

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0095310		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	05/21/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/12/2000
<b>Decision Date:</b>	06/24/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	05/04/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	05/18/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on September 12, 2000. The injured worker was diagnosed as having cervical and lumbar spondylosis, myofascial pain syndrome, pain disorder with psychological/general medical condition and insomnia due to chronic pain. Treatment to date has included pain management and medication. A progress note dated April 20, 2015 the injured worker complains of chronic low back pain and reflux. Physical exam notes cervical spasm. The plan includes lab work, behavioral medicine consultation, Norco, Flexeril, MS Contin, Lyrica and Prilosec.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Norco 10/325mg #120:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 82-88, 91.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids Page(s): 82-92.

**Decision rationale:** Norco is a short acting opioid used for breakthrough pain. According to the MTUS guidelines, it is not indicated as 1st line therapy for neuropathic pain, and chronic back pain . It is not indicated for mechanical or compressive etiologies. It is recommended for a trial basis for short-term use. Long Term-use has not been supported by any trials. In this case, the claimant had been on Norco for over 8 months in combination with Oxycontin. Long-term use of Norco is not recommended and not medically necessary.

**Flexeril 10mg #60:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants Page(s): 67.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Flexeril Page(s): 63.

**Decision rationale:** According to the MTUS guidelines, Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is more effective than placebo for back pain. It is recommended for short course therapy and has the greatest benefit in the first 4 days suggesting that shorter courses may be better. Those with fibromyalgia were 3 times more likely to report overall improvement, particularly sleep. Treatment should be brief. There is also a post-op use. The addition of Cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended. The claimant had been on Flexeril in combination with NSAIDs and opioids for over 8 months. Continued and chronic use of Flexeril is not medically necessary.