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MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board is to promote, adopt, and maintain reasonable and enforceable standards that will ensure a safe and healthful workplace for California workers.

March 17, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.

TELECONFERENCE AGENDA

PUBLIC MEETING AND BUSINESS MEETING
OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

PLEASE NOTE: In accordance with section 11133 of the Government Code, this Board Meeting will be conducted via teleconference.

Attend the meeting via Video-conference:

1. Go to www.webex.com
2. Select “Join”
3. Enter the meeting information: 268 984 996
4. Enter your name and email address then click “Join Meeting”
5. Video-conference will be opened to the public at 9:50 a.m.

Attend the meeting via Teleconference:

1. Dial (844) 992-4726
2. When prompted, enter 268-984-996
3. When prompted for an Attendee ID, press #
4. Teleconference will be opened to the public at 9:50 a.m.

Live video stream and audio stream (English and Spanish):

1. Go to https://videobookcase.com/california/oshsb/
2. Video stream and audio stream will launch as the meeting starts at 10:00 a.m.

Public Comment Queue – NEW PROCESS:

Those who wish to comment on agenda items may submit a request to be added to the public comment queue either in advance of or during the meeting through one of the following methods:

ONLINE: Provide your information through the online comment queue portal at https://videobookcase.org/oshsb/public-comment-queue-form/

PHONE: Call 510-868-2730 to access the automated comment queue voicemail and provide*: 1) your name as you would like it listed; 2) your affiliation or organization; and 3) the topic you would like to comment on. *Information requested is voluntary and not required to address the Board.
I. CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS

II. PUBLIC MEETING (Open for Public Comment)

This portion of the Public Meeting is open to any interested person to propose new or revised standards to the Board or to make any comment concerning occupational safety and health (Labor Code Section 142.2). The Board is not permitted to take action on items that are not on the noticed agenda, but may refer items to staff for future consideration.

This portion of the meeting is also open to any person who wishes to address the Board on any item on today’s Business Meeting Agenda (Government Code Section 11125.7).

Any individual or group planning to make a presentation during the Public Meeting is requested to contact Sarah Money, Executive Assistant, at (916) 274-5721 in advance of the meeting so that any logistical concerns can be addressed.

A. PUBLIC COMMENT

B. ADJOURNMENT OF THE PUBLIC MEETING

III. BUSINESS MEETING – All matters on this Business Meeting agenda are subject to such discussion and action as the Board determines to be appropriate.

The purpose of the Business Meeting is for the Board to conduct its monthly business.

A. PROPOSED SAFETY ORDER FOR ADOPTION

1. TITLE 8: GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS
   Chapter 3.5, subchapter 1, section 411
   Applications for Permanent Variances

B. PROPOSED VARIANCE DECISIONS FOR ADOPTION

1. Consent Calendar

C. REPORTS

1. Division Update

2. Legislative Update
3. Executive Officer’s Report

D. NEW BUSINESS

1. Future Agenda Items

Although any Board Member may identify a topic of interest, the Board may not substantially discuss or take action on any matter raised during the meeting that is not included on this agenda, except to decide to place the matter on the agenda of a future meeting. (Government Code sections 11125 & 11125.7(a).)

E. CLOSED SESSION

Matters on Appeal

1. 22-V-023T Building Zone Industries, Inc. (BZI)

2. 22-V-024T USA Waste of California, Inc. dba Blue Barrel Disposal Services

Matters Pending Litigation

1. Western States Petroleum Association (WSPA) v. California Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (OSHSB), et al. United States District Court (Eastern District of California) Case No. 2:19-CV-01270

2. WSPA v. OSHSB, et al., County of Sacramento, CA Superior Court Case No. 34-2019-00260210

3. Western Growers Association, California Farm Bureau Federation, et. al. v OSHSB, et al., County of San Francisco, CA Superior Court Case No. CPF-21-517344

Personnel

F. RETURN TO OPEN SESSION

1. Report from Closed Session

G. ADJOURNMENT OF THE BUSINESS MEETING
Next Meeting: April 21, 2022
In-Person and via Teleconference and Video-conference

Harris State Building
Auditorium
1515 Clay Street
Oakland, CA 94612
10:00 a.m.
CLOSED SESSION

1. If necessary, consideration of personnel matters. (Government Code section 11126(a)(1)).

2. If necessary, consideration of pending litigation pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(1).

PUBLIC COMMENT

Efforts will be made to accommodate each individual who has signed up to speak. However, given time constraints, there is no guarantee that all who have signed up will be able to address the State body.

Each speaker is invited to speak for up to two minutes. The Board Chair may extend the speaking time allotted where practicable.

The total time for public comment is 120 minutes, unless extended by the Board Chair.

The public can speak/participate at the meetings before items that involve decisions.

In addition to public comment during Public Hearings, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (Board) affords an opportunity to members of the public to address the Board on items of interest that are either on the Business Meeting agenda, or within the Board’s jurisdiction but are not on the noticed agenda, during the Public Meeting. The Board is not permitted to take action on items that are not on the noticed agenda, but may refer items to staff for future consideration. The Board reserves the right to limit the time for speakers.

DISABILITY ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

Disability accommodation is available upon request. Any person with a disability requiring an accommodation, auxiliary aid or service, or a modification of policies or procedures to ensure effective communication and access to the public hearings/meetings of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board should contact the Disability Accommodation Coordinator at (916) 274-5721 or the state-wide Disability Accommodation Coordinator at 1-866-326-1616 (toll free). The state-wide Coordinator can also be reached through the California Relay Service, by dialing 711 or 1-800-735-2929 (TTY) or 1-800-855-3000 (TTY-Spanish).

Accommodations can include modifications of policies or procedures or provision of auxiliary aids or services. Accommodations include, but are not limited to, an Assistive Listening System (ALS), a Computer-Aided Transcription System or Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), a sign-language interpreter, documents in Braille, large print or on computer disk, and audio cassette recording. Accommodation requests should be made as soon as possible. Requests for an ALS or CART should be made no later than five (5) days before the meeting.
TRANSLATION

Requests for translation services should be made no later than five (5) days before the meeting.

NOTE: Written comments may be emailed directly to oshsb@dir.ca.gov no later than 5:00 p.m. on the Tuesday prior to a scheduled Board Meeting.

Under Government Code section 11123, subdivision (a), all meetings of a state body are open and public, and all persons are permitted to attend any meeting of a state body, except as otherwise provided in that article. The Board Chair may adopt reasonable time limits for public comments in order to ensure that the purpose of public discussion is carried out. (Gov. Code, §11125.7, subd. (b).)

Pursuant to section 11133 of the Government Code, certain provisions of the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act are suspended until January 31, 2022. Executive Order N-1-22 has suspended the sunset date of Government Code section 11133, subdivision (g), until March 31, 2022. This meeting of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board will be conducted remotely via video/teleconference only. None of the locations from which the Board Members will participate will be open to the public. Members of the public who wish to participate in the meeting may do so via livestream on our website at https://videobookcase.com/california/oshsb/. The video recording and transcript of this meeting will be posted on our website as soon as practicable.

For questions regarding this meeting, please call (916) 274-5721.
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Meeting Notice
NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING AND BUSINESS MEETING
OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.4 and the provisions of Labor Code Sections 142.1, 142.2, 142.3, 142.4, and 144.6, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board of the State of California has set the time and place for a Public Meeting and Business Meeting:

PUBLIC MEETING: On March 17, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. via the following:

- Video-conference at www.webex.com (meeting ID 268 984 996)
- Teleconference at (844) 992-4726 (Access code 268 984 996)
- Live video stream and audio stream (English and Spanish) at https://videobookcase.com/california/oshsb/

At the Public Meeting, the Board will make time available to receive comments or proposals from interested persons on any item concerning occupational safety and health.

BUSINESS MEETING: On March 17, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. via the following:

- Video-conference at www.webex.com (meeting ID 268 984 996)
- Teleconference at (844) 992-4726 (Access code 268 984 996)
- Live video stream and audio stream (English and Spanish) at https://videobookcase.com/california/oshsb/

At the Business Meeting, the Board will conduct its monthly business.

DISABILITY ACCOMMODATION NOTICE: Disability accommodation is available upon request. Any person with a disability requiring an accommodation, auxiliary aid or service, or a modification of policies or procedures to ensure effective communication and access to the public hearings/meetings of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board should contact the Disability Accommodation Coordinator at (916) 274-5721 or the state-wide Disability Accommodation Coordinator at 1-866-326-1616 (toll free). The state-wide Coordinator can also be reached through the California Relay Service, by dialing 711 or 1-800-735-2929 (TTY) or 1-800-855-3000 (TTY-Spanish).

Accommodations can include modifications of policies or procedures or provision of auxiliary aids or services. Accommodations include, but are not limited to, an Assistive Listening System (ALS), a Computer-Aided Transcription System or Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART), a sign-language interpreter, documents in Braille, large print or on computer disk, and audio cassette recording. Accommodation requests should be made as soon as possible. Requests for an ALS or CART should be made no later than five (5) days before the hearing.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

DAVE THOMAS, Chairman
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Business Meeting
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Business Meeting
Standards for Adoption

Applications for Permanent Variances
MOVED, That the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, On December 3, 2021, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.4, fixed the time and place for a Public Hearing to consider the revisions to Title 8, General Industry Safety Orders, Chapter 3.5, subchapter 1, section 411, Applications for Permanent Variances.

WHEREAS, Such Public Hearing was held via teleconference and videoconference in Sacramento, California, on January 20, 2022, and there are now before the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board the proposed revisions to Title 8, General Industry Safety Orders, Chapter 3.5, subchapter 1, section 411, Applications for Permanent Variances; therefore, be it

RESOLVED By the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board in regular meeting held via teleconference and videoconference in Sacramento, California, on March 17, 2022, that the proposed revisions to Title 8, General Industry Safety Orders, Chapter 3.5, subchapter 1, section 411, Applications for Permanent Variances, be adopted.

RESOLVED That the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board shall file with the Office of Administrative Law a sufficient number of copies of said filing documents and a copy of the rulemaking file for use by the Office of Administrative Law.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

_____________________________________________________

DAVE THOMAS, CHAIRMAN

_____________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________

Certified As A Regulation
Of the Occupational Safety
And Health Standards Board

BY: ______________________________________

Christina Shupe, Executive Officer

DATED: March 17, 2022
TITLE 8

CHAPTER 3.5, SUBCHAPTER 1, ARTICLE 2
SECTION 411

APPLICATIONS FOR PERMANENT VARIANCES

HYPERLINKS TO RULEMAKING DOCUMENTS:

TEXT FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION

FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Business Meeting

Proposed Variance Decisions
CONSENT CALENDAR—PROPOSED VARIANCE DECISIONS
MARCH 17, 2022, MONTHLY BUSINESS MEETING
OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

A. MITSUBISHI ELEVATORS (GROUP IV) — HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-675</td>
<td>Bay Meadows Station 5 Investors, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-676</td>
<td>Mission Rock Parcel B Owner, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-677</td>
<td>Universal Studios LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. TK ELEVATORS EVOLUTION (GROUP IV) — HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-578</td>
<td>JOMER, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-579</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-580</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-581</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-582</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-583</td>
<td>Lomita and Crenshaw Acquisition, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-584</td>
<td>MG-Island, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-585</td>
<td>North Park Community Corner, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-632</td>
<td>Brian Na</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. OTIS GEN2(O) AND/OR GEN2L ELEVATORS (GROUP IV) — HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-641</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-642</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. OTIS ELEVATOR (GROUP IV) GEN2(O) AND/OR GEN2L ALTERATIONS—HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-643</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. OTIS GEN2S ELEVATORS (GROUP IV)—HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-660</td>
<td>Balco Co</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-669</td>
<td>CV 5935 Pico, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-670</td>
<td>CV OW Parcel J Owner, LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F. KONE MONOSPACE 500 ELEVATORS—HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-671</td>
<td>Santa Ana Pacific Associates II, a California LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-672</td>
<td>UCLA NIMOY Theater</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-673</td>
<td>Wakeland Wilcox LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-678</td>
<td>IQHQ Elco Yards, LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-679</td>
<td>IQHQ Elco Yards, LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-680</td>
<td>2231 S. Western (LA), LLC</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-681</td>
<td>Neuehouse</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-682</td>
<td>Wakeland Quincy LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G. **DELMAS PARK ASSOCIATES LP — HEARD FEBRUARY 23, 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB FILE NUMBER</th>
<th>APPLICANT NAME</th>
<th>SAFETY ORDERS</th>
<th>PROPOSED DECISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04-V-054M1</td>
<td>Delmas Park Associates LP</td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>GRANT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance regarding:
Mitsubishi Elevators (Group IV)

OSHSB File No.: see grid in Item A.1 of Proposed Decision Dated: February 24, 2022

DECISION

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

DAVID THOMAS, Chairman

BARBARA BURGEL, Member

KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member

DAVID HARRISON, Member

NOLA KENNEDY, Member

CHRIS LASZCZ-DAVIS, Member

LAURA STOCK, Member

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.
BEFORE THE
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Application for
Permanent Variance Regarding:

Mitsubishi Elevators (Group IV)

OSHSB File Nos.: See section A.1 Table
PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. Procedural Matters:

1. Each below listed applicant (“Applicant”) has applied for permanent variance from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, with respect to a conveyance, or conveyances, in the listed quantity, at the listed location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-675</td>
<td>Bay Meadows Station 5 Investors, LLC</td>
<td>3150 South Delaware St. San Mateo, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-676</td>
<td>Mission Rock Parcel B Owner, LLC</td>
<td>1051 3rd Street - BLDG B San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-677</td>
<td>Universal Studios LLC</td>
<td>444 W. Universal Hollywood Drive Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The safety orders at issue are set forth in the prefatory portion of the Decision and Order. This proceeding is conducted in accordance with Labor Code section 143, and California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 401, et. seq.

3. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, via teleconference, by delegation of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 426.

4. At the hearing, Carolina Castaneda, with Mitsubishi Electric, Elevator Division, appeared on behalf of each Applicant, Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”), and Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.
5. At the hearing, documentary and oral evidence was received, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were accepted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Permanent variance applications per section A.1 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Official Notice is taken of the Board’s rulemaking records and variance decisions concerning the safety order requirements from which variance is requested. At the close of hearing on February 23, 2022, the record was closed and the matter taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

B. Findings of Fact:

Based on the record of this proceeding, the Board makes the following findings of fact:

1. Each section A table specified Applicant intends to utilize Mitsubishi elevators at the location and in the number stated in the table in Item A. The installation contracts for these elevators were signed on or after May 1, 2008, thus making the elevators subject to the Group IV Elevator Safety Orders.


3. As reflected in the record of this matter, including Board staff Pending Application for Permanent Variance Opinion Letter as PD-3, Division evaluation as PD-4, and testimony at hearing, it is the professionally informed opinion of Board staff and Division, that grant of requested variance, subject to conditions and limitations in substantial conforming with those set out per below Decision and Order, will provide Occupational Safety and Health equivalent or superior to that provided by the safety order requirements from which variance is sought.

C. Conclusive Findings:

The above stated procedural prerequisites, legal authority, and factual findings, as further supported by the documentary record and hearing testimony in this matter, provide a
Proposed Variance Decision
Mitsubishi Elevators (Group IV)
Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

substantive and reasonable basis of conclusion that: (1) Each Applicant has complied with the statutory and regulatory requirements that must be met before an application for permanent variance may be conditionally granted, and (2) a preponderance of the evidence establishes that each Applicants proposal, subject to all conditions and limitations set forth in the below Decision and Order, will provide equivalent safety and health to that which would prevail upon full compliance with the requirements of California Code of Regulation, title 8, Elevator Safety Orders from which variance is being sought.

