

Case Number:	CM15-0091685		
Date Assigned:	05/18/2015	Date of Injury:	01/28/2004
Decision Date:	06/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/30/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/12/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45 year old, male who sustained a work related injury on 1/28/04. The diagnoses have included lumbar post laminectomy syndrome and lumbar degenerative disc disease. Treatments have included lumbar surgery, medications, TENS unit therapy with good relief, physical therapy and home exercises. In the PR-2 dated 3/20/15, the injured worker complains of low back pain that extends in a band across the lower portion of the lumbar spine and radiates down right leg to foot. He describes the pain as constant, aching and burning. He has numbness in the right foot and along lateral aspect of right leg. He states daily activities are limited due to pain. His current pain medication gives him good relief with no adverse effects. He can be more active in performing activities. He is working full time. He has marked tenderness to midline lower lumbar spine. He has some decreased range of motion in lumbar spine. The treatment plan includes refill prescriptions for medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Methadone 10mg quantity 360: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-97.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Medications for chronic pain Page(s): 61.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, section Medications for chronic pain, Methadone is recommended as a second line drug for moderate to severe pain if the potential benefit outweighs the risk. The FDA reports that they have received reports of severe morbidity and mortality with these medications. As an opioid, Methadone should be used in the context of a well-established plan, tailored to the patient needs, when there is no reasonable alternative to treatment and when the patient is responsive to treatment. The lowest possible effective dose should be used. In this case, there is no evidence that the lowest dose of Methadone has been used. Furthermore, it appears that a multidisciplinary approach was not used in this patient who continued to report severe pain despite the use of Methadone and other pain medications. Based on the above, the prescription of Methadone 10mg 3360 is not medically necessary.

Hydrocodone Acetaminophen 10/325mg quantity 120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 76-79.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Norco (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a synthetic opioid indicated for the pain management but not recommended as a first line oral analgesic. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: "(a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework." According to the patient's file, there is no objective documentation of pain and functional improvement to justify continuous use of Norco. Norco was used for longtime without documentation of functional improvement or evidence of return to work or improvement of activity of daily living. Therefore, the prescription of Norco 10/325mg #120 is not medically necessary.