: ADJ13552142 Santa Ana District Office

OPINION AND DECISION AFTER RECONSIDERATION

We granted reconsideration in order to further study the factual and legal issues in this case. This is our Opinion and Decision After Reconsideration.

Applicant seeks reconsideration of the Findings and Order (F&O) issued by a workers' compensation arbitrator (WCA) on May 4, 2021, wherein the WCA found that applicant did not sustain injury arising out of and in the course of employment (AOE/COE) to her back, left arm, left leg and left knee during the period August 26, 2019 through August 26, 2020.

Applicant contends that the WCA erred by finding that the applicant's claim was barred by the post-termination defense of Labor Code¹ section 3600(a)(10), and that the WCA had a duty to develop the record if the existing evidence was found to be unsubstantial.

We received an Answer from defendant. We received a Report and Recommendation on Petition for Reconsideration (Report) from the WCA, which recommends that we deny reconsideration.

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Reconsideration and the Answer and the contents of the Report with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record, and for the reasons discussed below, we will rescind the F&O and return the matter to the arbitrator due to lack of a proper record. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration.

¹ All further references are to the Labor Code unless otherwise stated.

WCAB Rule 10990 provides that after receipt of a petition for reconsideration, an arbitrator must rescind or amend the entire order, decision or award within 15 days (subdivisions (f)(1), (f)(2), or under subdivision (f)(3), the WCA must submit an electronic copy of the complete record of proceedings to the Appeals Board including:

- (A) The transcript of proceedings, if any;
- (B) A summary of testimony if the proceedings were not transcribed;
- (C) The documentary evidence submitted by each of the parties;
- (D) An opinion that sets forth the rationale for the decision; and
- (E) A report on the petition for reconsideration, consistent with the provisions of rule 10962. The original arbitration record shall not be filed.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, \S 10990(f)(3)(A)-(E); see also Lab. Code, $\S\S$ 3201.5(a)(1), 3201.7(a)(3)(A).)

WCAB Rule 10914 requires the arbitrator to make and maintain the record of the arbitration proceeding, which must include the following:

- (1) Order Appointing Arbitrator;
- (2) Notices of appearance of the parties involved in the arbitration;
- (3) Minutes of the arbitration proceedings, identifying those present, the date of the proceeding, the disposition and those served with the minutes or the identification of the party designated to serve the minutes;
- (4) Pleadings, petitions, objections, briefs and responses filed by the parties with the arbitrator;
 - (5) Exhibits filed by the parties;
 - (6) Stipulations and issues entered into by the parties;
- (7) Arbitrator's Summary of Evidence containing evidentiary rulings, a description of exhibits admitted into evidence, the identification of witnesses who testified and summary of witness testimony;
 - (8) Verbatim transcripts of witness testimony if witness testimony was taken under oath.
- (9) Findings, orders, awards, decisions and opinions on decision made by the arbitrator; and
 - (10) Arbitrator's report on petition for reconsideration, removal or disqualification.

(Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10914(c).)

As with a workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ), an arbitrator's decision must be based on admitted evidence and must be supported by substantial evidence. (Hamilton v. Lockheed Corporation (Hamilton) (2001) 66 Cal. Comp. Cases 473, 476 (Appeals Board en banc).) An adequate and complete record is necessary to understand the basis for the WCJ's decision. (Lab. Code, § 5313; see also Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10566.) "It is the responsibility of the parties and the WCJ to ensure that the record is complete when a case is submitted for decision on the record. At a minimum, the record must contain, in properly organized form, the issues submitted for decision, the admissions and stipulations of the parties, and admitted evidence." (Hamilton, supra, 66 Cal.Comp.Cases at p. 475.) The WCJ's decision must "set[] forth clearly and concisely the reasons for the decision made on each issue, and the evidence relied on," so that "the parties, and the Board if reconsideration is sought, [can] ascertain the basis for the decision[.]... For the opinion on decision to be meaningful, the WCJ must refer with specificity to an adequate and completely developed record." (Id. at p. 476 (citing Evans v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd. (1968) 68 Cal. 2d 753, 755 [33 Cal.Comp.Cases 350] [a full and complete record allows for a meaningful right of reconsideration]; Lewis v. Arlie Rogers & Sons (2003) 69 Cal.Comp.Cases 490, 494, emphasis in original ["decision [must] be based on an ascertainable and adequate record," including "an *orderly identification* in the record of the evidence submitted by a party; and what evidence is admitted or denied admission."].) "An organized evidentiary record assists an arbitrator in rendering a decision, informs the parties what evidence will be utilized by the arbitrator in making a determination, preserves the rights of parties to object to proffered evidence, and affords meaningful review by the Board, or reviewing tribunal." (*Id.*)

Here, the WCA issued the Report dated May 28, 2021, however, *the record does not include* the transcript of proceedings, or submitted evidence. Moreover, the record does not contain any identification of the stipulations, exhibits, and issues at trial.