D. Decision and Order:

As of such date as the Board adopts this Proposed Decision, each Application for Permanent Variance listed in the above section A.1 table, is conditionally GRANTED to the extent each Applicant of record shall have permanent variance from California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 3141 [ASME A17.1-2004, sections 2.10.2.2 (only to the extent necessary to permit the intermediate rail to be located at a point other than halfway between the top rail and the surface on which the railing is installed), 2.10.2.4 (only to the extent necessary to permit a bevel sloping that conforms with the variance conditions) and 2.14.1.7.1 (only to the extent necessary to permit the car top railing to be inset to clear obstructions when the conveyance is elevated to perform work on the machine and/or governor). The variance applies to the location and number of elevators stated in the section A.1 table, and the variance is subject to the above limitations and following conditions:

1. The car top railing may be inset only to the extent necessary to clear obstructions when the conveyance is located at the top landing to perform work on the machine and/or governor.

2. Serviceable equipment shall be positioned so that mechanics, inspectors, and others working on the car top can remain positioned on the car top within the confines of the railings and do not have to climb on or over railings to perform adjustment, maintenance, minor repairs, inspections, or similar tasks. Persons performing those tasks are not to stand on or climb over railing, and those persons shall not remove handrails unless the equipment has been secured from movement and approved personal fall protection is used.

3. All exposed areas outside the car top railing shall preclude standing or placing objects or persons which may fall, and shall be beveled from an intermediate or bottom rail to the outside of the car top.

4. The top surface of the beveled area shall be clearly marked. The markings shall consist of alternating 4-inch red and white diagonal stripes.
5. The Applicant shall provide a durable sign with lettering not less than ½-inch high on a contrasting background. The sign shall be located on the inset top railing; the sign shall be visible from the access side of the car top, and the sign shall state:

CAUTION
DO NOT STAND ON OR CLIMB OVER RAILING.
PERSONNEL ARE PROHIBITED FROM REMOVING HANDRAIL UNLESS THE EQUIPMENT HAS BEEN SECURED FROM MOVEMENT AND APPROVED PERSONAL FALL PROTECTION IS USED.

6. The Group IV requirements for car top clearances shall be maintained (car top clearances outside the railing will be measured from the car top and not from the required bevel).

7. A mechanical means (e.g., locking bar mechanism) that will secure the car to the guide rail to prevent unintended movement shall be provided and used during machine and/or governor car-top work. The mechanical means (e.g., locking bar mechanism) shall have a safety factor of not less than 3.5 for the total unbalanced load.

8. An electrical switch or a lockout/tagout procedure shall be provided that will remove power from the driving machine and brake when the mechanical means (e.g., locking bar mechanism) is engaged.

9. In order to inhibit employees from working outside the car top railing, sections shall not be hinged and they shall be installed by means that will inhibit (but not necessarily completely preclude) removal. The Applicant shall ensure that all persons performing work that requires removal of any part of the car top railing are provided with fall protection that is appropriate and suitable for the assigned work. That fall protection shall consist of a personal fall arrest system or fall restraint system that complies with California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 1670.

10. The bevel utilized by the Applicant in accordance with the variance granted from ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.10.2.4 shall slope at not less than 75 degrees from the horizontal to serve as the toe board; however, that slope may be reduced to a minimum of 40 degrees from the horizontal as may be required for sections where machine encroachment occurs.

11. If the Applicant directs or allows its employees to perform tasks on the car top, the Applicant shall develop, implement, and document a safety training program that shall provide training to Applicant employees. Components of the training shall include, but
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not necessarily be limited to, the following: car blocking procedures; how examination, inspection, adjustment, repair, removal and replacement of elevator components are to be performed safely, consistent with the requirements of the variance conditions; applicable provisions of the law and other sources of safety practices regarding the operation of the elevator. A copy of the training program shall be located in the control room of each elevator that is the subject of this variance, and a copy of the training program shall be attached to a copy of this variance that shall be retained in any building where an elevator subject to this variance is located. The Applicant shall not allow Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) or other contractor personnel to work on the top of any elevator subject to this variance unless the Applicant first ascertains from the CQCC or other contractor that the personnel in question have received training equivalent to, or more extensive than, the training components referred to in this condition.

12. Any CQCC performing inspections, maintenance, servicing, or testing of the elevators shall be provided a copy of this variance decision.

13. The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. The elevator shall be inspected by the Division, and a Permit to Operate shall be issued before the elevator is placed in service.

14. The Applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way and to the same extent that employees and authorized representatives are to be notified of docketed permanent variance applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 8, sections 411.2 and 411.3.

15. This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division, or by the Board on its own motion, in the manner prescribed for its issuance.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 426(b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 24, 2022

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance regarding:

TK Elevator Evolution (Group IV)

OSHSB File No.: see grid in Item A.1 of Proposed Decision Dated: February 24, 2022

DECISION

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

DAVID THOMAS, Chairman

BARBARA BURGEL, Member

KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member

DAVID HARRISON, Member

NOLA KENNEDY, Member

CHRISt LAsZCZ-DAVIc, Member

LAURA STOCK, Member

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance Regarding:

TK Elevator Evolution (Group IV)

OSHSB File Nos.: Per Section A.1 table

PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. Procedural Matters

1. The below listed Applicants ("Applicant") have applied for permanent variance from certain provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, with respect to a conveyance, or conveyances, in the listed quantity, at the listed location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-578</td>
<td>JOMER, LLC</td>
<td>4473 30th Street San Diego, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-579</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>4201-4710 Rivington - Building A Irvine, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-580</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>3100-3715 Rivington - Building B Irvine, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-581</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>2102-2713 Rivington - Building C Irvine, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-582</td>
<td>Lennar Homes</td>
<td>1103-1710 Rivington - Building D Irvine, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-583</td>
<td>Lomita and Crenshaw Acquisition, LLC</td>
<td>2457 Lomita Boulevard Lomita, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-584</td>
<td>MG-Island, LLC</td>
<td>1155 Island Ave. San Diego, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-585</td>
<td>North Park Community Corner, LLC</td>
<td>3066 North Park Way San Diego, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Unless otherwise noted, references are to the California Code of Regulations, title 8.
2. These proceedings are conducted in accordance with Labor Code section 143, and section 401, et. seq.

3. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California via teleconference, by delegation of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426.

4. At the hearing, Kathleen E. Finnerty of Finnerty Law Offices, Inc., Andrew Ferris, with TK Elevator appeared on behalf of the Applicant, Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”), and Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff acting in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.

5. Documentary and oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Application(s) for Permanent Variance per section A.1 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Review of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Review of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Official notice is taken of the Board’s files, records, recordings and decisions concerning the Elevator Safety Order requirements from which variance shall issue. On February 23, 2022, the hearing and record closed, and the matter was taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

B. Relevant Safety Orders

Variance Request No. 1 (ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.14.1.7.1)

2.14.1.7.1 A standard railing conforming to 2.10.2 shall be provided on the outside perimeter of the car top on all sides where the perpendicular distance between the edges of the car top and the adjacent hoistway enclosure exceeds 300 mm (12 in.) horizontal clearance.
Variance Request No. 2A (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.1)

2.20.1 Suspension Means

Elevator cars shall be suspended by steel wire ropes attached to the car frame or passing around sheaves attached to the car frame specified in 2.15.1. Ropes that have previously been installed and used on another installation shall not be reused.

Only iron (low-carbon steel) or steel wire ropes, having the commercial classification "Elevator Wire Rope," or wire rope specifically constructed for elevator use, shall be used for the suspension of elevator cars and for the suspension of counterweights. The wire material for ropes shall be manufactured by the open-hearth or electric furnace process or their equivalent.

Variance Request No. 2B (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.2[.1])

2.20.2.1 On Crosshead Data Plate.

The crosshead data plate required by 2.16.3 shall bear the following wire-rope data:

(a) the number of ropes

(b) the diameter in millimeters (mm) or inches (in.)

(c) the manufacturer's rated breaking strength per rope in kilo Newton (kN) or pounds (lb)

Variance Request No. 2C (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.2.2)

2.20.2.2 On Rope Data Tag.

A metal data tag shall be securely attached to one of the wire-rope fastenings. This data tag shall bear the following wire-rope data:

(a) the diameter in millimeters (mm) or inches (in.)

[...]

(f) whether the ropes were nonpreformed or preformed

[...]
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Variance Request No. 2D. (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.3)

2.20.3 Factor of Safety

The factor of safety of the suspension wire ropes shall be not less than shown in Table 2.20.3. Figure 8.2.7 gives the minimum factor of safety for intermediate rope speeds. The factor of safety shall be based on the actual rope speed corresponding to the rated speed of the car.

The factor of safety shall be calculated by the following formula:

\[ f = \frac{S \times N}{W} \]

where

\[ N = \text{number of runs of rope under load. For 2:1 roping, } N \text{ shall be two times the number of ropes used, etc.} \]

\[ S = \text{manufacturer's rated breaking strength of one rope} \]

\[ W = \text{maximum static load imposed on all car ropes with the car and its rated load at any position in the hoistway} \]

Variance Request No. 2E (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.4)

2.20.4 Minimum Number and Diameter of Suspension Ropes

The minimum number of hoisting ropes used shall be three for traction elevators and two for drum-type elevators.

Where a car counterweight is used, the number of counterweight ropes used shall be not less than two.

The term "diameter," where used in reference to ropes, shall refer to the nominal diameter as given by the rope manufacturer.

The minimum diameter of hoisting and counterweight ropes shall be 9.5 mm (0.375 in.). Outer wires of the ropes shall be not less than 0.56 mm (0.024 in.) in diameter.

Variance Request No. 2F (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.9.1)

2.20.9 Suspension-Rope Fastening

2.20.9.1 Type of Rope Fastenings. The car and counterweight ends of suspension
wire ropes, or the stationary hitch-ends where multiple roping is used, shall be
fastened in such a manner that all portions of the rope, except the portion inside
the rope sockets, shall be readily visible.

Fastening shall be

(a) by individual tapered rope sockets (see 2.20.9.4) or other types of rope
fastenings that have undergone adequate tensile engineering tests, provided that

(1) such fastenings conform to 2.20.9.2 and 2.20.9.3;

(2) the rope socketing is such as to develop at least 80% of the ultimate breaking
strength of the strongest rope to be used in such fastenings; or

(b) by individual wedge rope sockets (see 2.20.9.5); and

(c) U-bolt-type rope clamps or similar devices shall not be used for suspension
rope fastenings.

Variance Request No. 3 (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.26.9.4)

2.26.9.4 Redundant devices used to satisfy 2.26.9.3 in the determination of the
occurrence of a single ground, or the failure of any single magnetically operated
switch, contactor or relay, or of any single solid state device, or any single device
that limits the leveling or truck zone, or a software system failure, shall be
checked prior to each start of the elevator from a landing, when on automatic
operation. When a single ground or failure, as specified in 2.26.9.3, occurs, the
car shall not be permitted to restart. Implementation of redundancy by a
software system is permitted, provided that the removal of power from the
driving-machine motor and brake shall not be solely dependent on
software-controlled means.

Variance Request No. 4 (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.26.9.6.1)

2.26.9.6.1 Two separate means shall be provided to independently inhibit the
flow of alternating-current through the solid state devices that connect the
direct-current power source to the alternating-current driving motor. At least one
of the means shall be an electromechanical relay.

Variance Request No. 5 (ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.26.1.4[.1](a))

2.26.1.4.1 General Requirements

(a) Operating devices for inspection operation shall be provided on the top of the
car and shall also be permitted in the car and in the machine room.
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Variance Request No. 6 (ASME A17.1-2004, section 8.4.10.1.1(a)(2)(b))

8.4.10.1.1 Earthquake Equipment (See Also Fig. 8.4.10.1.1)

(a) All traction elevators operating at a rated speed of 0.75 m/s (150 ft/min) or more and having counterweights located in the same hoistway shall be provided with the following:

(1) seismic zone 3 or greater: a minimum of one seismic switch per building

(2) seismic zone 2 or greater:

(a) a displacement switch for each elevator

(b) an identified momentary reset button or switch for each elevator, located in the control panel in the elevator machine room [see 8.4.10.1.3(i)]

C. Findings

1. Applicant proposes to utilize inset car top railings and guards in compliance with ASME 17.1-2013, section 2.14.1.7.1 and the Vivante Westside, LLC File No. 18-V-364 (Nov. 20, 2020) decision (Vivante). Applicant further claims that the request is consistent with the Vivante, the Mack Urban, LLC, File No. 15-V-349 (Nov. 17, 2016), and the Patton Equities, LLC File No. 20-V-128 (Nov. 12, 2020) decisions (Patton Equities).

2. Applicant proposes to utilize noncircular elastomeric-coated steel belts (“ECSBs”) rather than steel ropes in a machine room-less (“MRL”) elevator installation, with updated data plates, data tags, and wedge sockets designed for use with ECSBs, as well as the appropriate factor of safety criteria conforming to ASME 17.1-2013, with a continuous residual strength detection device (“RSDD”) compliant with the San Francisco Public Works (File No. 21-V-061, et al.) decisions.

3. The installation shall utilize the TK Elevator Model 104DP001 RSDD, accepted by the Division on May 4, 2021.


5. Applicant proposes to use TKE’s control systems, using the TKE TAC32T Controller with SIL3 rated elements, to provide equivalent safety to ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.26.9.4 as a means to inhibit flow of Alternating Current to the Driving Motor in compliance with ASME A17.1-2013, section
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2.26.9.6.

6. Applicant proposes to locate the Inspection Transfer Switch within the machinery/control room/space in the MRL installation, in compliance with ASME 17.1-2013, section 2.26.1.4.

7. Applicant proposes to locate the Seismic-Operation Reset Switch in the machinery/control room/space in the MRL installation.

D. Decision and Order

Applicant is hereby conditionally GRANTED Permanent Variance as specified below, and to the limited extent, as of the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision, with respect to the section A specified number of TKE EVO 200 elevator(s), at the specified location, each shall conditionally hold permanent variance from the following subparts of ASME A17.1-2004, currently incorporated by reference into section 3141 of the Elevator Safety Orders:

- Car-Top Railing: 2.14.1.7.1 (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the use of an inset car-top railing)

- Suspension Means: 2.20.1, 2.20.2.1, 2.20.2.2(a), 2.20.2.2(f), 2.20.3, 2.20.4, and 2.20.9.1 (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the use of the elastomeric-coated steel belts in lieu of circular steel suspension ropes)

- Inspection transfer switch: 2.26.1.4.4(a) (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the inspection transfer switch to reside at a location other than the machine room)

- Software Reliant Means to Remove Power: 2.26.9.4 (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the exclusive use of SIL-rated software systems as a means to remove power from the driving machine motor and brake)

- SIL-Rated Circuitry to Inhibit Current Flow: 2.26.9.6.1 (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the use of SIL-rated circuitry in place of an electromechanical relay to inhibit current flow to the drive motor)

- Seismic reset switch: 8.4.10.1.1(a)(2)(b) (Limited to the extent necessary to permit the seismic reset switch to reside at a location other than the machine room)

Inset Car Top Railing (Variance Request No. 1):

1.0 Any and all inset car top railings shall comply with the following:

1.1 Serviceable equipment shall be positioned so that mechanics and inspectors do not have to stand on or climb over the railings to perform adjustments, maintenance,
repaired or inspections. The Applicant shall not permit trained elevator mechanics or elevator service personnel to stand or climb over the car top railing.

