

Case Number:	CM15-0079715		
Date Assigned:	04/30/2015	Date of Injury:	03/25/2003
Decision Date:	05/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/20/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/27/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Florida

Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 57 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 3/25/03. The injured worker reported symptoms in the bilateral upper extremities. The injured worker was diagnosed as having bilateral upper extremity repetitive injury, bilateral upper extremity internal derangement, bilateral upper extremity tendinitis and bilateral upper extremity sprain/strain. Treatments to date have included oral pain medication, status post right carpal tunnel release, and status post right wrist surgery. Currently, the injured worker complains of bilateral upper extremity discomfort. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Nucynta 100mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, dosing. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines Pain Chronic.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Criteria for use of opioids Page(s): 110-115.

Decision rationale: In accordance with California MTUS guidelines, narcotics for chronic pain management should be continued if "(a) If the patient has returned to work, (b) If the patient has improved functioning and pain." MTUS guidelines also recommend that narcotic medications only be prescribed for chronic pain when there is evidence of a pain management contract being upheld with proof of frequent urine drug screens. Regarding this patient's case, there is no objective evidence of functional improvement or a significant decrease in pain with this chronic narcotic pain medication. Likewise, this request is not medically necessary.