

Case Number:	CM15-0079387		
Date Assigned:	04/30/2015	Date of Injury:	02/06/2014
Decision Date:	05/29/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
State(s) of Licensure: New York
Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55-year-old male, with a reported date of injury of 02/06/2014. The diagnoses include displacement of cervical intervertebral disc without myelopathy and neck pain. Treatments to date have included an electromyography of the right arm, oral medications, and an MRI of the cervical spine. The medical report dated 03/11/2015 indicates that the injured worker had neck pain and right upper extremity cervical radiculopathy due to a disk herniation at C6-7. The physical examination showed diminished light touch sensation in the right upper extremity and diffusely decreased motor strength in the right upper extremity. The treating physician requested C6-7 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with cadaveric allograft, instrumentation, and neuro-monitoring; one day inpatient stay; assistant surgeon; history and physical; pre-operative EKG; pre-operative chest x-ray; pre-operative labs; pre-operative urinalysis; and pre-operative visit 7-14 days before surgery and post-operative visit 2 weeks after surgery.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

C6-C7 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with cadaveric allograft, instrumentation, and neuro-monitoring: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 178-180.

Decision rationale: The California MTUS guidelines recommend cervical surgery when the patient has had severe persistent, debilitating, upper extremity complaints referable to a specific nerve root or spinal cord level corroborated by clear imaging, clinical examination and electrophysiological studies. The patient's documentation supports complaints arising in the distal upper extremity. The guidelines note the patient would have failed a trial of conservative therapy. The guidelines note the surgical repair proposed for the lesion must have evidence of efficacy both in the short and long term. The California MTUS guidelines do recommend a spinal fusion for traumatic vertebral fracture, dislocation and instability. This patient has not had any of these events. The guidelines note that the efficacy of fusion in the absence of instability has not been proven. The requested treatment: C6-C7 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with cadaveric allograft, instrumentation, and neuro-monitoring is NOT Medically necessary and appropriate.

Associated surgical services: 1 day inpatient stay: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Assistant surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: H&P: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preop EKG: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preop Chest x-ray: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preop labs: CBC, CMP, PT, PTT, type & screen: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preop urinalysis (UA): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Preop visit 7-14 days before surgery: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

Post op visit 2 weeks after surgery: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 180.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

Decision rationale: Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.