1.2 The distance that the railing can be inset shall be limited to not more than six inches (6”).

1.3 All exposed areas of the car top outside the car top railing where the distance from the railing to the edge of the car top exceeds two inches (2”), shall be beveled with metal, at an angle of not less than 75 degrees with the horizontal, from the mid or top rail to the outside of the car top, such that no person or object can stand, sit, kneel, rest, or be placed in the exposed areas.

1.4 The top surface of the beveled area and/or car top outside the railing, shall be clearly marked. The markings shall consist of alternating 4” diagonal red and white stripes.

1.5 The Applicant shall provide durable signs with lettering not less than 1/2 inch on a contrasting background on each inset railing; each sign shall state:

   CAUTION
   STAY INSIDE RAILING
   NO LEANING BEYOND RAILING
   NO STEPPING ON, OR BEYOND, RAILING

1.6 The Group IV requirements for car top clearances shall be maintained (car top clearances outside the railing will be measured from the car top and not from the required bevel).

Suspension Means (Variance Request No. 2):

2.0 The elevator suspension system shall comply with the following:

2.1 The elastomeric coated steel belts (ECSBs) and their associated fastenings shall conform to the applicable requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, sections:

   2.20.4.3 – Minimum Number of Suspension Members
   2.20.3 – Factor of Safety
   2.20.9 – Suspension Member Fastening

2.2 Additionally, ECSBs shall meet or exceed all requirements of ASME A17.6 2010, Standard for Elevator Suspension, Compensation, and Governor Systems, Part 3 Noncircular Elastomeric Coated Steel Suspension Members for Elevators.

2.3 The Applicant shall not utilize the elevator unless the manufacturer has written procedures for the installation, maintenance, inspection and testing of the ECSBs.
and fastenings and related monitoring and detection systems and criteria for ECSB replacement, and the Applicant shall make those procedures and criteria available to the Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) at the location of the elevator, and to the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Division) upon request.

2.4 ECSB mandatory replacement criteria shall include:

2.4.1. Any exposed wire, strand or cord;

2.4.2. Any wire, strand or cord breaks through the elastomeric coating;

2.4.3. Any evidence of rouging (steel tension element corrosion) on any part of the elastomeric coated steel suspension member;

2.4.4. Any deformation in the elastomeric suspension member such as, but not limited to, kinks or bends.

2.5 Traction drive sheaves must have a minimum diameter of 112 mm. The maximum speed of ECSBs running on 112 mm drive sheaves shall be no greater than 6.1 m/s.

2.6 If any one (1) ECSB needs replacement, the complete set of suspension members on the elevator shall be replaced. Exception: If a new suspension member is damaged during installation, and prior to any contemporaneously installed ECSB having been placed into service, it is permissible to replace the individual damaged suspension member. ECSBs that have been installed on another installation shall not be reused.

2.7 A traction loss detection means shall be provided that conforms to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, section 2.20.8.1. The means shall be tested for correct function annually in accordance with ASME A17.1-2013, section 8.6.4.19.12.

2.8 A broken suspension member detection means shall be provided that conforms to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, section 2.20.8.2. The means shall be tested for correct function annually in accordance with ASME A17.1-2013, section 8.6.4.19.13(a).

2.9 An elevator controller integrated bend cycle monitoring system shall monitor actual ECSB bend cycles, by means of continuously counting, and storing in nonvolatile memory, the number of trips that the ECSB makes traveling, and thereby being bent, over the elevator sheaves. The bend cycle limit monitoring means shall automatically stop the car normally at the next available landing before the bend cycle correlated residual strength of any single ECSB member drops below (60%) sixty percent of full rated strength. The monitoring means shall prevent the car from restarting. Notwithstanding any less frequent periodic testing requirement per
Addendum 2 (Division Circular Letter), the bend cycle monitoring system shall be tested semiannually in accordance with the procedures required per above Conditions 2.3 and 2.4.

2.10 The elevator crosshead data plate shall comply with the requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, section 2.20.2.1.

2.11 A suspension means data tag shall be provided that complies with the requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, section 2.20.2.2.

2.12 Comprehensive visual inspections of the entire length of each and all installed suspension members, in conformity with above Conditions 2.3 and 2.4 specified criteria, shall be conducted and documented every six (6) months by a CCCM.

2.13 The Applicant shall be subject to the requirements per hereto attached, and inhere incorporated, Addendum 1, “Suspension Means Replacement Reporting Condition.”

2.14 Records of all tests and inspections shall be maintenance records subject to ASME A17.1-2004, sections 8.6.1.2, and 8.6.1.4, respectively.

2.15 The subject elevators(s) shall be equipped with a TK Elevator Model 104DP001 Residual Strength Detection Device accepted by the Division on May 4, 2021 or Division accepted equivalent device.

Control and Operating Circuits

Combined Software Redundant Devices with Software Removal of Power from Driving Motor and Brake (Variance Request No. 3)

Removal of Power from Driving Motor Without Electro-mechanical Switches (Variance Request No. 4)

3.0 The SIL rated circuitry used to provide device/circuit redundancy and to inhibit electrical current flow in accordance with ASME A17.1-2004, sections 2.26.9.4 and 2.26.9.6.1 shall comply with the following:

3.1 The SIL rated systems and related circuits shall consist of:

3.1.1. ELGO LIMAX33 RED, (aka LIMAX3R-03-050-0500-CNXTG-RJU), Safe Magnetic Absolute Shaft Information System, labeled or marked with the SIL rating (not less than SIL 3), the name or mark of the certifying organization, and the SIL certification number (968/A 163), followed by the applicable revision number (as in 968/A 163.07/19).

3.1.2 Printed circuit board assembly SSOA (6300 AHE001), labeled or marked with the SIL rating (not less than SIL 3), the name or mark of the certifying organization, and the SIL certification number (968/FSP 1347), followed by the
applicable revision number (as in 968/FSP 1347.00/16).

3.1.3 Two circuit board components (Serializer S3I and S3O), each labeled or marked with the SIL rating (not less than SIL 3), the name or mark of the certifying organization and the SIL certification number (968/A 162), followed by the applicable revision number (as in 968/A 162.04/18)

3.2 The software system and related circuits shall be certified for compliance with the applicable requirements of ASME A17.1-2013, section 2.26.4.3.2.

3.3 The access door or cover of the enclosures containing the SIL rated components shall be clearly labeled or tagged on their exterior with the statement:

\textit{Assembly contains SIL rated devices.}

\textit{Refer to maintenance Control Program and wiring diagrams prior to performing work.}

3.4 Unique maintenance procedures or methods required for the inspection, testing, or replacement of the SIL rated circuits shall be developed and a copy maintained in the elevator machine/control room/space. The procedures or methods shall include clear color photographs of each SIL rated component, with notations identifying parts and locations.

3.5 Wiring diagrams that include part identification, SIL, and certification information shall be maintained in the elevator machine/control room/space.

3.6 A successful test of the SIL rated circuits shall be conducted initially and not less than annually in accordance with the testing procedure. The test shall demonstrate that SIL rated devices, safety functions, and related circuits operate as intended.

3.7 Any alterations to the SIL rated circuits shall be made in compliance with the Elevator Safety Orders. If the Elevator Safety Orders do not contain specific provisions for the alteration of SIL rated devices, the alterations shall be made in conformance with ASME A17.1-2013, section 8.7.1.9.

3.8 Any replacement of the SIL rated circuits shall be made in compliance with the Elevator Safety Orders. If the Elevator Safety Orders do not contain specific provisions for the replacement of SIL rated devices, the replacement shall be made in conformance with ASME A17.1-2013, section 8.6.3.14.

3.9 Any repairs to the SIL rated circuits shall be made in compliance with the Elevator Safety Orders. If the Elevator Safety Orders do not contain specific provisions for the repair of SIL rated devices, the repairs shall be made in conformance with ASME A17.1-2013, section 8.6.2.6.
3.10 Any space containing SIL rated circuits shall be maintained within the temperature and humidity range specified by TKE. The temperature and humidity range shall be posted on each enclosure containing SIL rated software or circuits.

3.11 Field software changes to the SIL rated system are not permitted. Any changes to the SIL rated system’s circuitry will require recertification and all necessary updates to the documentation and diagrams required by Conditions 3.4 and 3.5 above.

**Inspection Transfer Switch and Seismic Reset Switch (Variance Request Nos. 5 and 6):**

4.0 Inspection Transfer switch and Seismic Reset switch placement and enclosure shall comply with the following:

4.1 If the inspection transfer switch required by ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.26.1.4.4, does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the control/machinery room/space containing the elevator’s control equipment in an enclosure secured by a lock openable by a Group 1 security key. The enclosure is to remain locked at all times when not in use.

4.2 If the seismic reset switch does not reside in the machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the control/machinery room/space containing the elevator’s control equipment in an enclosure secured by a lock openable by a Group 1 security key. The enclosure is to remain locked at all times when not in use.

5.0 The elevator shall be serviced, maintained, adjusted, tested, and inspected only by CCCM having been trained, and competent, to perform those tasks on the TKE EVO 200 elevator system in accordance with written procedures and criteria, including as required per above Conditions 2.3, and 2.4.

6.0 The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. The elevator shall be inspected by the Division, and all applicable requirements met, including conditions of this permanent variance, prior to a Permit to Operate the elevator being issued. The elevator shall not be placed in full service prior to the Permit to Operate being issued by Division.

7.0 The Applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way and to the same extent that employees and authorized representatives are to be notified of docketed permanent variance applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, sections 411.2, and 411.3.

8.0 This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division, or by the Board on
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its own motion, in the manner prescribed for its issuance.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426(b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

DATED: February 24, 2022
Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
ADDENDUM 1

SUSPENSION MEANS REPLACEMENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Beginning on the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision and continuing for a period of two years, the Applicant shall report to the Division within 30 days any and all replacement activity performed on the elevator(s) pursuant to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.3 involving the suspension means or suspension means fastenings.

Further:

(1) A separate report for each elevator shall be submitted, in a manner acceptable to the Division, to the following address (or to such other address as the Division might specify in the future): DOSH Elevator Unit, Attn: Engineering Section, 2 MacArthur Place Suite 700, Santa Ana, CA 92707.

(2) Each such report shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information:

   (a) The State-issued conveyance number, complete address, and OSHSB file number that identifies the permanent variance.

   (b) The business name, complete address, telephone number, and contact person of the elevator responsible party (presumably the Applicant or the subsequent holder of this variance).

   (c) The business name, complete address, telephone number, and Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) certification number of the firm performing the replacement work.

   (d) The name (as listed on certification), Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) certification number, and certification expiration date of each CCCM performing the replacement work.

   (e) The date and time the elevator was removed from normal service for suspension replacement, the date and time the replacement work commenced, the date and time the replacement work was completed, and the date and time the elevator was returned to normal service.

   (f) A detailed description of, and clear color photographs depicting, (1) all the conditions that existed in the suspension components requiring their replacement and (2) any conditions that existed to cause damage or distress to the suspension components being replaced.
(g) A detailed list of all elevator components adjusted, repaired, or replaced in conjunction with the suspension component replacement.

(h) All information provided on the crosshead data plate per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.1, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

(i) For the suspension means being replaced, all information provided on the data tag required per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

(j) For the replacement suspension means, all information provided on the data tag required by ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

(k) Any other information requested by the Division regarding the replacement of the suspension means or fastenings.

In addition to the submission of the report to the Division, the findings of any testing, failure analysis, or other engineering evaluations performed on any portion of the replaced suspension components, or other elevator components replaced in conjunction therewith, shall be submitted to the Division referencing the information contained in item 2(a) above.
CIRCULAR LETTER E-10-04, October 6, 2010

TO: Installers, Manufacturers of Conveyances and Related Equipment and, Other Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Coated Steel Belt Monitoring

The Elevator Safety Orders require routine inspection of the suspension means of an elevator to assure its safe operation.

The California Labor Code Section 7318 allows the Division to promulgate special safety orders in the absence of regulation.

As it is not possible to see the steel cable suspension means of a Coated Steel Belt, a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division is required on all Coated Steel Belts which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any belt drops below 60%. The Device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.

The monitoring device must be properly installed and functional. A functioning device may be removed only after a determination has been made that the residual strength of each belt exceeds 60%. These findings and the date of removal are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room. The removed device must be replaced or returned to proper service within 30 days.

If upon routine inspection, the monitoring device is found to be in a non-functional state, the date and findings are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room.

If upon inspection by the Division, the monitoring device is found to be non-functional or removed, and the required documentation is not in place, the elevator will be removed from service.

If the device is removed to facilitate belt replacement, it must be properly installed and functional before the elevator is returned to service.

A successful test of the device’s functionality shall be conducted once a year.

This circular does not preempt the Division from adopting regulations in the future, which may address the monitoring of Coated Steel Belts or any other suspension means.

This circular does not create an obligation on the part of the Division to permit new conveyances utilizing Coated Steel Belts.

Debra Tudor
Principal Engineer
DOSH-Elevator Unit HQ
ADDENDUM 3

(A) A Residual Strength Detection Device (RSDD) shall continuously monitor all Elastomeric Coated Steel Belt suspension members (ECSB), automatically stopping the car if the residual strength of any belt drops below 60%. The RSDD shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing. The RSDD shall device shall apply a form of electrical current and/or signal through the entire length of the steel tension elements of the ECSB and measure the current and/or signal on its return. The values measured shall be continuously compared to values that have been correlated to the remaining residual strength of the ECSB through testing. The required RSDD shall not rely upon giant magnetoresistance technology, or other magnetic measurement means, for residual strength detection or monitoring.

The RSDD must be properly installed and functional. A functioning device may be removed only after a determination has been made that the residual strength of each belt exceeds 60%. These findings and the date of removal are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room or controller location. The removed RSDD must be replaced or returned to proper service within 30 days. If upon routine inspection, the RSDD device is found to be in a non-functional state, the date and findings are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room or controller location.

If upon inspection by the Division, the RSDD is found to be non-functional or removed, and the required documentation is not in place, the elevator will be removed from service. If the device is removed to facilitate belt replacement, it must be properly installed and functional before the elevator is returned to service.

(B) On or before November 21 2021, and thereafter, the above specified and documented RSDD shall be installed and operational on the subject elevator.

(C) A successful functionality test of each RSDD shall be conducted once a year, and a copy of completed testing documentation conspicuously located in the machine room or within proximity of the controller.
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance regarding:

Otis Elevator Gen2(O) and/or Gen2L w/variant Governor Rope/Sheave (Group IV)

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

______________________________ ________________________________
DAVID THOMAS, Chairman OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

______________________________
BARBARA BURGEL, Member Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

______________________________
KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE.

______________________________
DAVID HARRISON, Member IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

______________________________
NOLA KENNEDY, Member Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.

______________________________
CHRIS LASZCZ-DAVIS, Member

______________________________
LAURA STOCK, Member
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance Regarding:

Otis Elevators Gen2(O) and/or Gen2L w/variant Governor Rope/Sheave (Group IV)

OSHSB File Nos.: See Section A.1 table below

PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. Subject Matter:

1. Each applicant ("Applicant") listed in the table below has applied for permanent variances from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, with respect to a conveyance, or conveyances, in the listed quantity, at the listed location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-641</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Medical Center Central Utility Plant 19206 Jamboree Rd. Irvine, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-642</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Medical Center Ambulatory Care Center 19208 Jamboree Rd. Irvine, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-643</td>
<td>University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Medical Center Hospital 19210 Jamboree Rd. Irvine, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The safety orders at issue are stated in the portion of Section F that precedes the variance conditions.

B. Jurisdiction:

This proceeding is conducted in accordance with Labor Code Section 143, and California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 401, et. seq.

C. Procedural:
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1. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, and via teleconference, by Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”) with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426.

2. At the hearing, Dan Leacox of Leacox & Associates, and Wolter Geesink with Otis Elevator Company, appeared on behalf of each Applicant; Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”); and Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.

3. Oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Permanent variance applications per Section A.1 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Official notice is taken of the Board’s rulemaking recordings and variance decisions concerning the safety order requirements at issue. At close of hearing on February 23, 2022, the record was closed, and the matter taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

D. Findings:

1. Each Applicant intends to utilize Otis Gen2(O) and/or Otis Gen2L elevators, with further variance as to governor sheave and rope diameter, at the location and in the numbers stated in the Section A.1 table (as used in this Proposed Decision, the term “Gen2(O)” refers to the original type of Gen2 elevator, as distinguished from other types with such designations as “Gen2L” or “Gen2S” or “Gen2 at 150”).

2. The installation contract for these elevators was, or will be, signed on or after May 1, 2008, making the elevators subject to the Group IV Elevator Safety Orders.

3. The Board incorporates by reference the findings stated in: (a) Items 3 through 5.c, 5.e, and 5.f of the “Findings of Fact” Section of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on February 19, 2009, in OSHSB File No. 08-V-247; (b) Item D.3 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on July 16, 2009, in OSHSB File No. 09-V-042; (c) Item D.4 of the
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4. Regarding requested variance in governor sheave diameter, and governor rope diameter, in variance from Title 8, Section 3141, incorporated ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.18.7.4, and Section 2.18.5.1, respectively, the Board incorporates by reference the following previous findings of record: Items 8 through 12 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on December 13, 2018, in OSHSB File No. 18-V-425, and further substantiating bases per therein cited Permanent Variance Decisions of the Board.

5. Both Board staff and Division safety engineers, and Division, by way of written submissions to the record (Exhibits PD-3 and PD-4 respectively), and positions stated at hearing, are of the well informed opinion that grant of requested permanent variance, as limited and conditioned per the below Decision and Order will provide employment, places of employment, and subject conveyances, as safe and healthful as would prevail given non-variant conformity with the Elevator Safety Order requirements from which variance has been requested.

E. Conclusive Findings:

The above stated procedural prerequisites, legal authority, and factual findings, as further supported by the documentary record and hearing testimony in this matter, provide a substantive and reasonable basis of conclusion that: (1) Each Applicant has complied with the statutory and regulatory requirements that must be met before an application for permanent variance may be conditionally granted; and (2) a preponderance of the evidence establishes that each Applicants proposal, subject to all conditions and limitations set forth in the below Decision and Order, will provide equivalent safety and health to that which would prevail upon full compliance with the requirements of California Code of Regulation, Title 8, Elevator Safety Orders from which variance is being sought.

F. Decision and Order:

Each permanent variance application that is the subject of this proceeding is conditionally GRANTED, as below specified, and to the extent that, as of the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision, each Applicant listed in the Section A.1 table of this Proposed Decision shall have a permanent variance from California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 3141 [ASME A17.1-2004, Sections 2.14.1.7.1 (only to the extent necessary to permit an inset car top railing, if, in fact, the car top railing is inset), 2.20.1, 2.20.2.1(b), 2.20.2.2(a), 2.20.2.2(f), 2.20.3, 2.20.4, 2.20.9.3.4, 2.20.9.5.4, (only to the extent necessary to permit the use of Otis Gen2 flat coated steel suspension belts [the belts proposed for use on these Gen2(O) and/or
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Gen2L elevators] in lieu of conventional steel suspension ropes); 2.26.1.4.4(a) (only to the extent necessary to allow the inspection transfer switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room); 8.4.10.1.1(a)(2)(b) (only to the extent necessary to allow the seismic reset switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room)], regarding car top railings, switches, and suspension ropes and connections; Section 2.18.7.4, with respect to conditioned variance in governor sheave diameter; and Section 2.18.5.1, with respect to below conditioned variance in governor rope diameter—for the location and number of elevators listed in the Section A.1 table (so long as the elevators are Gen2(O) or Gen2L Group IV devices that are designed, equipped, and installed in accordance with, and are otherwise consistent with, the representations made in the Otis Master Files [referred to in previous Proposed Decisions as the “Gen2 Master File” or “Gen2S Master File”] maintained by the Board, as that file was constituted at the time of this hearing), subject to the following conditions:

The variance shall be subject to the following additional conditions:

1. Each elevator subject to this variance shall comply with all applicable Group IV Elevator Safety Orders and with all ASME provisions made applicable by those Group IV Elevator Safety Orders, except those from which variances are granted, as set forth in the prefatory portion of this Decision and Order.

2. The suspension system shall comply with the following:

   a. The coated steel belt shall have a factor of safety at least equal to the factor of safety that ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.3, would require for wire ropes if the elevator were suspended by wire ropes rather than the coated steel belt.

   b. Steel-coated belts that have been installed and used on another installation shall not be reused.

   c. The coated steel belt shall be fitted with a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division and which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent. If the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent, the device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.

   d. Upon initial inspection, the readings from the monitoring device shall be documented and submitted to the Division.

   e. A successful test of the monitoring device’s functionality shall be conducted at least once a year (the record of the annual test of the monitoring device shall be a maintenance record subject to ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.1.4).
f. The coated steel belts used shall be accepted by the Division.

g. The installation of belts and connections shall be in conformance with the manufacturer’s specifications, which shall be provided to the Division.

3. With respect to each elevator subject to this variance, the applicant shall comply with Division Circular Letter E-10-04, a copy of which is attached hereto as Addendum 1 and incorporated herein by this reference.

4. The Applicant shall not utilize the elevator unless the manufacturer has written procedures for the installation, maintenance, inspection, and testing of the belts and monitoring device, and criteria for belt replacement, and shall make those procedures and criteria available to the Division upon request.

5. The flat coated steel belts shall be provided with a metal data tag that is securely attached to one of those belts. This data tag shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:

   a. The width and thickness in millimeters or inches;

   b. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength in (kN) or (lbf);

   c. The name of the person who, or organization that, installed the flat coated steel belts;

   d. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were installed;

   e. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were first shortened;

   f. The name or trademark of the manufacturer of the flat coated steel belts;

   g. Lubrication information.

6. There shall be a crosshead data plate of the sort required by Section 2.20.2.1, and that plate shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:

   a. The number of belts,

   b. The belt width and thickness in millimeters or inches, and

   c. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength per belt in (kN) or (lbf).

7. If the seismic reset switch does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test
control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

8. If the inspection transfer switch required by ASME A17.1, rule 2.26.1.4.4(a), does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

9. When the inspection and test control panel is located in the hoistway door jamb, the inspection and test control panel shall be openable only by use of a Security Group I restricted key.

10. The opening to the hoistway shall be effectively barricaded when car top inspection, maintenance, servicing, or testing of elevator equipment in the hoistway is required. If service personnel must leave the area for any reason, the hoistway and control room doors shall be closed.

11. If there is an inset car top railing:

   a. Serviceable equipment shall be positioned so that mechanics and inspectors do not have to climb on railings to perform adjustment, maintenance, repairs, or inspections. The applicant shall not permit anyone to stand on or climb over the car top railing.

   b. The distance that the car top railing may be inset from the car top perimeter shall be limited to no more than 6 inches.

   c. All exposed areas of the car top outside the car top railing shall preclude standing or placing objects or persons which may fall and shall be beveled from the mid- or top rail to the outside of the car top.

   d. The top of the beveled area and/or the car top outside the railing, shall be clearly marked. The markings shall consist of alternating four-inch diagonal red and white stripes.
e. The Applicant shall provide, on each inset railing, durable signs with lettering not less than ½ inch on a contrasting background. Each sign shall state:

**CAUTION**

**DO NOT STAND ON OR CLIMB OVER RAILING**

f. The Group IV requirements for car top clearances shall be maintained (car top clearances outside the railing shall be measured from the car top, and not from the required bevel).

12. The speed governor rope and sheaves shall comply with the following:

   a. The governor shall be used in conjunction with a 8 mm (0.315 in.) diameter steel governor rope with 8-strand, regular lay construction.

   b. The governor rope shall have a factor of safety of 8 or greater as related to the strength necessary to activate the safety.

   c. The governor sheaves shall have a pitch diameter of not less than 240 mm (9.45 in.).

13. The elevator shall be serviced, maintained, adjusted, tested, and inspected only by Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanics who have been trained to, and are competent to, perform those tasks on the Gen2(O) and/or Gen2L elevator system the Applicant proposes to use, in accordance with the written procedures and criteria required by Condition No. 4 and the terms of this permanent variance.

14. Any Certified Qualified Conveyance Company performing inspections, maintenance, servicing, or testing of the elevators shall be provided a copy of this variance decision.

15. The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. The elevator shall be inspected by the Division, and a Permit to Operate shall be issued before the elevator is placed in service.

16. The Applicant shall be subject to the suspension means replacement reporting condition stated in Addendum 2; that condition is incorporated herein by this reference.

17. The applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way that the Applicant was required to notify them of the application for permanent variance, per California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 411.2 and 411.3.

18. This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division of Occupational Safety
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and Health, or by the Board on its own motion, in accordance with procedures per
Title 8, Division 1, Chapter 3.5.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426(b), the above, duly completed
Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board
for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 24, 2022

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
ADDENDUM 1

October 6, 2010

CIRCULAR LETTER E-10-04

TO:  Installers, Manufacturers of Conveyances and Related Equipment and, Other Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Coated Steel Belt Monitoring

The Elevator Safety Orders require routine inspection of the suspension means of an elevator to assure its safe operation.

The California Labor Code Section 7318 allows the Division to promulgate special safety orders in the absence of regulation.

As it is not possible to see the steel cable suspension means of a Coated Steel Belt, a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division is required on all Coated Steel Belts which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any belt drops below 60%. The Device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.

The monitoring device must be properly installed and functional. A functioning device may be removed only after a determination has been made that the residual strength of each belt exceeds 60%. These findings and the date of removal are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room. The removed device must be replaced or returned to proper service within 30 days.

If upon routine inspection, the monitoring device is found to be in a non-functional state, the date and findings are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room.

If upon inspection by the Division, the monitoring device is found to be non-functional or removed, and the required documentation is not in place, the elevator will be removed from service.

If the device is removed to facilitate belt replacement, it must be properly installed and functional before the elevator is returned to service.

A successful test of the device’s functionality shall be conducted once a year.

This circular does not preempt the Division from adopting regulations in the future, which may address the monitoring of Coated Steel Belts or any other suspension means.

This circular does not create an obligation on the part of the Division to permit new conveyances utilizing Coated Steel Belts.

Debra Tudor
Principal Engineer
DOSH-Elevator Unit HQS
ADDENDUM 2

Suspension Means – Replacement Reporting Condition

Beginning on the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision and continuing for a period of two years, the Applicant shall report to the Division within 30 days any and all replacement activity performed on the elevator(s) pursuant to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.3 involving the suspension means or suspension means fastenings.

Further:

1. A separate report for each elevator shall be submitted, in a manner acceptable to the Division, to the following address (or to such other address as the Division might specify in the future): DOSH Elevator Unit, 2 MacArthur Place, Suite 700, Santa Ana, CA 92707, Attn: Engineering Section.

2. Each such report shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information:

   a. The State-issued conveyance number, complete address, and OSHSB file number that identifies the permanent variance.

   b. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and contact person of the elevator responsible party (presumably the Applicant or the subsequent holder of this variance).

   c. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) certification number of the firm performing the replacement work.

   d. The name (as listed on certification), Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) certification number, certification expiration date, and signature of each CCCM performing the replacement work.

   e. The date and time the elevator was removed from normal service for suspension replacement, the date and time the replacement work commenced, the date and time the replacement work was completed, and the date and time the elevator was returned to normal service.

   f. A detailed description of, and clear color photographs depicting, (1) all the conditions that existed in the suspension components requiring their replacement and (2) any
conditions that existed to cause damage or distress to the suspension components being replaced.

g. A detailed list of all elevator components adjusted, repaired, or replaced in conjunction with the suspension component replacement.

h. All information provided on the crosshead data plate per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.1, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

i. For the suspension means being replaced, all information provided on the data tag required per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

j. For the replacement suspension means, all information provided on the data tag required by ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

k. Any other information requested by the Division regarding the replacement of the suspension means or fastenings.

3. In addition to the submission of the report to the Division, the findings of any testing, failure analysis, or other engineering evaluations performed on any portion of the replaced suspension components, or other elevator components replaced in conjunction therewith, shall be submitted to the Division referencing the information contained in item 2a above.
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DECISION

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

DAVID THOMAS, Chairman

BARBARA BURGEL, Member

KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member

DAVID HARRISON, Member

NOLA KENNEDY, Member

CHRIS LASZCZ-DAVIS, Member

LAURA STOCK, Member

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.
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A. Subject Matter:

1. Each below listed applicant (“Applicant”) has applied for permanent variances from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at title 8 of the California Code of Regulations¹, or applied to modify such variances, with respect to a conveyance, or conveyances, in the listed quantity, at the listed location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-660</td>
<td>Balco Co</td>
<td>8141 2nd St. Downey, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The subject regulatory requirements are as enumerated per the below Decision and Order.

B. Jurisdiction:

This proceeding is conducted in accordance with Labor Code Section 143, and section 401, et. seq.

C. Procedural:

1. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, and via teleconference, by Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with section 426.

2. At the hearing, Wolter Geesink, with Otis Elevator, and Dan Leacox of Leacox & Associates, appeared on behalf of each Applicant; Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”); and

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all references are to title 8, California Code of Regulations.
Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.

3. Oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence: each permanent variance application per Section A.1 table as Exhibit PD-1; Notice of Hearing as Exhibit PD-2; each respective Board staff Pending Application Memorandum as PD-3; Division evaluation as PD-4; Review Draft 1 Proposed Decision as PD-5; and official notice taken of the Board’s rulemaking records and variance decisions concerning the safety order requirements from which variance is requested. At close of hearing on February 23, 2022, the record closed, and the matter was taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

D. Findings and Basis:

1. Each Applicant intends to alter elevators at the locations, and in the numbers, stated in the Section A.1 table such that each elevator becomes (or incorporates features of) an Otis Gen2(O) and/or Otis Gen2L elevator.

2. The belts and connections that each Applicant intends to install are the same as are used on new Otis Gen2(O)/Gen2L installations.

3. The alterations will be performed after May 1, 2008, and the contracts for the alterations were or will be signed on or after May 1, 2008, making those alterations subject to the Group IV Elevator Safety Orders.

4. The Board incorporates by reference the findings stated in: (a) Items 3 through 5.c, 5.e, and 5.f of the “Findings of Fact” section of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on February 19, 2009, regarding OSHSB File No. 08-V-247; (b) Item D.3 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on July 16, 2009, regarding OSHSB File No. 09-V-042; (c) Item D.4 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on September 16, 2010, regarding OSHSB File No. 10-V-029; and (d) Items D.4, D.5, and D.7 of the proposed decision adopted by the Board on July 18, 2013, regarding OSHSB File No. 12-V-146.

E. Conclusive Findings:

The above stated procedural prerequisites, legal authority, and factual findings, as further supported by the documentary record and hearing testimony in this matter, provide a substantive and reasonable basis of conclusion that: (1) Each Applicant has complied with the statutory and regulatory requirements that must be met before an application for permanent variance may be conditionally granted, and (2) a preponderance of the evidence establishes that each Applicants proposal, subject to all conditions and limitations set forth
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...in the below Decision and Order, will provide equivalent safety and health to that which would prevail upon full compliance with the requirements of the Elevator Safety Orders from which variance is being sought.

