

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0078665		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/29/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	04/04/2014
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/29/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/04/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/24/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 46 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on April 4, 2014. He has reported left hand pain. Diagnoses have included left hand fracture, and crush injury of the hand. Treatment to date has included medications, home exercise, heat therapy, and imaging studies. A progress note dated March 25, 2015 indicates a chief complaint of left hand pain that feels better. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included a functional capacity evaluation.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**One functional capacity evaluation:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 1 Prevention Page(s): 12. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation x Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Fitness for Duty Chapter, Functional Capacity Evaluation.

**Decision rationale:** Regarding request for functional capacity evaluation, Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines state that there is not good evidence that functional capacity evaluations are correlated with a lower frequency of health complaints or injuries. ODG states that the criteria for the use of a functional capacity evaluation includes case management being hampered by complex issues such as prior unsuccessful return to work attempts, conflicting medical reporting on precautions and/or fitness for modified job, or injuries that require detailed explanation of a worker's abilities. Additionally, guidelines recommend that the patient be close to or at maximum medical improvement with all key medical reports secured and additional/secondary conditions clarified. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient is close to or at MMI and case management is being hampered by complex issues as outlined above. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested functional capacity evaluation is not medically necessary.