

|                       |              |                              |            |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Case Number:</b>   | CM15-0077741 |                              |            |
| <b>Date Assigned:</b> | 04/29/2015   | <b>Date of Injury:</b>       | 10/03/2008 |
| <b>Decision Date:</b> | 05/29/2015   | <b>UR Denial Date:</b>       | 03/25/2015 |
| <b>Priority:</b>      | Standard     | <b>Application Received:</b> | 04/23/2015 |

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Florida  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 50 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on October 3, 2008. She has reported back pain and has been diagnosed with radiculitis, thoracic or lumbar and displacement of intervertebral disc, site unspecified, without myelopathy. Treatment have included medications, TENS unit, physical therapy, and stretching exercises. Currently the injured worker complains of persistent lower back pains which are aggravated by activities. The treatment request included oxycodone, Soma, and Lidoderm.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Oxycodone Acetaminophen 10/325 mg (1 tab every 8 hrs as needed) Qty 90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 78-80, 92, 124.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation official disability guidelines - pain, opioids.

**Decision rationale:** ODG guidelines support opioids with: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug-related behaviors. The medical records report chronic pain but does not document ongoing opioid risk mitigation tool use in support of chronic therapy congruent with ODG guidelines. As such chronic opioids are not supported and are not medically necessary.

**Soma 350 mg (1 tab by oral 2 times daily and at bedtime) Qty 60 with 3 refills:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 63-65.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines soma Page(s): 29.

**Decision rationale:** ODG guidelines support opioids with: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or nonadherent) drug-related behaviors. The medical records report chronic pain but does not document ongoing opioid risk mitigation tool use in support of chronic therapy congruent with ODG guidelines. As such chronic opioids are not supported and are not medically necessary.

**Lidoderm 5% (700 mg/patch), adhesive 1 patch transdermal every day, Qty 30 with 3 refills:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines topicals Page(s): 111.

**Decision rationale:** The medical records provided for review indicate a neuropathic pain condition with associated hyperalgesia/allodynia. The records report poor tolerance to oral medications but does not indicate the specific medications failed, specifically trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants. MTUS supports this agent is primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. As the records do not indicate specific antidepressants and anticonvulsants tried and failed, the medical records do not support use of this medication congruent with MTUS. The request is not medically necessary.