The Appeals Board may not ignore due process for the sake of expediency. (*Barri v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2018) 28 Cal.App.5th 428, 469 [83 Cal.Comp.Cases 1643] [claimants in workers' compensation proceedings are not denied due process when proceedings are delayed in order to ensure compliance with the mandate to accomplish substantial justice]; *Rucker v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 151, 157-158 [65 Cal.Comp.Cases 805] [all parties to a workers' compensation proceeding retain the fundamental right to due process and a fair hearing under both the California and United States Constitutions].) "Even though

workers' compensation matters are to be handled expeditiously by the Board and its trial judges, administrative efficiency at the expense of due process is not permissible." (*Fremont Indem. Co. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1984) 153 Cal.App.3d 965, 971 [49 Cal.Comp.Cases 288]; see *Ogden Entertainment Services v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (*Von Ritzhoff*) (2014) 233 Cal.App.4th 970, 985 [80 Cal.Comp.Cases 1].)

The Appeals Board's constitutional requirement to accomplish substantial justice means that the Appeals Board must protect the due process rights of every person seeking reconsideration. (See San Bernardino Cmty. Hosp. v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd. (1999) 74 Cal. App. 4th 928, 936 [64 Cal. Comp. Cases 986] ["essence of due process is . . . notice and the opportunity to be heard"]; Katzin v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd. (1992) 5 Cal. App. 4th 703, 710 [57 Cal. Comp. Cases 230].) In fact, "a denial of due process renders the appeals board's decision unreasonable..." and therefore vulnerable to a writ of review. (Von Ritzhoff, supra, 233 Cal. App. 4th at p. 985 citing Lab. Code, § 5952(a), (c).) Thus, due process requires a meaningful consideration of the merits of every case de novo with a well-reasoned decision based on the evidentiary record and the relevant law.

As with a workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ), an arbitrator's decision must be based on admitted evidence and must be supported by substantial evidence. (*Hamilton v. Lockheed Corporation (Hamilton)* (2001) 66 Cal.Comp.Cases 473, 476 (Appeals Board en banc).) Meaningful review of an arbitrator's decision requires that the "decision be based on an ascertainable and adequate record," including "an *orderly identification* in the record of the evidence submitted by a party; and *what evidence is admitted or denied admission.*" (*Lewis v. Arlie Rogers & Sons* (2003) 69 Cal.Comp.Cases 490, 494, emphasis in original.) "An organized evidentiary record assists an arbitrator in rendering a decision, informs the parties what evidence will be utilized by the arbitrator in making a determination, preserves the rights of parties to object to proffered evidence, and affords meaningful review by the Board, or reviewing tribunal." (*Id.*; see also *Evans v. Workmen's Comp. Appeals Bd.* (1968) 68 Cal.2d 753 [a full and complete record allows for a meaningful right of reconsideration].)

We are unable to conduct meaningful review of the Petition or render a decision based on an incomplete record. Accordingly, as our decision after reconsideration, we will rescind the arbitrator's decision and return the matter to the trial level. When the WCA issues a new decision, any aggrieved person may timely seek reconsideration. For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED as the Decision After Reconsideration of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board that the Findings and Order issued by the WCA on May 4, 2021 is RESCINDED and the matter is RETURNED to the trial level for further proceedings consistent with this decision.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

/s/ KATHERINE A. ZALEWSKI, CHAIR

I CONCUR,

/s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER



/s/ JOSÉ H. RAZO, COMMISSIONER

DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

July 24, 2024

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

GISELA BUSTOS GOLDEN & TIMBOL MCNAMARA & DRASS LINDA DAVIDSON-GUERRA, ARBITRATOR

LAS/abs

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. abs