

Case Number:	CM15-0099201		
Date Assigned:	06/01/2015	Date of Injury:	05/05/2000
Decision Date:	07/08/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/13/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/22/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 35-year-old female, with a reported date of injury of 05/06/2000. The diagnoses include bulging lumbar disc, lumbar facet arthropathy, and lumbar postlaminectomy syndrome. Treatments to date have included three lumbar spine surgeries, oral medications, two lumbar epidural steroid injections, topical pain medication, and physical therapy. The new patient consultation report dated 04/20/2015 indicates that the injured worker had a history of chronic low back pain. She complained of severe low back pain with radiation to both legs, worse on the right side. She gradually weaned herself off the opioid pain medications, since she did not feel well on them. The physical examination showed normal, slow gait, ability to do short distance heel-toe walking, positive bilateral straight leg raise test, positive facet loading test, no tenderness to the lumbar spine, and deferred extension and flexion. The treating physician requested a lumbar epidural steroid injection.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lumbar Epidural Steroid Injection: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Epidural Steroid Injection (ESIs) Page(s): 46.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20-9792.26 Page(s): 46 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for repeat Lumbar epidural steroid injection, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that epidural injections are recommended as an option for treatment of radicular pain, defined as pain in dermatomal distribution with corroborative findings of radiculopathy, and failure of conservative treatment. Guidelines recommend that no more than one interlaminar level, or to transforaminal levels, should be injected at one session. Regarding repeat epidural injections, guidelines state that repeat blocks should be based on continued objective documented pain and functional improvement, including at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for six to eight weeks, with a general recommendation of no more than 4 blocks per region per year. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication of at least 50% pain relief with associated reduction of medication use for 6 to 8 weeks as well as functional improvement from previous epidural injections. Furthermore, there are no imaging or electrodiagnostic studies confirming a diagnosis of radiculopathy. As such, the currently requested repeat lumbar epidural steroid injection is not medically necessary.