

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0096464		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/26/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	11/18/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	06/29/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/27/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/19/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: North Carolina

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 24-year-old female who sustained an industrial injury on 11/18/2014 resulting in right hand/wrist pain and injury. Treatment provided to date has included: physical therapy (6 sessions), medications, conservative care, and steroid injections to the right wrist. Diagnostic tests performed include: x-rays of the right wrist (03/25/2015) showing no acute fracture or misalignment; and MRI of the right wrist (02/11/2015) showing avulsion of the central portion of the triangular fibro cartilage from the radial attachment with displacement in the ulnar direction creating a nearly 5mm gap. There were no noted previous injuries or dates of injury, and no noted comorbidities. On 04/20/2015, physician progress report (although hand written and difficult to decipher) noted complaints of right wrist pain with ongoing and continued improvement in pain from cortisone injection and therapy. Pain was not rated, and the injured worker reported that her pain fluctuated with use and increases with use of mouse and data entry. The physical exam revealed tenderness to palpation upper back, neck, shoulder and wrist, restricted range of motion in the right wrist and right upper extremity components. The provider noted diagnoses of wrist sprain and contusion of unspecified site. Plan of care includes continued conservative care, continued medications as needed, 6 additional sessions of physical therapy for the right wrist, and hand surgery consultation. The injured worker remained on modified work duty. Requested treatments include: 6 sessions of physical therapy for the right wrist.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Physical therapy for the right wrist 2 times weekly for 3 weeks, quantity: 6 sessions:**

Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Treatment Index, 11th Edition (web), 2014, Forearm, Wrist and Hand (Acute & Chronic), Physical/Occupational therapy.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98-99.

**Decision rationale:** The California chronic pain medical treatment guidelines section on physical medicine states: Recommended as indicated below. Passive therapy (those treatment modalities that do not require energy expenditure on the part of the patient) can provide short-term relief during the early phases of pain treatment and are directed at controlling symptoms such as pain, inflammation and swelling and to improve the rate of healing soft tissue injuries. They can be used sparingly with active therapies to help control swelling, pain and inflammation during the rehabilitation process. Active therapy is based on the philosophy that therapeutic exercise and/or activity are beneficial for restoring flexibility, strength, endurance, function, range of motion, and can alleviate discomfort. Active therapy requires an internal effort by the individual to complete a specific exercise or task. This form of therapy may require supervision from a therapist or medical provider such as verbal, visual and/or tactile instruction(s). Patients are instructed and expected to continue active therapies at home as an extension of the treatment process in order to maintain improvement levels. Home exercise can include exercise with or without mechanical assistance or resistance and functional activities with assistive devices. (Colorado, 2002) (Airaksinen, 2006) Patient-specific hand therapy is very important in reducing swelling, decreasing pain, and improving range of motion in CRPS. (Li, 2005) The use of active treatment modalities (e.g., exercise, education, activity modification) instead of passive treatments is associated with substantially better clinical outcomes. In a large case series of patients with low back pain treated by physical therapists, those adhering to guidelines for active rather than passive treatments incurred fewer treatment visits, cost less, and had less pain and less disability. The overall success rates were 64.7% among those adhering to the active treatment recommendations versus 36.5% for passive treatment. (Fritz, 2007) Physical Medicine Guidelines allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine. Myalgia and myositis, unspecified (ICD9 729.1): 9-10 visits over 8 weeks. Neuralgia, neuritis, and radiculitis, unspecified (ICD9 729.2) 8-10 visits over 4 weeks. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy (CRPS) (ICD9 337.2): 24 visits over 16 weeks. The goal of physical therapy is graduation to home therapy after a certain amount of recommended sessions. The patient has already completed a course of physical therapy. The request is in excess of these recommendations per the California MTUS. There is no explanation why the patient would not be moved to home therapy after completing the recommended amount of supervised sessions. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary.