

Case Number:	CM15-0078418		
Date Assigned:	04/29/2015	Date of Injury:	09/19/1999
Decision Date:	05/28/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/23/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Family Practice

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on September 19, 1999. Prior treatment includes cervical discectomy and fusion, post left shoulder cuff repair, and medications. Currently the injured worker complains of left shoulder pain, neck pain and low back pain. Diagnoses associated with the request cervical discectomy and fusion, post left shoulder cuff repair, herniated nucleus pulposus of the lumbar spine, sprain/strain of the right hip and sprain/strain of the left ankle. The treatment plan includes oxycodone, urine drug screen and interferential stim unit thirty-day trial.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Oxycodone 30mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for chronic pain Page(s): 80.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78-96.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that opioids may be considered for moderate to severe chronic pain as a secondary treatment, but require that for continued opioid use, there is to be ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use with implementation of a signed opioid contract, drug screening (when appropriate), review of non-opioid means of pain control, using the lowest possible dose, making sure prescriptions are from a single practitioner and pharmacy, and side effects, as well as consultation with pain specialist if after 3 months unsuccessful with opioid use, all in order to improve function as criteria necessary to support the medical necessity of opioids. Long-term use and continuation of opioids requires this comprehensive review with documentation to justify continuation. In the case of this worker, there was record of Oxycodone use leading up to this request for renewal. Documentation included pain levels with and without medication (4/10 vs 6/10 on pain scale, respectively) as well as improvements in daily living functions. However, this was in regards to his overall medication usage which included oxycodone and Flexeril, without any breakdown as to how effective oxycodone was independent of the Flexeril use. Also, there were no baseline functional abilities outlines to compare. Therefore, the request for oxycodone cannot be considered medically necessary until this information is provided to fully support its continuation.

Home Interferential Slim Unit (30 Day Trial): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation (ICS) Page(s): 114-121.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation (ICS) Page(s): 118-120.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines do not recommend interferential current stimulation (ICS) as an isolated intervention as there is no quality evidence. It may be considered as an adjunct if used in conjunction with recommended treatments, including return to work, exercise, and medications if these have not shown to provide significant improvements in function and pain relief, and has already been applied by the physician or physical therapist with evidence of effectiveness in the patient. Criteria for consideration would include if the patient's pain is ineffectively controlled due to diminished effectiveness of medications, pain is ineffectively controlled with medications due to side effects, if the patient has a history of substance abuse, if the patient has significant pain from postoperative conditions which limits the ability to perform exercise programs or physical therapy treatments, or if the patient was unresponsive to conservative measures (repositioning, heat/ice, etc.). A one month trial may be appropriate if one of these criteria are met as long as there is documented evidence of functional improvement and less pain and evidence of medication reduction during the trial period. Continuation of the ICS may only be continued if this documentation of effectiveness is provided. Also, a jacket for ICS should only be considered for those patients who cannot apply the pads alone or with the help of another available person, and this be documented. In the case of this worker, there was no evidence of an ongoing physical medicine regimen (exercise) or a reported history of prior TENS use and failure, which are both required in order to justify any request for an ICS unit trial. Therefore, this request will be considered medically unnecessary until this evidence of appropriateness is provided for review.

Urine Drug Screening: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Drug testing p 43, AND Opioids pp. 77, 78, 86.

Decision rationale: The MTUS Chronic Pain Guidelines state that urine drug screening tests may be used to assess for the use or the presence of illegal drugs. Drug screens, according to the MTUS, are appropriate when initiating opioids for the first time, and afterwards periodically in patients with issues of abuse, addiction, or poor pain control. The MTUS lists behaviors and factors that could be used as indicators for drug testing, and they include: multiple unsanctioned escalations in dose, lost or stolen medication, frequent visits to the pain center or emergency room, family members expressing concern about the patient's use of opioids, excessive numbers of calls to the clinic, family history of substance abuse, past problems with drugs and alcohol, history of legal problems, higher required dose of opioids for pain, dependence on cigarettes, psychiatric treatment history, multiple car accidents, and reporting fewer adverse symptoms from opioids. In the case of this worker, although opioids were being used chronically, there was no documented evidence to support an indication for urine drug screening as there was no mention of any abnormal behavior, prior abnormal drug tests, or drug addiction. Therefore, the request for urine drug screening will be considered medically unnecessary.