

Case Number:	CM15-0099859		
Date Assigned:	06/04/2015	Date of Injury:	12/22/2014
Decision Date:	07/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/15/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/26/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Chiropractor, Oriental Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old, female who sustained a work related injury on 12/22/14. The diagnoses have included left plantar fasciitis and left ankle sprain/strain. Treatments have included medications, modified work duty, use of ankle/foot boot and an ankle support, and acupuncture. In the PR-2 dated 3/12/15, the injured worker complains of pain in the left leg and left ankle. She rates her pain level a 5-6/10. She has increased pain with prolonged standing and getting up from a sitting position with numbness in the heel, throbbing, burning pain in left sided foot and ankle. She has some swelling in ankle. She has medial and lateral joint line tenderness in left ankle. She has tenderness over the left Achilles and ankle. She has pain the plantar aspect of left foot. The treatment plan includes a request for additional acupuncture sessions.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Additional Acupuncture two (2) times a week for three (3) weeks for the Left Foot: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: Patient has had prior acupuncture treatment. Provider requested additional 2X3 acupuncture sessions which were non-certified by the utilization review. Medical records discuss improvement but not in a specific and verifiable manner consistent with the definition of functional improvement as stated in guidelines. The documentation fails to provide baseline of activities of daily living and examples of improvement in activities of daily living as result of acupuncture. Medical reports reveal little evidence of significant changes or improvement in findings, revealing a patient who has not achieved significant objective functional improvement to warrant additional treatment. Additional visits may be rendered if the patient has documented objective functional improvement. Per MTUS guidelines, Functional improvement means either a clinically significant improvement in activities of daily living or a reduction in work restrictions as measured during the history and physical exam or decrease in medication intake. Per review of evidence and guidelines, 2X3 acupuncture treatments are not medically necessary.