

Case Number:	CM15-0097903		
Date Assigned:	05/29/2015	Date of Injury:	11/20/2012
Decision Date:	06/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/28/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 38 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on November 20, 2012. The injured worker was diagnosed as having right elbow epicondylitis and status post release. Treatment to date has included medication, surgery and physical therapy. A progress note dated April 21, 2015 the injured worker complains of right elbow pain rated 7/10 radiating to the right hand with numbness and tingling. Physical exam notes tenderness of right elbow and surgical scar, decreased range of motion (ROM) and decreased strength. The plan is to wean off medication, additional physical therapy and acupuncture, home exercise program (HEP), and oral and topical medication.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy 12 sessions: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, pages 98-99.

Decision rationale: Physical therapy is considered medically necessary when the services require the judgment, knowledge, and skills of a qualified physical therapist due to the complexity and sophistication of the therapy and the physical condition of the patient. However, there is no clear measurable evidence of progress with the PT treatment already rendered including milestones of increased ROM, strength, and functional capacity. Review of submitted physician reports show no evidence of functional benefit, unchanged chronic symptom complaints, clinical findings, and functional status. There is no evidence documenting functional baseline with clear goals to be reached and the patient striving to reach those goals. The Chronic Pain Guidelines allow for visits of physical therapy with fading of treatment to an independent self-directed home program. It appears the employee has received significant therapy sessions without demonstrated evidence of functional improvement to allow for additional therapy treatments. There is no report of acute flare-up, new injuries, or change in symptom or clinical findings to support for formal PT in a patient that has been instructed on a home exercise program for this chronic injury. Submitted reports have not adequately demonstrated the indication to support further physical therapy when prior treatment rendered has not resulted in any functional benefit. The Physical therapy 12 sessions is not medically necessary and appropriate.

Acupuncture 8 sessions for right elbow: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines, Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Acupuncture Treatment Guidelines.

Decision rationale: It is not clear if the patient has participated in previous acupuncture. Current clinical exam show no specific physical impairments or clear dermatomal/myotomal neurological deficits to support for treatment with acupuncture to the spine. There are no clear specific documented goals or objective measures to identify for improvement with a functional restoration approach for this injury with ongoing unchanged chronic pain complaints. MTUS, Acupuncture Guidelines recommend initial trial of conjunctive acupuncture visit of 3 to 6 treatment with further consideration upon evidence of objective functional improvement. Submitted reports have not demonstrated the medical indication or functional improvement from treatment previously rendered. Additionally, current request is beyond guidelines criteria for initial trial. The Acupuncture 8 sessions for right elbow is not medically necessary and appropriate.