

Case Number:	CM15-0077870		
Date Assigned:	04/29/2015	Date of Injury:	03/10/2010
Decision Date:	05/26/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/27/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/23/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 48 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 03/10/2010. She reported an injury to her lumbar spine. The injured worker is currently diagnosed as having lumbago and thoracic/lumbar neuritis. Treatment and diagnostics to date has included lumbar epidural steroid injections, lumbar facet block, physical therapy, and medications. In a progress note dated 02/05/2015, the injured worker presented with complaints of lumbar spine pain and states less pain with injections. The treating physician reported requesting authorization for functional capacity evaluation.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Functional Capacity Evaluation: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Guidelines for performing an FCE.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 5 Cornerstones of Disability Prevention and Management Page(s): 91. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Fitness For Duty- Functional capacity evaluation (FCE).

Decision rationale: Functional capacity evaluation is not medically necessary per the ODG and MTUS Guidelines. The MTUS states that in many cases, physicians can listen to the patient's history, ask questions about activities, and then extrapolate, based on knowledge of the patient and experience with other patients with similar conditions. If a more precise delineation is necessary to of patient capabilities than is available from routine physical examination under some circumstances, this can best be done by ordering a functional capacity evaluation of the patient. The ODG states that If a worker is actively participating in determining the suitability of a particular job, the FCE is more likely to be successful. A FCE is not as effective when the referral is less collaborative and more directive. One should consider an FCE if case management is hampered by complex issues such as prior unsuccessful return to work attempts or if there are conflicting medical reporting on precautions and/or fitness for modified job. An FCE can be considered also if the injuries that require detailed exploration of a worker's abilities. The documentation indicates that the patient is retired. There are no documents revealing complex work issues or prior return to work attempts. There is no rationale discussing why the patient requires an FCE. The request for a functional capacity evaluation is not medically necessary.