WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA HESS, *Applicant*

vs.

AMN HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC; GALLAGHER BASSETT SERVICES, *Defendants*

Adjudication Number: ADJ10250083 Oakland District Office

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR REMOVAL

We have considered the allegations of the Petition for Removal and the contents of the report of the workers' compensation administrative law judge (WCJ) with respect thereto. Based on our review of the record and based upon the WCJ's analysis of the merits of petitioner's arguments in the WCJ's report, we will deny removal.

Removal is an extraordinary remedy rarely exercised by the Appeals Board. (*Cortez v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2006) 136 Cal.App.4th 596, 599, fn. 5 [71 Cal.Comp.Cases 155]; *Kleemann v. Workers' Comp. Appeals Bd.* (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 274, 280, fn. 2 [70 Cal.Comp.Cases 133].) The Appeals Board will grant removal only if the petitioner shows that substantial prejudice or irreparable harm will result if removal is not granted. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10955(a); see also *Cortez, supra; Kleemann, supra.*) Also, the petitioner must demonstrate that reconsideration will not be an adequate remedy if a final decision adverse to the petitioner ultimately issues. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 8, § 10955(a).) Here, based upon the WCJ's analysis of the merits of petitioner's arguments, we are not persuaded that substantial prejudice or irreparable harm will result if removal is an adequate remedy if the merits of petitioner's arguments, we are not persuaded that substantial prejudice or irreparable harm will result if removal is an adequate remedy if the matter ultimately proceeds to a final decision adverse to petitioner.

Once the parties proceed to trial, they will have an opportunity to raise all relevant issues, and to submit relevant evidence and otherwise create a record. As part of that process, applicant's attorney will have an opportunity to raise the preliminary issue of whether applicant's appearance and participation at trial is warranted. The trial WCJ can then consider the evidence and the legal arguments raised by the parties and determine how best to proceed.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS ORDERED that the Petition for Removal is DENIED.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD

/s/ JOSEPH V. CAPURRO, COMMISSONER

I CONCUR,

<u>s/ KATHERINE A. ZALEWSKI, CHAIR</u>

/s/ CRAIG SNELLINGS, COMMISSIONER



DATED AND FILED AT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

June 26, 2023

SERVICE MADE ON THE ABOVE DATE ON THE PERSONS LISTED BELOW AT THEIR ADDRESSES SHOWN ON THE CURRENT OFFICIAL ADDRESS RECORD.

CYNTHIA HESS BOXER & GERSON, LLP HALLETT EMERICK WELLS & SAREEN

AS/mc

I certify that I affixed the official seal of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board to this original decision on this date. mc