**F. Decision and Order:**

Each permanent variance application that is the subject of this proceeding is conditionally GRANTED, as specified below, to the extent that, as of the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision, each Section A.1 table listed Applicant, at the specified variance location, and as to specified number of conveyances, shall have a permanent variance regarding switches, suspension rope and connection retrofits, (so long as the elevators are Gen2 (O) or Gen2L Group IV devices that are designed, equipped, and installed in accordance with, and are otherwise consistent with, the representations made in the Otis Master File [referred to in previous Proposed Decisions as the “Gen2 Master File”] maintained by the Board, as that file was constituted at the time of this hearing). The variance shall be from California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 3141 and 3141.2(a), and shall only be to the extent necessary to allow variances from the following provisions of ASME A17.1-2004 made applicable by those title 8 provisions:

- Sections 8.7.1.1(b), 8.7.2.21.1, and 8.7.2.25.1(c) (to the extent necessary to permit variance from the ASME A17.1-2004 provisions listed in the next bullet point);
- Sections 2.14.1.7.1 (only to the extent necessary to permit an inset car top railing, if, in fact, the car top railing is inset),
- Sections 2.20.1, 2.20.2.1, 2.20.2.2(a), 2.20.2.2(f), 2.20.3, 2.20.4, 2.20.9.3.4, 2.20.9.5.4, (only to the extent necessary to permit the use of Otis Gen2 flat coated steel suspension belts [the belts proposed for use on these Gen2(O) and/or Gen2L elevators] in lieu of conventional steel suspension ropes),
- Sections 2.26.1.4.4(a) (only to the extent necessary to allow the inspection transfer switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room) and
- Sections 8.4.10.1.1(a)(2)(b) (only to the extent necessary to allow the seismic reset switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room)].

The variance shall be subject to, and limited by, the following additional conditions:

1. Each elevator subject to this variance shall comply with all applicable Group IV Elevator Safety Orders and with all ASME provisions made applicable by those Group IV Elevator
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Safety Orders, except those from which variances are granted, as set forth in the prefatory portion of this Decision and Order.

2. The elevator suspension system shall comply with the following:
   a. The coated steel belt shall have a factor of safety at least equal to the factor of safety that ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.3 would require for wire ropes if the elevator were suspended by wire ropes rather than the coated steel belt.
   b. Steel coated belts that have been installed and used on another installation shall not be reused.
   c. The coated steel belt shall be fitted with a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division and which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent. If the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent, the device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.
   d. Upon initial inspection, the readings from the monitoring device shall be documented and submitted to the Division.
   e. A successful test of the monitoring device’s functionality shall be conducted at least once a year (the record of the annual test of the monitoring device shall be a maintenance record subject to ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.1.4).
   f. The coated steel belts used shall be accepted by the Division.
   g. The installation of belts and connections shall be in conformance with the manufacturer’s specifications, which shall be provided to the Division.

3. With respect to each elevator subject to this variance, the applicant shall comply with Division Circular Letter E-10-04, a copy of which is attached hereto as Addendum 1 and incorporated herein by this reference.

4. The Applicant shall not utilize the elevator unless the manufacturer has written procedures for the installation, maintenance, inspection, and testing of the belts and monitoring device, and criteria for belt replacement, and the Applicant shall make those procedures and criteria available to the Division upon request.

5. The flat coated steel belts shall be provided with a metal data tag that is securely attached to one of those belts. This data tag shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:
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a. The width and thickness in millimeters or inches;
b. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength in (kN) or (lbf);
c. The name of the person who or organization that installed the flat coated steel belts;
d. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were installed;
e. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were first shortened;
f. The name or trademark of the manufacturer of the flat coated steel belts; and
g. Lubrication information.

6. There shall be a crosshead data plate of the sort required by Section 2.20.2.1, and that plate shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:

a. The number of belts;
b. The belt width and thickness in millimeters or inches; and
c. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength per belt in (kN) or (lbf).

7. If the seismic reset switch does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

8. If the inspection transfer switch required by ASME A17.1, rule 2.26.1.4.4(a) does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

9. When the inspection and test control panel is located in the hoistway door jamb, the inspection and test control panels shall be openable only by use of a Security Group I restricted key.

10. The opening to the hoistway shall be effectively barricaded when car top inspection, maintenance, servicing, or testing of elevator equipment in the hoistway is required. If service personnel must leave the area for any reason, the hoistway and control room doors shall be closed.

11. If there is an inset car top railing:

a. Serviceable equipment shall be positioned so that mechanics and inspectors do not have to climb on railings to perform adjustment, maintenance, repairs, or
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The applicant shall not permit anyone to stand on or climb over the car top railing.

b. The distance that the car top railing may be inset from the car top perimeter shall be limited to no more than 6 inches.

c. All exposed areas of the car top outside the car top railing shall preclude standing or placing objects or persons which may fall and shall be beveled from the mid- or top rail to the outside of the car top.

d. The top of the beveled area and/or the car top outside the railing shall be clearly marked. The markings shall consist of alternating 4 inch diagonal red and white stripes.

e. The Applicant shall provide durable signs with lettering not less than ½ inch on a contrasting background on each inset railing; each sign shall state:

   CAUTION
   DO NOT STAND ON OR CLIMB OVER RAILING

f. The Group IV requirements for car top clearances shall be maintained (car top clearances outside the railing shall be measured from the car top and not from the required bevel).

12. Each elevator shall be serviced, maintained, adjusted, tested, and inspected by Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanics who have been trained, and are competent, to perform those tasks on the Gen2(O) and/or Gen2L elevator system the Applicant proposes to use, in accordance with the written procedures and criteria required by Condition No. 4 and all other terms and conditions of this permanent variance.

13. Any Certified Qualified Conveyance Company performing inspections, maintenance, servicing, or testing of the elevators shall be provided a copy of this variance decision.

14. The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. No elevator shall be placed in service prior to it being inspected and issued a Permit to Operate by the Division.

15. Each Applicant shall be subject to the suspension means replacement reporting condition stated in Addendum 2; that condition is incorporated herein by this reference.
16. Each Applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way that the Applicant was required to notify them of the application for permanent variance per sections 411.2 and 411.3.

17. This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division of Occupational Safety and Health, or by the Board on its own motion, in accordance with the Board’s procedural regulations.

Pursuant to section 426, subdivision (b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 28, 2022

___________________________

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
ADDENDUM 1

October 6, 2010

CIRCULAR LETTER E-10-04

TO: Installers, Manufacturers of Conveyances and Related Equipment and, Other Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Coated Steel Belt Monitoring

The Elevator Safety Orders require routine inspection of the suspension means of an elevator to assure its safe operation.

The California Labor Code Section 7318 allows the Division to promulgate special safety orders in the absence of regulation.

As it is not possible to see the steel cable suspension means of a Coated Steel Belt, a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division is required on all Coated Steel Belts which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any belt drops below 60%. The Device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.

The monitoring device must be properly installed and functional. A functioning device may be removed only after a determination has been made that the residual strength of each belt exceeds 60%. These findings and the date of removal are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room. The removed device must be replaced or returned to proper service within 30 days.

If upon routine inspection, the monitoring device is found to be in a non-functional state, the date and findings are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room.

If upon inspection by the Division, the monitoring device is found to be non-functional or removed, and the required documentation is not in place, the elevator will be removed from service.

If the device is removed to facilitate belt replacement, it must be properly installed and functional before the elevator is returned to service.

A successful test of the device’s functionality shall be conducted once a year.

This circular does not preempt the Division from adopting regulations in the future, which may address the monitoring of Coated Steel Belts or any other suspension means.

This circular does not create an obligation on the part of the Division to permit new conveyances utilizing Coated Steel Belts.

Debra Tudor
Principal Engineer
DOSH-Elevator Unit HQS
ADDENDUM 2

Suspension Means – Replacement Reporting Condition

Beginning on the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision and continuing for a period of two years, the Applicant shall report to the Division within 30 days any and all replacement activity performed on the elevator(s) pursuant to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.3 involving the suspension means or suspension means fastenings.

Further:

1. A separate report for each elevator shall be submitted, in a manner acceptable to the Division, to the following address (or to such other address as the Division might specify in the future): DOSH Elevator Unit, 2 MacArthur Place, Suite 700, Santa Ana, CA 92707, Attn: Engineering Section.

2. Each such report shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information:

   a. The State-issued conveyance number, complete address, and OSHSB file number that identifies the permanent variance.

   b. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and contact person of the elevator responsible party (presumably the Applicant or the subsequent holder of this variance).

   c. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) certification number of the firm performing the replacement work.

   d. The name (as listed on certification), Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) certification number, certification expiration date, and signature of each CCCM performing the replacement work.

   e. The date and time the elevator was removed from normal service for suspension replacement, the date and time the replacement work commenced, the date and time the replacement work was completed, and the date and time the elevator was returned to normal service.

   f. A detailed description of, and clear color photographs depicting, (1) all the conditions that existed in the suspension components requiring their replacement
and (2) any conditions that existed to cause damage or distress to the suspension components being replaced.

g. A detailed list of all elevator components adjusted, repaired, or replaced in conjunction with the suspension component replacement.

h. All information provided on the crosshead data plate per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.1, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

i. For the suspension means being replaced, all information provided on the data tag required per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

j. For the replacement suspension means, all information provided on the data tag required by ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

k. Any other information requested by the Division regarding the replacement of the suspension means or fastenings.

3. In addition to the submission of the report to the Division, the findings of any testing, failure analysis, or other engineering evaluations performed on any portion of the replaced suspension components, or other elevator components replaced in conjunction therewith, shall be submitted to the Division referencing the information contained in item 2a above.
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance regarding: Otis Gen2S Elevators (Group IV)

OSHSB File No.: see grid in Item A of Proposed Decision Dated: February 24, 2022

DECISION

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

DAVID THOMAS, Chairman

BARBARA BURGEL, Member

KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member

DAVID HARRISON, Member

NOLA KENNEDY, Member

CHRIS LASZCZ-DAVIS, Member

LAURA STOCK, Member

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.
In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance Regarding:

Otis Gen2S Elevators (Group IV)

OSHSB File Nos.: See section A table below

PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. **Subject Matter**

1. Each below listed applicant (“Applicant”) has applied for permanent variances from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, with respect to the listed conveyance or conveyances, in the specified quantity, at the specified location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-669</td>
<td>CV 5935 Pico, LLC</td>
<td>5935 W Pico Blvd. Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-670</td>
<td>CV OW Parcel J Owner, LLC</td>
<td>37 8th Avenue Oakland, CA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The safety orders from which variance may issue, are enumerated in the portion of the below Decision and Order preceding the variance conditions.

B. **Procedural**

1. This proceeding is conducted in accordance with Labor Code section 143, and California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 401, et. seq.

2. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, and via teleconference, by Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 426.

3. At the hearing, Dan Leacox of Leacox & Associates, and Wolter Geesink with Otis Elevator, appeared on behalf of each Applicant; Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”), and
Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff, in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.

4. Oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Permanent variance applications per Section A.1 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Official notice is taken of the Board’s rulemaking records, and variance files and decisions, concerning the Elevator Safety Order standards at issue. At close of hearing on February 23, 2022, the record was closed, and the matter taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

C. Findings and Basis:

Based on the record of this hearing, the Board makes the following findings of fact:

1. Each Applicant intends to utilize Otis Gen2S elevators at the locations and in the numbers stated in the above section A table.

2. The installation contracts for these elevators were or will be signed on or after May 1, 2008, making the elevators subject to the Group IV Elevator Safety Orders.

3. The Board incorporates by reference Items (i.e. sections) D.3 through D.9 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on July 18, 2013 regarding OSHSB File No. 12-V-093 and Item D.4 of the Proposed Decision adopted by the Board on September 25, 2014 in OSHSB File No. 14-V-206.

4. Both Board staff and Division, by way of written submissions to the record (Exhibits PD-3 and PD-4 respectively), and positions stated at hearing, are of the well informed opinion that grant of requested permanent variance, as limited and conditioned per the below Decision and Order will provide employment, places of employment, and subject conveyances, as safe and healthful as would prevail given non-variant conformity with the Elevator Safety Order requirements from which variance has been requested.
D. **Conclusive Findings:**

The above stated procedural prerequisites, legal authority, and factual findings, as further supported by the documentary record and hearing testimony in this matter, provide a substantive and reasonable basis of conclusion that: (1) Each Applicant has complied with the statutory and regulatory requirements that must be met before an application for permanent variance may be conditionally granted; and (2) a preponderance of the evidence establishes that each Applicants proposal, subject to all conditions and limitations set forth in the below Decision and Order, will provide equivalent safety and health to that which would prevail upon full compliance with the requirements of California Code of Regulation, title 8, Elevator Safety Orders from which variance is being sought.

E. **Decision and Order:**

Each permanent variance application the subject of this proceeding is conditionally **GRANTED** as specified below, and to the extent, as of the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision, each Applicant listed in the above section A table shall have permanent variances from California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 3141 and from the following sections of ASME A17.1-2004 that section 3141 makes applicable to the elevators the subject of those applications:

- **Car top railing:** sections 2.14.1.7.1 (only to the extent necessary to permit an inset car top railing, if, in fact, the car top railing is inset);
- **Speed governor over-speed switch:** 2.18.4.2.5(a) (only insofar as is necessary to permit the use of the speed reducing system proposed by the Applicants, where the speed reducing switch resides in the controller algorithms, rather than on the governor, with the necessary speed input supplied by the main encoder signal from the motor);
- **Governor rope diameter:** 2.18.5.1 (only to the extent necessary to allow the use of reduced diameter governor rope);
- **Pitch diameter:** 2.18.7.4 (to the extent necessary to use the pitch diameter specified in Condition No. 13.c);
- **Suspension means:** 2.20.1, 2.20.2.1, 2.20.2.2(a), 2.20.2.2(f), 2.20.3, 2.20.4, 2.20.9.3.4 and 2.20.9.5.4—the variances from these “suspension means” provisions are only to the extent necessary to permit the use of Otis Gen2 flat coated steel suspension belts in lieu of conventional steel suspension ropes;
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- **Inspection transfer switch**: 2.26.1.4.4(a) (only to the extent necessary to allow the inspection transfer switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room); and

- **Seismic reset switch**: 8.4.10.1.1(a)(2)(b) (only to the extent necessary to allow the seismic reset switch to reside at a location other than a machine room, if, in fact, it does not reside in the machine room).

These variances apply to the locations and numbers of elevators stated in the section A table (so long as the elevators are Gen2S Group IV devices that are designed, equipped, and installed in accordance with, and are otherwise consistent with, the representations made in the Otis Master File [referred to in previous proposed decisions as the “Gen2 Master File”] maintained by the Board, as that file was constituted at the time of this hearing) and are subject to the following conditions:

1. The suspension system shall comply with the following:
   a. The coated steel belt and connections shall have factors of safety equal to those permitted for use by section 3141 [ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.3] on wire rope suspended elevators.
   b. Steel coated belts that have been installed and used on another installation shall not be reused.
   c. The coated steel belt shall be fitted with a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division and which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent. If the residual strength of any single belt drops below 60 percent, the device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.
   d. Upon initial inspection, the readings from the monitoring device shall be documented and submitted to the Division.
   e. A successful test of the monitoring device’s functionality shall be conducted at least once a year (the record of the annual test of the monitoring device shall be a maintenance record subject to ASME A17.1-2004, section 8.6.1.4).
   f. The coated steel belts used shall be accepted by the Division.
2. With respect to each elevator subject to this variance, the applicant shall comply with Division Circular Letter E-10-04, the substance of which is attached hereto as Addendum 1 and incorporated herein by this reference.

3. The Applicant shall not utilize the elevator unless the manufacturer has written procedures for the installation, maintenance, inspection, and testing of the belts and monitoring device and criteria for belt replacement, and the applicant shall make those procedures and criteria available to the Division upon request.

