

Case Number:	CM15-0091041		
Date Assigned:	05/15/2015	Date of Injury:	04/08/2013
Decision Date:	06/16/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/21/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	05/11/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 33 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 04/08/13. Initial complaints and diagnoses are not available. Treatments to date include medications including Norco and Protonix. Diagnostic studies are not addressed. Current complaints include low back pain and twitching in her legs. Current diagnoses include lumbago/low back pain, lumbosacral disc degeneration, and lumbar herniated disc. In a progress note dated 03/31/15 the treating provider reports the plan of care as continued medications including Norco and Protonix, a urine drug screen, as well as a lumbar epidural steroid injection scheduled for the following day. There is no documentation that the injured worker is taking nonsteroidals, or has tried other gastrointestinal protective medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Protonix 20mg #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI Symptoms and Cardiovascular risk, Pages 68-69.

Decision rationale: Protonix medication is for treatment of the problems associated with erosive esophagitis from GERD, or in patients with hypersecretion diseases. Per MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines, the patient does not meet criteria for Protonix namely reserved for patients with history of prior GI bleeding, the elderly (over 65 years), diabetics, and chronic cigarette smokers. Submitted reports have not described or provided any GI diagnosis that meets the criteria to indicate medical treatment. Review of the records show no documentation of any history, symptoms, or GI diagnosis to warrant this medication. The Protonix 20mg #30 is not medically necessary and appropriate.