

Case Number:	CM15-0094681		
Date Assigned:	05/20/2015	Date of Injury:	01/16/2014
Decision Date:	10/05/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/15/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 62 year old female sustained an industrial injury to the left thumb on 1/16/14. Previous treatment included splinting and medications. Magnetic resonance imaging (2/27/15) showed a left thumb metacarpal intramedullary mass with cortical erosion. In a PR-2 dated 3/13/15, the injured worker complained of ongoing pain to the left thumb metacarpal that was not improved with topical anti-inflammatory medications. In a PR-2 dated 4/13/15, the injured worker's symptoms had not improved. Physical exam was remarkable for mildly positive carpometacarpal grind with maximum tenderness over the metacarpal shaft, intact 2-3 cross, thumbs up and OK tests, intact sensation to the medial, radial and ulnar nerve distribution and decreased Jamar grip strength. Current diagnoses included left thumb intramedullary mass of the metacarpal with cortical erosion. The treatment plan included surgical treatment of the left thumb metacarpal for a curettage of mass biopsy and bone grafting with associated surgical services.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

(L) Metacarpal bone tumor excision and biopsy: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints, Chapter 10 Elbow Disorders (Revised 2007), Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, Chapter 14 Ankle and Foot Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 270.

Decision rationale: Per the CA MTUS/ACOEM guidelines, Chapter 11, Forearm, Wrist and Hand Complaints, page 270, Referral for hand surgery consultation may be indicated for patients who: Have red flags of a serious nature; Fail to respond to conservative management, including worksite modifications; Have clear clinical and special study evidence of a lesion that has been shown to benefit, in both the short and long term, from surgical intervention. Surgical considerations depend on the confirmed diagnosis of the presenting hand or wrist complaint. If surgery is a consideration, counseling regarding likely outcomes, risks and benefits, and, especially, expectations is very important. If there is no clear indication for surgery, referring the patient to a physical medicine practitioner may aid in formulating a treatment plan. In this case the exam note from 4/13/15 does not demonstrate any evidence of red flag condition or clear lesion shown to benefit from surgical intervention. Therefore the determination is the request is not medically necessary.

Bone Graft: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints, Chapter 10 Elbow Disorders (Revised 2007), Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, Chapter 14 Ankle and Foot Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 270.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Flouro: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints, Chapter 10 Elbow Disorders (Revised 2007), Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, Chapter 14 Ankle and Foot Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints Page(s): 270.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Pre-op clearance: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: CBC: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Medicare: Preoperative testing - author: Gyanendra K Sharma, MD, FACP, FACC, FASE: Chief Editor: William A Schwer, MD.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Chest X-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Medscape: Preoperative Testing - Author: Gyanendra K Sharma, MD, FACP, FACC, And FASE.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: Short arm splint: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints, Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints, Chapter 10 Elbow Disorders (Revised 2007), Chapter 11 Forearm, Wrist, and Hand Complaints, Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints, Chapter 13 Knee Complaints, Chapter 14 Ankle and Foot Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Forearm, Hand and Wrist, Splints.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Medscape: Preoperative Testing - Author: Gyanendra K Sharma, MD, FACP, FACC, FASE.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: BMP: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Medscape: Preoperative Testing - Author: Gyanendra K Sharma, MD, FACP, FACC, FASE.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: PT/PTT: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Medscape: Preoperative Testing - Author: Gyanendra K Sharma, MD, FACP, FACC, FASE.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low back, Preoperative testing.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.