4. The flat coated steel belts shall be provided with a metal data tag that is securely attached to one of those belts. This data tag shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:
   a. The width and thickness in millimeters or inches;
   b. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength in (kN) or (lbf);
   c. The name of the person or organization that installed the flat coated steel belts;
   d. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were installed;
   e. The month and year the flat coated steel belts were first shortened;
   f. The name or trademark of the manufacturer of the flat coated steel belts; and
   g. Lubrication information.

5. There shall be a crosshead data plate of the sort required by section 2.20.2.1, and that plate shall bear the following flat steel coated belt data:
   a. The number of belts;
   b. The belt width and thickness in millimeters or inches; and
   c. The manufacturer’s rated breaking strength per belt in (kN) or (lbf).

6. The opening to the hoistway shall be effectively barricaded when car top inspection, maintenance, servicing, or testing of elevator equipment in the hoistway is required. If service personnel must leave the area for any reason, the hoistway and control room doors shall be closed.

7. If there is an inset car top railing:
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a. Serviceable equipment shall be positioned so that mechanics and inspectors do not have to climb on railings to perform adjustment, maintenance, repairs or inspections. The applicant shall not permit anyone to stand on or climb over the car top railing.

b. The distance that the car top railing may be inset shall be limited to no more than 6 inches.

c. All exposed areas outside the car top railing shall preclude standing or placing objects or persons which may fall and shall be beveled from the mid- or top rail to the outside of the car top.

d. The top of the beveled area and/or car top outside the railing, shall be clearly marked. The markings shall consist of alternating 4 inch diagonal red and white stripes.

e. The applicant shall provide durable signs with lettering not less than ½ inch on a contrasting background on each inset railing; each sign shall state:

   CAUTION
   DO NOT STAND ON OR CLIMB OVER RAILING

f. The Group IV requirements for car top clearances shall be maintained (car top clearances outside the railing shall be measured from the car top and not from the required bevel).

8. If the seismic reset switch does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

9. If the inspection transfer switch required by ASME A17.1, rule 2.26.1.4.4(a) does not reside in a machine room, that switch shall not reside in the elevator hoistway. The switch shall reside in the inspection and test control panel located in one upper floor hoistway door jamb or in the control space (outside the hoistway) used by the motion controller.

10. When the inspection and testing panel is located in the hoistway door jamb, the inspection and test control panel shall be openable only by use of a Security Group I restricted key.
11. The elevator shall be serviced, maintained, adjusted, tested, and inspected only by Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanics who have been trained to, and are competent to, perform those tasks on the Gen2S elevator system in accordance with the written procedures and criteria required by Condition No. 3 and in accordance with the terms of this permanent variance.

12. The governor speed-reducing switch function shall comply with the following:

   a. It shall be used only with direct drive machines; i.e., no gear reduction is permitted between the drive motor and the suspension means.

   b. The velocity encoder shall be coupled to the driving machine motor shaft. The “C” channel of the encoder shall be utilized for velocity measurements required by the speed reducing system. The signal from “C” channel of the encoder shall be verified with the “A” and “B” channels for failure. If a failure is detected then an emergency stop shall be initiated.

   c. Control system parameters utilized in the speed-reducing system shall be held in non-volatile memory.

   d. It shall be used in conjunction with approved car-mounted speed governors only.

   e. It shall be used in conjunction with an effective traction monitoring system that detects a loss of traction between the driving sheave and the suspension means. If a loss of traction is detected, then an emergency stop shall be initiated.

   f. A successful test of the speed-reducing switch system’s functionality shall be conducted at least once a year (the record of the annual test of the speed-reducing switch system shall be a maintenance record subject to ASME A17.1-2004, section 8.6.1.4).

   g. A successful test of the traction monitoring system’s functionality shall be conducted at least once a year (the record of the annual test of the traction monitoring system shall be a maintenance record subject to ASME A17.1-2004, section 8.6.1.4).

   h. The Applicant shall not utilize the elevator unless the manufacturer has written procedures for the maintenance, inspection, and testing of the speed-reducing switch and traction monitoring systems. The Applicant shall make the procedures available to the Division upon request.

13. The speed governor rope and sheaves shall comply with the following:
a. The governor shall be used in conjunction with a 6 mm (0.25 in.) diameter steel governor rope with 6-strand, regular lay construction.

b. The governor rope shall have a factor of safety of 8 or greater as related to the strength necessary to activate the safety.

c. The governor sheaves shall have a pitch diameter of not less than 180 mm (7.1 in.).

14. Any Certified Qualified Conveyance Company performing inspections, maintenance, servicing, or testing of the elevators shall be provided a copy of this variance decision.

15. The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. The elevator shall be inspected by the Division, and a Permit to Operate shall be issued before the elevator is placed in service.

16. The Applicant shall be subject to the Suspension Means – Replacement Reporting Condition stated in Addendum 2, as hereby incorporated by this reference.

17. The Applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way and to the same extent that employees and authorized representatives are to be notified of docketed permanent variance applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 8, sections 411.2 and 411.3.

18. This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division of Occupational Safety and Health, or by the Board on its own motion, in accordance with procedures per title 8, Division 1, Chapter 3.5.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 8, section 426(b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 24, 2022

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
ADDENDUM 1

October 6, 2010

CIRCULAR LETTER E-10-04

TO: Installers, Manufacturers of Conveyances and Related Equipment and, Other Interested Parties

SUBJECT: Coated Steel Belt Monitoring

The Elevator Safety Orders require routine inspection of the suspension means of an elevator to assure its safe operation.

The California Labor Code section 7318 allows the Division to promulgate special safety orders in the absence of regulation.

As it is not possible to see the steel cable suspension means of a Coated Steel Belt, a monitoring device which has been accepted by the Division is required on all Coated Steel Belts which will automatically stop the car if the residual strength of any belt drops below 60%. The Device shall prevent the elevator from restarting after a normal stop at a landing.

The monitoring device must be properly installed and functional. A functioning device may be removed only after a determination has been made that the residual strength of each belt exceeds 60%. These findings and the date of removal are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room. The removed device must be replaced or returned to proper service within 30 days.

If upon routine inspection, the monitoring device is found to be in a non-functional state, the date and findings are to be conspicuously documented in the elevator machine room.

If upon inspection by the Division, the monitoring device is found to be non-functional or removed, and the required documentation is not in place, the elevator will be removed from service.

If the device is removed to facilitate belt replacement, it must be properly installed and functional before the elevator is returned to service.

A successful test of the device’s functionality shall be conducted once a year.

This circular does not preempt the Division from adopting regulations in the future, which may address the monitoring of Coated Steel Belts or any other suspension means.

This circular does not create an obligation on the part of the Division to permit new conveyances utilizing Coated Steel Belts.

Debra Tudor
Principal Engineer
DOSH-Elevator Unit HQS
ADDENDUM 2

Suspension Means – Replacement Reporting Condition

Beginning on the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision and continuing for a period of two years, the Applicant shall report to the Division within 30 days any and all replacement activity performed on the elevator(s) pursuant to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, section 8.6.3 involving the suspension means or suspension means fastenings.

Further:

1. A separate report for each elevator shall be submitted, in a manner acceptable to the Division, to the following address (or to such other address as the Division might specify in the future): DOSH Elevator Unit, 2 MacArthur Place, Suite 700, Santa Ana, CA 92707, Attn: Engineering Section.

2. Each such report shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information:

   a. The State-issued conveyance number, complete address, and OSHSB file number that identifies the permanent variance.

   b. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and contact person of the elevator responsible party (presumably the Applicant or the subsequent holder of this variance).

   c. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) certification number of the firm performing the replacement work.

   d. The name (as listed on certification), Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) certification number, certification expiration date, and signature of each CCCM performing the replacement work.

   e. The date and time the elevator was removed from normal service for suspension replacement, the date and time the replacement work commenced, the date and time the replacement work was completed, and the date and time the elevator was returned to normal service.
f. A detailed description of, and clear color photographs depicting, (1) all the conditions that existed in the suspension components requiring their replacement and (2) any conditions that existed to cause damage or distress to the suspension components being replaced.

g. A detailed list of all elevator components adjusted, repaired, or replaced in conjunction with the suspension component replacement.

h. All information provided on the crosshead data plate per ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.2.1, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

i. For the suspension means being replaced, all information provided on the data tag required per ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

j. For the replacement suspension means, all information provided on the data tag required by ASME A17.1-2004, section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

k. Any other information requested by the Division regarding the replacement of the suspension means or fastenings.

3. In addition to the submission of the report to the Division, the findings of any testing, failure analysis, or other engineering evaluations performed on any portion of the replaced suspension components, or other elevator components replaced in conjunction therewith, shall be submitted to the Division referencing the information contained in item 2a above.
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In the Matter of Application for Permanent Variance Regarding:

KONE Monospace 500 Elevators (Group IV)

OSHSB File Nos.: See Section A.1 Table Below

PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. **Subject Matter:**

1. Each below listed applicant (“Applicant”) applied for a permanent variance from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, with respect to a conveyance, or conveyances, in the listed quantity, at the listed location:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Variance Location Address</th>
<th>No. of Elevators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-671</td>
<td>Santa Ana Pacific Associates II, a California LP</td>
<td>2112 East 1st St., Santa Ana, CA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 21-V-672     | UCLA NIMOY Theater | 1262 Westwood Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-673     | Wakeland Wilcox LP | 1040 N. Kenmore Ave.
Los Angeles, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-678     | IQHQ Elco Yards, LP | 200 Lathrop Street
Redwood City, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-679     | IQHQ Elco Yards, LP | 1475 Main Street
Redwood City, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-680     | 2231 S. Western (LA), LLC | 2221 S. Western Avenue
Los Angeles, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-681     | Neuehouse | 73 Market Street
Venice, CA | 1 |
| 21-V-682     | Wakeland Quincy LP | 2562 West Pico Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA | 1 |
2. The subject Title 8, safety order requirements are set out within California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 3141 incorporated ASME A17.1-2004, Sections 2.18.5.1 and 2.20.4.

B. Procedural:

1. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, via teleconference, by delegation of the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board ("Board"), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426.

2. At the hearing, Fuei Saetern, with KONE, Inc., appeared on behalf of each Applicant; Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health ("Division"), and Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff in a technical advisory capacity apart from the Board.

3. Documentary and oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Application(s) for Permanent Variance per section A.1 table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Review of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Review of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Official notice is taken of the Board’s files, records, recordings and decisions concerning the Elevator Safety Order requirements from which variance shall issue. On February 23, 2022, the hearing and record closed, and the matter was taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

C. Findings of Fact—Based on the record of this proceeding, the Board finds the following:

1. Each respective Applicant intends to utilize the KONE Inc. Monospace 500 type elevator, in the quantity, at the location, specified per the above Section A.1 table.

2. The installation contract for this elevator was or will be signed on or after May 1, 2008, thus making the elevator subject to the Group IV Elevator Safety Orders.
3. Each Applicant proposes to use hoisting ropes that are 8 mm in diameter which also consist of 0.51 mm diameter outer wires, in variance from the express requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.4.

4. In relevant part, ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.4 states:

   2.20.4 Minimum Number and Diameter of Suspension Ropes

   ...The minimum diameter of hoisting and counterweight ropes shall be 9.5 mm (0.375 in.). Outer wires of the ropes shall be not less than 0.56 mm (0.024 in.) in diameter.

5. An intent of the afore cited requirement of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.4, is to ensure that the number, diameter, and construction of suspension ropes are adequate to provided safely robust and durable suspension means over the course of the ropes’ foreseen service life.

6. KONE has represented to Division and Board staff, having established an engineering practice for purposes of Monospace 500 elevator design, of meeting or exceeding the minimum factor of safety of 12 for 8 mm suspension members, as required in ASME A17.1-2010, Section 2.20.3—under which, given that factor of safety, supplemental broken suspension member protection is not required.

7. Also, each Applicant proposes as a further means of maintaining safety equivalence, monitoring the rope in conformity with the criteria specified within the Inspector’s Guide to 6 mm Diameter Governor and 8 mm Diameter Suspension Ropes for KONE Elevators (per Application attachment “B”, or as thereafter revised by KONE subject to Division approval).

8. In addition, each Applicant has proposed to utilize 6 mm diameter governor ropes in variance from Title 8, Section 3141, incorporated ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.18.5.1.

9. ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.18.5.1, specifies, in relevant part:

   2.18.5.1 Material and Factor of Safety.

   ... [Governor ropes] not less than 9.5 mm (0.375 in.) in diameter. The factor of safety of governor ropes shall be not less than 5...

10. The Board takes notice of Title 8, Elevator Safety Order Section 3141.7, subpart (a)(10):
A reduced diameter governor rope of equivalent construction and material to that required by ASME A17.1-2004, is permissible if the factor of safety as related to the strength necessary to activate the safety is 5 or greater;

11. Applicants propose use of 6mm governor rope having a safety factor of 5 or greater, in conformity with Section 3141.7(a)(10), the specific parameters of which, being expressly set out within Title 8, Elevator Safety Orders, take precedence over more generally referenced governor rope diameter requirements per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.18.5.1. Accordingly, the governor rope specifications being presently proposed, inclusive of a factor of safety of 5 or greater, would comply with current Title 8, Elevator Safety Orders requirements, and therefore not be subject to issuance of permanent variance.

12. Absent evident diminution in elevator safety, over the past decade the Board has issued numerous permanent variances for use in KONE (Ecospace) elevator systems of 8 mm diameter suspension rope materially similar to that presently proposed (e.g. OSHSB File Nos. 06-V-203, 08-V-245, and 13-V-303).

13. As noted by the Board in OSHSB File Nos. 18-V-044, and 18-V-045, Decision and Order Findings, subpart B.17 (hereby incorporated by reference), the strength of wire rope operating as an elevator’s suspension means does not remain constant over its years of projected service life. With increasing usage cycles, a reduction in the cross-sectional area of the wire rope normally occurs, resulting in decreased residual strength. This characteristic is of particular relevance to the present matter because, as also noted by Board staff, decreasing wire rope diameter is associated with a higher rate of residual strength loss. This foreseeable reduction in cross-sectional area primarily results from elongation under sheave rounding load, as well as from wear, and wire or strand breaks. However, these characteristics need not compromise elevator safety when properly accounted for in the engineering of elevator suspension means, and associated components.

14. The presently proposed wire rope is Wuxi Universal steel rope Co LTD. 8 mm 8x19S+8x7+PP, with a manufacturer rated breaking strength of 35.8 kN, and an outer wire diameter of less than 0.56 mm, but not less than 0.51 mm. Both Board staff and Division safety engineers have scrutinized the material and structural specifications, and performance testing data, of this particular proposed rope, and conclude it will provide for safety equivalent to ESO compliant 9.5 mm wire rope, with 0.56 mm outer wire (under conditions of use included within the below Decision and Order).

15. The applicant supplies tabulated data regarding the “Maximum Static Load on All Suspension Ropes.” To obtain the tabulated data, the applicant uses the following formula derived from ASME A17.1 2004, Section 2.20.3:
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\[ W = \frac{(S \times N)}{f} \]

Where

- \( W \) = maximum static load imposed on all car ropes with the car and its rated load at any position in the hoistway
- \( N \) = number of runs of rope under load. For 2:1 roping, \( N \) shall be two times the number of ropes used, etc.
- \( S \) = manufacturer's rated breaking strength of one rope
- \( f \) = the factor of safety from Table 2.20.3

16. ASME A17.1-2010 Sections 2.20.3 and 2.20.4 utilize the same formula, but provide for use of suspension ropes having a diameter smaller than 9.5 mm, under specified conditions, key among them being that use of ropes having a diameter of between 8 mm to 9.5 mm be engineered with a factor of safety of 12 or higher. This is a higher minimum factor of safety than that proposed by Applicant, but a minimum recommended by both Board staff and Division as a condition of variance necessary to the achieving of safety equivalence to 9.5 mm rope.

