

Case Number:	CM15-0099865		
Date Assigned:	06/02/2015	Date of Injury:	01/03/2012
Decision Date:	06/30/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 62 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on January 3, 2012. Treatment to date has included arthroscopic surgery of the left knee, physical therapy, medications, and work restrictions. Currently, the injured worker complains of left and right knee pain and of low back pain. He rates his left knee pain a 10 on a 10-point scale, his right knee pain a 7-8 on a 10-point scale and his low back pain an 8-10 on a 10-point scale. He uses a brace on his left knee. On physical examination, the injured worker exhibits tenderness to palpation of the lumbar spine and the right and left knee. He has pain with range of motion of the lumbar spine and has muscle spasms with forward flexion. His right knee and left knee have a positive patellar grind maneuver and he has hamstring tenderness. The diagnoses associated with the request include left knee arthroscopic surgery with severe arthritis, bilateral mild carpal tunnel residual, hand and wrist tendinitis, spinal discopathy, status post lumbar discectomy, left knee mild early arthrosis with chondromalacia, lumbar discopathy with bilateral neuroforaminal compression and compromise and left knee severe osteoarthritis. The treatment plan includes work restrictions, MRI of the bilateral knees, eight visits of water therapy, and follow-up evaluation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Water therapy (visits) Qty: 8: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines aquatic therapy Page(s): 22.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Aquatic Therapy and Physical Medicine Page(s): 22, 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee & Leg (Acute & Chronic), Aquatic Therapy and Other Medical Treatment Guidelines MD Guidelines, Aquatic Therapy.

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines state that "Aquatic therapy (including swimming) can minimize the effects of gravity, so it is specifically recommended where reduced weight bearing is desirable, for example extreme obesity." Medical records indicate this patient is 5'7", 210 pounds, which does not classify this patient as "extremely obese." MD Guidelines similarly states, "If the patient has subacute or chronic LBP and meets criteria for a referral for supervised exercise therapy and has co-morbidities (e.g., extreme obesity, significant degenerative joint disease, etc.) that preclude effective participation in a weight-bearing physical activity, then a trial of aquatic therapy is recommended for the treatment of subacute or chronic LBP." ODG states regarding knee aquatherapy, "Recommended as an optional form of exercise therapy, where available, as an alternative to land-based physical therapy. Aquatic therapy (including swimming) can minimize the effects of gravity, especially deep water therapy with a floating belt as opposed to shallow water requiring weight bearing, so it is specifically recommended where reduced weight bearing is desirable, for example extreme obesity. Aquatic exercise appears to have some beneficial short-term effects for patients with hip and/or knee osteoarthritis while no long-term effects have been documented. Positive short-term effects include significantly less pain and improved physical function, strength, and quality of life . . . In patients with hip or knee arthritis, both aquatic and land based exercise programs appear to result in comparable outcomes for function, mobility or pooled indices. For people who have significant mobility or function limitations and are unable to exercise on land, aquatic exercise is a legitimate alternative that may enable people to successfully participate in exercise." The treating physician does not document any mobility or functional limitations that would limit the patient's land based exercises. Regarding the number of visits, MTUS states "Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine." ODG states "Patients should be formally assessed after a "six-visit clinical trial" to see if the patient is moving in a positive direction, no direction, or a negative direction (prior to continuing with the physical therapy); & (6) When treatment duration and/or number of visits exceeds the guideline, exceptional factors should be noted." At the conclusion of this trial, additional treatment would be assessed based upon documented objective, functional improvement, and appropriate goals for the additional treatment. The treating physician has not provided documentation of inability to participate in land based exercises. Additionally, the requested number of treatments are in excess of the guideline recommendation of "six-visit clinical trial". As such, the request for Water therapy (visits) Qty: 8 is not medically necessary.