

Case Number:	CM15-0097374		
Date Assigned:	05/28/2015	Date of Injury:	09/27/2008
Decision Date:	07/07/2015	UR Denial Date:	05/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York, Pennsylvania, Washington

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Geriatric Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 9/27/2008. He reported injury from hitting his left knee on a sprinkler head. The injured worker was diagnosed as status post left knee arthroplasty, left lower extremity chronic cellulitis and compartment syndrome and lumbago. There is no record of a recent diagnostic study. Treatment to date has included surgery, back brace, physical therapy and medication management. In a progress note dated 4/22/2015, the injured worker complains of low back pain and left leg pain, just below the knee and into the groin. Physical examination showed tenderness at the lumbar spine with decreased range of motion and left foot drop with weakness and swelling. Documentations states that pain medications relieved the pain. The treating physician is requesting Norco 10/325 mg #90.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #90: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 78-88.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20 - 9792.26 Page(s): 74-80.

Decision rationale: Per the guidelines, in opioid use, ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects is required. Satisfactory response to treatment may be reflected in decreased pain, increased level of function or improved quality of life. The MD visit fails to document any goals for significant improvement in pain, functional status or a discussion of side effects specifically related to opioids to justify use per the guidelines. Additionally, the long-term efficacy of opioids for chronic back pain is unclear but appears limited. The medical necessity of norco is not substantiated in the records. The request is not medically necessary.