17. Board staff and Division are in accord with Applicant, in proposing as a condition of safety equivalence, that periodic physical examination of the wire ropes be performed to confirm the ropes continue to meet the criteria set out in the (Application attachment) Inspector’s Guide to 6 mm Diameter Governor and 8 mm Diameter Suspension Ropes for KONE Elevators. Adherence to this condition will provide an additional assurance of safety equivalence, regarding smaller minimum diameter suspension rope outer wire performance over the course of its service life.

18. Both Board staff, and Division, by way of written submissions to the record (Exhibits PD-3 and PD-4 respectively), and stated positions at hearing, are of the well informed opinion that grant of permanent variance, as limited and conditioned per the below Decision and Order will provide employment, places of employment, and subject conveyances, as safe and healthful as would prevail given non-variant conformity with the Elevator Safety Order requirements from which variance has been requested.

D. Conclusive Findings:

The above stated procedural prerequisites, legal authority, and factual findings, as further supported by the documentary record and hearing testimony in this matter, provide a substantive and reasonable basis of conclusion that: (1) Each Applicant has complied with the statutory and regulatory requirements that must be met before an application for permanent variance may be conditionally granted; and (2) a preponderance of the evidence establishes that each Applicants proposal, subject to all conditions and limitations set forth
E. Decision and Order:

Each Application being the subject of this proceeding, per above Section A.1 table, is conditionally GRANTED, to the extent that each such Applicant shall be issued permanent variance from California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 3141 incorporated ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.4, in as much as it precludes use of suspension rope of between 8 mm and 9.5 mm, or outer wire of between 0.51 mm and 0.56 mm in diameter, at such locations and numbers of Group IV KONE Monospace 500 elevators identified in each respective Application, subject to the following conditions:

1. The diameter of the hoisting steel ropes shall be not less than 8 mm (0.315 in) diameter and the roping ratio shall be two to one (2:1).

2. The outer wires of the suspension ropes shall be not less than 0.51 mm (0.02 in.) in diameter.

3. The number of suspension ropes shall be not fewer than those specified per hereby incorporated Decision and Order Appendix 1 Table.

4. The ropes shall be inspected annually for wire damage (rouge, valley break etc.) in accordance with “KONE Inc. Inspector’s Guide to 6 mm diameter and 8 mm diameter steel ropes for KONE Elevators” (per Application Exhibit B, or as thereafter amended by KONE subject to Division approval).

5. A rope inspection log shall be maintained and available in the elevator controller room / space at all times.

6. The elevator rated speed shall not exceed those speeds specified per the Decision and Order Appendix 1 Table.

7. The maximum suspended load shall not exceed those weights (plus 5%) specified per the Decision and Order Appendix 1 Table.

8. The opening to the hoistway shall be effectively barricaded when car top inspection, maintenance, servicing, or testing of the elevator equipment in the hoistway is required. If the service personnel must leave the area for any reason, the hoistway and control room doors shall be closed.
9. The installation shall meet the suspension wire rope factor of safety requirements of ASME A17.1-2013 Section 2.20.3.

10. Any Certified Qualified Conveyance Company performing inspections, maintenance, servicing or testing the elevators shall be provided a copy of this variance decision.

11. The Division shall be notified when the elevator is ready for inspection. The elevator shall be inspected by the Division and a “Permit to Operate” issued before the elevator is placed in service.

12. The Applicant shall comply with suspension means replacement reporting condition per hereby incorporated Decision and Order Appendix 2.

13. The Applicant shall notify its employees or their authorized representative(s), or both, of this order in the same way and to the same extent that employees and authorized representatives are to be notified of docketed permanent variance applications pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Sections 411.2 and 411.3.

14. This Decision and Order shall remain in effect unless modified or revoked upon application by the Applicant, affected employee(s), the Division of Occupational Safety and Health, or by the Board on its own motion, in accordance with procedures per Title 8, Division 1, Chapter 3.5.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426(b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 24, 2022

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
Appendix 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OSHSB File No.</th>
<th>Elevator ID</th>
<th>Minimum Quantity of Ropes (per Condition 3)</th>
<th>Maximum Speed in Feet per Minute (per Condition 6)</th>
<th>Maximum Suspended Load (per Condition 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21-V-671</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-671</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-671</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-672</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-673</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-678</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-679</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-680</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>11,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-681</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-V-682</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>12,247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2

Suspension Means Replacement Reporting Condition

Beginning on the date the Board adopts this Proposed Decision and continuing for a period of two years, the Applicant shall report to the Division within 30 days any and all replacement activity performed on the elevator(s) pursuant to the requirements of ASME A17.1-2004, Section 8.6.3 involving the suspension means or suspension means fastenings. Further:

1. A separate report for each elevator shall be submitted, in a manner acceptable to the Division, to the following address (or to such other address as the Division might specify in the future): DOSH Elevator Unit, 2 MacArthur Place, Suite 700, Santa Ana, CA 92707, Attn: Engineering Section.

2. Each such report shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information:

   a. The State-issued conveyance number, complete address, and OSHSB file number that identifies the permanent variance.

   b. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and contact person of the elevator responsible party (presumably the Applicant or the subsequent holder of this variance).

   c. The business name, complete address, telephone number, and Certified Qualified Conveyance Company (CQCC) certification number of the firm performing the replacement work.

   d. The name (as listed on certification), Certified Competent Conveyance Mechanic (CCCM) certification number, certification expiration date, and signature of each CCCM performing the replacement work.

   e. The date and time the elevator was removed from normal service for suspension replacement, the date and time the replacement work commenced, the date and time the replacement work was completed, and the date and time the elevator was returned to normal service.

   f. A detailed description of, and clear color photographs depicting, (1) all the conditions that existed in the suspension components requiring their replacement and (2) any conditions that existed to cause damage or distress to the suspension components being replaced.
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g. A detailed list of all elevator components adjusted, repaired, or replaced in conjunction with the suspension component replacement.

h. All information provided on the crosshead data plate per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.1, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

i. For the suspension means being replaced, all information provided on the data tag required per ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

j. For the replacement suspension means, all information provided on the data tag required by ASME A17.1-2004, Section 2.20.2.2, unless that ASME requirement is modified by the conditions of a variance that pertains to the elevator in question, in which case, the information to be reported shall be the information required by the ASME provision as modified by the variance.

k. Any other information requested by the Division regarding the replacement of the suspension means or fastenings.

3. In addition to the submission of the report to the Division, the findings of any testing, failure analysis, or other engineering evaluations performed on any portion of the replaced suspension components, or other elevator components replaced in conjunction therewith, shall be submitted to the Division referencing the information contained in above Appendix 2, Section 2, Subsection (a), above.
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DECISION

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board hereby adopts the attached PROPOSED DECISION by Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer.

________________________________________
DAVID THOMAS, Chairman

________________________________________
BARBARA BURGEL, Member

________________________________________
KATHLEEN CRAWFORD, Member

________________________________________
DAVID HARRISON, Member

________________________________________
NOLA KENNEDY, Member

________________________________________
CHRIS LASZCZ-DAVIS, Member

________________________________________
LAURA STOCK, Member

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Date of Adoption: March 17, 2022

THE FOREGOING VARIANCE DECISION WAS ADOPTED ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE DISSATISFIED WITH THE DECISION, A PETITION FOR REHEARING MAY BE FILED BY ANY PARTY WITH THE STANDARDS BOARD WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF THE DECISION. YOUR PETITION FOR REHEARING MUST FULLY COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 8, SECTIONS 427, 427.1 AND 427.2.

Note: A copy of this Decision must be posted for the Applicant’s employees to read, and/or a copy thereof must be provided to the employees’ Authorized Representatives.
BEFORE THE
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Application to Modify Permanent Variance by:

Delmas Park Associates

OSHSB File No.: 04-V-054M1

PROPOSED DECISION

Hearing Date: February 23, 2022

A. The following person or entity (“Applicant”) has applied for a modification of permanent variance from provisions of the Elevator Safety Orders, found at Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, for each elevator having the specified preexisting variance location address of record:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preexisting OSHSB File No.</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Preexisting Variance Address of Record</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04-V-054</td>
<td>Delmas Park Associates</td>
<td>598 West San Carlos Street San Jose, CA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. This proceeding is conducted in accordance with Labor Code Section 143, and California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 401, et. seq.

C. Procedural Matters:

1. This hearing was held on February 23, 2022, in Sacramento, California, via teleconference, by Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (“Board”), with Hearing Officer Autumn Gonzalez, both presiding and hearing the matter on its merit, as a basis of proposed decision to be advanced to the Board for its consideration, in accordance with California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426.

2. At the hearing, Wolter Geesink with Otis Elevator Company, and Dan Leacox of Leacox & Associates, appeared on behalf of the Applicant; Mark Wickens and David Morris appeared on behalf of the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (“Division”); and Michael Nelmida appeared on behalf of Board staff in a technical advisory role apart from the Board.
3. Documentary and oral evidence was received at the hearing, and by stipulation of all parties, documents were admitted into evidence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhibit Number</th>
<th>Description of Exhibit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>Application for modification of Permanent Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-2</td>
<td>OSHSB Notice of Hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-3</td>
<td>Board Staff Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-4</td>
<td>Division Reviews of Variance Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-5</td>
<td>Review Draft-1 Proposed Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Official notice is taken of the Board’s rulemaking records and variance decisions concerning the safety order provisions from which variance has been requested. On February 23, 2022, the hearing and record closed, and the matter was taken under submission by the Hearing Officer.

D. Based on the record of this hearing, the Board makes the following findings of fact:

1. The Applicant requests modification of the address of the unchanging variance location specified within Board records for each conveyance the subject of previously granted Permanent Variance 04-V-054.

2. Application Section 3, declared to be wholly truthful under penalty of perjury by Application signatory, states facts upon which reasonably may be based a finding that the address, specified in the records of the Board, at which Permanent Variance 04-V-054 is in effect, in fact is more completely, and correctly the different address information specified in below subsection D.5.

3. The Division has evaluated the request for modification of variance location address, finds no issue with it, and recommends that the application for modification be granted subject to the same conditions of the Decision and Order in OSHSB Permanent Variance File No. 04-V-054.

4. The Board finds the above subpart D.2 referenced declaration to be credible, uncontroverted, and consistent with available, sufficient facts, and of no bearing as to the finding of equivalent occupational health and safety upon which Grant of preexisting Permanent Variance 04-V-054 was, in part, based.

5. The Board finds the correct address by which to designate the location of each conveyance the subject of Permanent Variance No. 04-V-054M1, to be:
E. Decision and Order:

1. Permanent Variance Application No. 04-V-054M1, is conditionally GRANTED, thereby modifying Board records, such that, without change in variance location, each conveyance being the subject of Permanent Variance Nos. 04-V-054, and, shall have the following address designation:

   350 Barack Obama Blvd.
   San Jose, CA

2. Permanent Variance No. 04-V-054, being only modified as to the subject location address specified in above Decision and Order Section 1, is otherwise unchanged and remaining in full force and effect, as hereby incorporated by reference into this Decision and Order of Permanent Variance No. 04-V-054M1.

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 8, Section 426(b), the above, duly completed Proposed Decision, is hereby submitted to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board for consideration of adoption.

Dated: February 24, 2022

Autumn Gonzalez, Hearing Officer
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Business Meeting

Legislative Update
SUMMARY OF CHANGES

AB 257 Food facilities and employment. (2021-2022) UPDATE

AB 1643 Department of Industrial Relations. (2021-2022) NEW

AB 1733 State bodies: open meetings. (2021-2022) NEW

AB 1775 Occupational safety: live events. (2021-2022) NEW

AB 1993 Employment: COVID-19 vaccination requirements. (2021-2022) NEW

AB 2076 Extreme Heat and Community Resilience Program: Extreme Heat Hospitalization and Death Reporting System. (2021-2022) NEW

AB 2243 Occupational safety and health standards: heat illness: wildfire smoke. (2021-2022) NEW


SB 1102 Occupational safety and health. (2021-2022) NEW
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/01/22</td>
<td>In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/31/22</td>
<td>Read third time. Passed. Ordered to the Senate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/27/22</td>
<td>Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading. (Ayes 44. Noes 16.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>Ordered to third reading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>From inactive file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>Assembly Rule 63 suspended. (Ayes 42. Noes 14.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>Assembly Rule 78 suspended. (Ayes 42. Noes 14.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/20/22</td>
<td>Assembly Rule 47.1 suspended. (Ayes 42. Noes 14.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/21</td>
<td>Ordered to inactive file at the request of Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/21</td>
<td>Reconsideration granted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/21</td>
<td>Motion to reconsider made by Assembly Member Lorena Gonzalez.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/21</td>
<td>Read third time. Refused passage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/24/21</td>
<td>Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/20/21</td>
<td>From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 12. Noes 4.) (May 20).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/20/21</td>
<td>Joint Rule 62(a), file notice suspended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/21</td>
<td>In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/29/21</td>
<td>Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/28/21</td>
<td>Read second time and amended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/27/21</td>
<td>From committee: Amend, and do pass as amended and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 3.) (April 27).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/26/21</td>
<td>From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on JUD. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (April 22). Re-referred to Com. on JUD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/19/21</td>
<td>(pending re-refer to Com. on JUD.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/19/21</td>
<td>Assembly Rule 56 suspended. (Page 1059.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/26/21</td>
<td>Re-referred to Com. on L. &amp; E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/21</td>
<td>From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to Com. on L. &amp; E. Read second time and amended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/25/21</td>
<td>Referred to Coms. on L. &amp; E. and JUD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/16/21</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee February 15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/15/21</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:

Enacts the Fast Food Accountability and Standards (FAST) Recovery Act that establishes the Fast Food Sector Council (Council) and tasks the council with conducting a full review every three years on the adequacy of fast food restaurant health, safety, and employment standards and establishing sectorwide minimum health, safety, wage, working hours, and employment standards. Requires a report be provided to the Legislature at least 60 days before a standard is effective.

Major Provisions

1) Establishes a Fast Food Sector Council (Council), comprised of 11 members appointed by the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly and Senate Rules Committee, to set sectorwide standards on wages and working conditions in the fast food industry.
2) Authorizes the Council to issue standards, rules or regulations to carry out its purpose, and provides that the Council's standards prevail in application to fast food restaurant workers, franchisees and franchisors if there is a conflict with regulations issued by another state agency, except the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH). The Council must review adopted standards every three years and hold public hearings every six months.

3) Requires the Council to recommend standards to OSHSB to protect restaurant worker health and safety, and requires OSHSB to adopt and enforce the Council's recommendations, unless OSHSB finds the recommendation is outside DOSH's statutory authority or unlawful.

4) Grants a cause of action to any fast food restaurant worker discharged, discriminated or retaliated against for exercising their rights, creates a rebuttable presumption of unlawful discrimination or retaliation for any adverse action taken against the worker within 90 days of the franchisor or franchisee having knowledge of the worker exercising their rights and allows the Labor Commissioner (LC) to enforce violations without receiving a complaint.

5) Requires a fast food restaurant franchisor to ensure a franchisee complies with worker and public health laws, including standards issued by the Council. This bill makes a franchisor jointly and severally liable for any penalties or fines for a violation incurred by the franchisee, and provides that any agreement by a franchisee to indemnify the franchisor for liability is contrary to public policy, void and unenforceable.

