

Case Number:	CM15-0099901		
Date Assigned:	06/02/2015	Date of Injury:	10/30/2014
Decision Date:	07/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/05/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 32-year-old male injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 10/30/2014. The diagnoses included lumbar sprain, depression, umbilical hernia and lumbosacral neuritis. The injured worker had been treated with acupuncture and medications. On 4/21/2015, the treating provider reported lumbar sacral region pain rated 6/10 with radiation to the right hip and depression. The treatment plan included Lumbar Back Support.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lumbar Back Support: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 301. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines: Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic) chapter: Back braces/ Lumbar supports.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 301. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back (Lumbar and Thoracic), Lumbar Support.

Decision rationale: ACOEM states, "Lumbar supports have not been shown to have any lasting benefit beyond the acute phase of symptom relief." ODG states, "Not recommended for prevention. Recommended as an option for treatment. See below for indications. Prevention: Not recommended for prevention. There is strong and consistent evidence that lumbar supports were not effective in preventing neck and back pain . . . Lumbar supports do not prevent LBP. (Kinkade, 2007) A systematic review on preventing episodes of back problems found strong, consistent evidence that exercise interventions are effective and other interventions not effective, including stress management, shoe inserts, back supports, ergonomic/back education, and reduced lifting programs. (Bigos, 2009) This systematic review concluded that there is moderate evidence that lumbar supports are no more effective than doing nothing in preventing low-back pain. (van Duijvenbode, 2008)." ODG states for use as a "Treatment: Recommended as an option for compression fractures and specific treatment of spondylolisthesis, documented instability, and for treatment of nonspecific LBP (very low-quality evidence, but may be a conservative option)." The patient is well beyond the acute phase of treatment and the treating physician has provided no documentation of spondylolisthesis or documented instability. As such, the request for Lumbar back support is not medically necessary.