

Case Number:	CM15-0079248		
Date Assigned:	04/30/2015	Date of Injury:	09/07/2011
Decision Date:	06/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/10/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/24/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Arizona, Texas
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 45 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 9/7/11. She subsequently reported back and neck pain. Diagnoses include sprain of neck and back and cervical disc degeneration. Treatments to date have included MRI testing, therapy, injections and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience neck and upper back radiating to the upper extremities. Upon examination, negative Spurling's test, decreased ranges of motion and tenderness to palpation was noted. A request for MRI cervical spine was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of Cervical Spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 166-194.

Decision rationale: According to the ACOEM criteria for ordering an MRI for cervical or lumbar pain is emergence of a red flag (suspicion of a tumor, infection, fracture or dislocation), physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurologic dysfunction, failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery, clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure. When the neurologic exam is not definitive further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction can be obtained before ordering an imaging study. Such information can be obtained by an EMG or NCS. In this case the primary treating physician does not document a neurological exam consistent with significant dysfunction that would indicate a red flag. There is no surgical intervention planned and the injured worker is not participating in a strengthening program. An MRI of the cervical spine is not medically necessary.