

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS  
Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board  
2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350  
Sacramento, CA 95833  
Tel: (916) 274-5721 Fax: (916) 274-5743  
Website address [www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb](http://www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb)



## **FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

### CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS

TITLE 8: Sections 2300 and 2305.2 of the Low-Voltage Electrical Orders and Section 2940.2 and Appendix A to Article 36 of the High-Voltage Electrical Safety Orders

### **Electric Power Generation, Transmission, and Distribution; Electrical Protective Equipment: Final Rule - Corrections**

#### **MODIFICATIONS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RESULTING FROM THE 45-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

There are no modifications to the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

#### **Summary of and Responses to Written and Oral Comments:**

##### I. Written Comment

Ms. Amber Rose, Area Director, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (Federal OSHA), by letter dated April 2, 2019.

##### Comment:

Ms. Rose stated that Federal OSHA has determined this proposal is commensurate with the federal standard.

##### Response:

The Board thanks Ms. Rose for her comment and participation in the Board's rulemaking process.

#### **ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS RELIED UPON**

None.

**ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

None.

**DETERMINATION OF MANDATE**

These standards do not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

**ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

The Board invited interested persons to present statements or arguments with respect to alternatives to the proposed standard. No alternative considered by the Board would be: (1) more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, or (2) would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted action, or (3) would be more cost-effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law. Board staff were unable to come up with any alternatives or no alternatives were proposed by the public that would have the same desired regulatory effect.