6) States that nothing in this bill is intended to encroach on the Legislature's ability to establish workplace standards for workers including fast food restaurant workers. The intent of the Legislature is to ensure that legislators have sufficient time to review and take legislative action, if appropriate, with respect to fast food standards promulgated under the bill pursuant to notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures.

7) Provides that a standard, repeal or amendment of a standard shall not take effect until the submission of a report to the Legislature, as specified, that contains the standard, repeal or amendment and the reasons for it.

8) Specifies that the standard, repeal or amendment shall not take effect until at least 60 days have passed from the Legislature's receipt of the Council's report.

9) States that nothing in this bill shall be construed to give the Council the authority to create or amend statutes.

Board staff is monitoring for potential impacts on Board operations.
AB-1643 Department of Industrial Relations.(2021-2022)
(Rivas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/13/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee February 12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/12/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AB 1643, as introduced, Robert Rivas. Department of Industrial Relations.

Existing law establishes in the Labor and Workforce Development Agency the Department of Industrial Relations for specified purposes and provides for its administration by the Director of Industrial Relations. Existing law defines the designation “head of the department” to mean the Director of Industrial Relations, unless the Labor Code expressly provides that another entity has jurisdiction over a specific matter.

This bill would make nonsubstantive changes to that definition.

The Board is monitoring this bill.

AB-1733 State Bodies: Open Meetings.(2021-2022)
(Quirk)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/18/22</td>
<td>Referred to Coms. on G.O. and B. &amp; P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee March 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/31/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AB 1733, as introduced, Quirk. State bodies: open meetings.

Existing law, the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a state body be open and public and all persons be permitted to attend any meeting of a state body. The act defines a “meeting” to include any congregation of a majority of the members of a state body at the same time and place to hear, discuss, or deliberate
upon any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the state body to which it pertains. The act authorizes teleconferenced meetings under specified circumstances, provided that at least one member of the state body is physically present at the location specified in the notice of the meeting, and all votes taken during a teleconferenced meeting are taken by rollcall. The act provides that if the state body elects to conduct a meeting or proceeding by teleconference, the state body is required to post agendas at all teleconference locations and conduct teleconference meetings in a manner that protects the rights of any party or member of the public appearing before the state body. The act requires each teleconference location to be identified in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and each teleconference location to be accessible to the public, and the agenda to provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the state body at each teleconference location.

Existing law requires a state body to provide notice of its meeting to any person who requests that notice in writing and to provide notice of the meeting of its internet website at least 10 days in advance of the meeting, as prescribed. Existing law exempts from the 10-day notice requirement, special meetings and emergency meetings in accordance with specified provisions. Existing law authorizes a state body to adjourn any regular, adjourned regular, special, or adjourned special meeting to a time and place specified in the order of adjournment, and authorizes a state body to similarly continue or recontinue any hearing being held, or noticed, or ordered to be held by a state body at any meeting.

This bill would specify that a “meeting” under the act, includes a meeting held entirely by teleconference. The bill would require all open meetings to be held by teleconference, would allow for use of teleconference in closed sessions, and would remove existing provisions of the act that require each teleconference location to be identified in the notice and agenda and accessible to the public. The bill would instead require the state body to provide a means by which the public may remotely hear, or hear and observe, the meeting and may remotely address the state body via two-way audio-visual platform or two-way telephonic service, as specified, and would require information to be provided in any notice to the public indicating how the public can access the meeting remotely. The bill would require the state body to provide an opportunity for members of the public to address the state body. The bill would require the state body to provide members of the public a physical location to hear, observe, and address the state body, and would authorize the members of the state body to participate in a meeting remotely or at a designated physical meeting location, and specify that physical presence at any physical meeting location is not necessary for the member to be deemed present at the meeting. The bill would require the agenda to be posted 10 days in advance of the meeting, or as provided in accordance with the provisions applicable to a special or emergency meeting, as well as posted on the state body’s internet website and, on the day of the meeting, at any physical meeting location designated in the notice. The bill would also provide that the notice of the meeting is required to specify the means by which a meeting may be accessed by teleconference. The bill would prohibit the notice and agenda from disclosing any information regarding any remote location from which a member is
participating, and require members attending a meeting from a remote location to disclose whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room, as specified.

If a state body discovers that a means of remote participation, as defined, required by these provisions has failed during a meeting and cannot be restored, the state body would be required to end or adjourn the meeting and take specified actions to notify participants and communicate when the state body intends to reconvene the meeting and how a member of the public may hear audio of, or observe, the meeting.

This bill would remove certain notice provisions specific to advisory bodies of state boards.

Existing law prohibits a state body from requiring, as a condition to attend a meeting, a person to register the person’s name, or to provide other information, or to fulfill any condition precedent to the person’s attendance.

This bill would exclude from that prohibition an internet website or other online platform that may require identification to log into a teleconference.

Existing law limits the purposes for which a state body is authorized to call a special meeting, including, among others, consideration of disciplinary action involving a state officer or employee and consideration of license examinations and applications.

This bill would add to those purposes deliberation on a decision to be reached in a proceeding required to be conducted pursuant to provisions governing administrative adjudicative proceedings or similar provisions of law.

Under existing law, the Department of Consumer Affairs, which is under the control of the Director of Consumer Affairs, is composed of various boards, as defined, that license and regulate various professions and vocations. Existing law requires the boards to meet at least 2 times each calendar year. Existing law requires those boards to meet at least once each calendar year in northern California and once each calendar year in southern California in order to facilitate participation by the public and its licensees.

This bill would exempt a board from the requirement to meet in northern and southern California each once a year if the board’s meetings are held entirely by teleconference.

This bill would also make conforming changes.

This bill would declare the Legislature’s intent, consistent with the Governor’s Executive Order No. N-29-20, to improve and enhance public access to state and local agency meetings during the COVID-19 pandemic and future emergencies by allowing broader access through teleconferencing options.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

The Board is monitoring this bill.
AB-1775 Occupational safety: live events. (2021-2022)  
(Ward)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/04/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee March 6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/03/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AB 1775, as introduced, Ward. Occupational safety: live events.

Existing law, the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973, exists for the purpose of assuring safe and healthful working conditions for all California workers by authorizing the enforcement of effective standards, assisting and encouraging employers to maintain safe and healthful working conditions, and by providing for research, information, education, training, and enforcement in the field of occupational safety and health.

This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would improve occupational safety standards related to staging for live events.

The Board is monitoring this bill.

(Wicks, Aguiar-Curry, Low, and Akilah Weber)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/11/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee March 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/10/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:

AB 1993, as introduced, Wicks. Employment: COVID-19 vaccination requirements.

Existing law, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), establishes the Department of Fair Employment and Housing within the Business, Consumer Services, and
Housing Agency and sets forth its powers and duties relating to the enforcement of civil rights laws with respect to housing and employment.

Existing federal law, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, authorizes the United States Secretary of Health and Human Services to approve new drugs and products, including vaccines, for introduction into interstate commerce, and authorizes the secretary to authorize vaccines for use in an emergency upon declaring a public health emergency. On February 4, 2020, the secretary determined that there is a public health emergency and declared circumstances exist justifying the authorization of emergency use of drugs and biological products. The secretary subsequently authorized the emergency use of 3 vaccines for the prevention of COVID-19, and on August 23, 2021, the secretary approved a vaccine for the prevention of COVID-19.

The California Emergency Services Act authorizes the Governor to declare a state of emergency during conditions of disaster or extreme peril to persons or property, including epidemics. On March 4, 2020, the Governor declared a state of emergency relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. Pursuant to this authority, the Governor issued several executive orders requiring individuals in specified employment, health care, school, or other settings to provide proof of a COVID-19 vaccination status, unless specified exceptions are met.

This bill would require an employer to require each person who is an employee or independent contractor, and who is eligible to receive the COVID-19 vaccine, to show proof to the employer, or an authorized agent thereof, that the person has been vaccinated against COVID-19. This bill would establish an exception from this vaccination requirement for a person who is ineligible to receive a COVID-19 vaccine due to a medical condition or disability or because of a sincerely held religious belief, as specified, and would require compliance with various other state and federal laws. The bill would require proof-of-vaccination status to be obtained in a manner that complies with federal and state privacy laws and not be retained by the employer, unless the person authorizes the employer to retain proof.

This bill would require, on January 1, 2023, each employer to affirm, in a form and manner provided by the department, that each employee or independent contractor complied with these provisions, and would require the employer to affirm that each new employee or independent contractor is in compliance at the time of hiring or contracting with that person. The bill would require the department to impose a penalty of an unspecified amount on an employer for any violation of these provisions.

This bill would repeal these provisions when the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices determines that COVID-19 vaccinations are no longer necessary for the health and safety of individuals.

This bill would include findings that changes proposed by this bill address a matter of statewide concern rather than a municipal affair and, therefore, apply to all cities, including charter cities.
This bill would declare that its provisions are severable.

Board staff are monitoring this legislation to determine if regulatory action by the Board is called for.

### AB 2076 Extreme Heat and Community Resilience Program: Extreme Heat Hospitalization and Death Reporting System. (2021-2022)

(Rivas)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/24/22</td>
<td>Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and HEALTH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/15/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be heard in committee March 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/14/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**

(1) Existing law establishes the Office of Planning and Research in state government in the Governor’s office. Existing law establishes the Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Program (ICARP), to be administered by the office, to coordinate regional and local efforts with state climate adaptation strategies to adapt to the impacts of climate change, as prescribed.

This bill would establish the Extreme Heat and Community Resilience Program in the office, to be administered by the office through ICARP, for the purpose of coordinating state efforts and supporting local and regional efforts to prevent or mitigate the impacts of, and reduce the public health risks of, heat. The bill would require the Director of State Planning and Research to appoint a Chief Heat Officer in the office to, among other things, implement the program and establish the Interagency Heat Taskforce, as provided. Upon appropriation by the Legislature, the bill would authorize the program to award grants and provide technical assistance to eligible entities, as defined, for specified projects that support local and regional efforts to mitigate the impacts and reduce the public health risks of heat. The bill would require the office, in the awarding of grants, to prioritize projects that serve disadvantaged or vulnerable communities, as specified, that demonstrate participation in a regional climate collaborative program, or that are a component of a comprehensive heat action plan. The bill would authorize the director to make advance payments, not to exceed 25% of the total award amount, from a grant awarded pursuant to the program. The bill would require the
office, in administering the program, to review and consider climate science research and publications, as specified, and to minimize greenhouse gas emissions and electricity grid stress, avoid maladaptation, and maximize job growth and other cobenefits, as provided.

The bill would require the office to draft and adopt guidelines, as provided, for awarding grants pursuant to the program to eligible entities. The bill would require projects awarded a grant to consider, and be informed by, the most recent California Climate Change Assessment. The bill would also exempt procedures, forms, and guidelines established by the office pursuant to program, including the application process, from provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act.

The bill would require the office, on or before July 1, 2023, to prepare the Extreme Heat Framework to promote comprehensive, coordinated, and effective state and local government action on heat, and to update the framework every 2 years, as provided. The bill would also require the office to post the framework and subsequent updates on the office’s internet website and to provide the framework and subsequent updates to the relevant policy and fiscal committees of the Legislature.

The bill would establish the Extreme Heat and Community Resilience Fund in the State Treasury. The bill would provide that moneys in the fund shall be available upon appropriation by the Legislature to the office for the sole purpose of implementing the program.

(2) Existing law establishes the State Department of Public Health, which is responsible for various programs relating to the health and safety of people in the state, including licensing health facilities, regulating food and drug safety, and monitoring and preventing communicable and chronic diseases.

This bill would require the department, on or before July 1, 2024, upon appropriation by the Legislature, and in consultation with the Chief Heat Officer in the Office of Planning and Research, to establish and maintain the Extreme Heat Hospitalization and Death Reporting System, to assist local interventions and to identify and protect heat-vulnerable or other at-risk populations. The bill would require the department to collect data on hospitalization and death determined to be resultant from extreme heat, as specified, and to post the collected data on its internet website. The bill would require the department to include specified data in the system, including, but not limited to, data identifying neighborhoods or other groups in need of priority intervention.

Board staff is monitoring this bill for impacts on the Standards Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/16/22</td>
<td>Read first time. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:


Existing law grants the Division of Occupational Safety and Health, which is within the Department of Industrial Relations, jurisdiction over all employment and places of employment, with the power necessary to enforce and administer all occupational health and safety laws and standards. The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, an independent entity within the department, has the exclusive authority to adopt occupational safety and health standards within the state. Existing law, the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973 (OSHA), requires employers to comply with certain safety and health standards, as specified, and charges the division with enforcement of the act. Under OSHA, certain knowing, negligent, or willful violations of safety and health standards are punishable as a misdemeanor. The existing Maria Isabel Vasquez Jimenez heat illness standard provides for the prevention of heat-related illness of employees in outdoor places of employment, as prescribed. There is also an existing standard for workplace protection from wildfire smoke.

This bill would require the division, before January 1, 2024, to submit to the standards board a rulemaking proposal to revise the heat illness standard to include an ultrahigh heat standard for employees in outdoor places of employment for heat in excess of 105 degrees Fahrenheit, as prescribed. The bill would similarly require a rulemaking proposal to revise the wildfire smoke standard to reduce the existing air quality index threshold for PM2.5 particulate matter at which control by respiratory protective equipment becomes mandatory. The bill would require the standards board to review the proposed changes and adopt revised standards before July 1, 2024.

Because this bill would require the adoption of additional safety standards, the violation of which would be a misdemeanor, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.
This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Board staff are monitoring this legislation to determine if regulatory action by the Board is called for.


*(Cortese)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/19/22</td>
<td>Referred to Coms. on L., P.E. &amp; R. and JUD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/04/22</td>
<td>From printer. May be acted upon on or after February 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/03/22</td>
<td>Introduced. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment. To print.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:**

This bill would prohibit a live gun, functioning gun-like weapon, and blank ammunition containing gunpowder or other explosive charge on entertainment productions for certain purposes, including rehearsal, filming of an on-camera sequence, or other development of content, except under specified conditions. The bill would require a qualified armorist, prop...
or property master, or designee handling a firearm to have completed certain training in firearms and have a specified permit for the use of the firearm.

This bill would prohibit live ammunition, as defined, from being permitted on film, television, and commercial sets, except in limited circumstances, including while filming a reality television project that uses firearms and live ammunition and follows certain safety rules and laws. The bill would require an employer to ensure that any employee in proximity to the use of firearms on set completes a specific firearm training or equivalent training, as prescribed. The bill would establish exemptions from its provisions for specified registered security guards and peace officers.

This bill would require the division to enforce its provisions and, before July 1, 2023, to propose to the standards board, for its review and adoption on or before January 1, 2024, a standard that protects the health and safety of entertainment production employees with regard to the storage, handling, and use of firearms, gun-like projectile weapons, and ammunition on set. The bill would require the division, in the development of the proposed safety standard, to consider and incorporate, to the extent feasible and consistent with the bill, the provisions of specified joint industry-labor safety bulletins. The bill would establish unspecified civil penalties for specified violations.

Board staff are monitoring this legislation to determine if regulatory action by the Board is called for.
Summary:

SB 1102, as introduced, Glazer. Occupational safety and health.

Existing law establishes the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board within the Department of Industrial Relations as the only agency in the state authorized to adopt occupational safety and health standards. Existing law requires the board, at each of its meetings, to make time available to interested persons to propose new or revised orders or standards appropriate for adoption or other items concerning occupational safety and health. Existing law requires the board to consider a proposed order or standard and reports its decision no later than 6 months following receipt.

This bill would require the board to post information on any proposed order or standard on the board’s internet website no later than 5 calendar days following the meeting.

Board staff are monitoring this legislation.
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board

Business Meeting

Executive Officer's Report