

Case Number:	CM15-0076814		
Date Assigned:	04/28/2015	Date of Injury:	08/28/2001
Decision Date:	06/02/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/26/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/22/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Hospice & Palliative Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 59 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 8/28/01. The injured worker reported symptoms in the back and lower extremities. The injured worker was diagnosed as having status post lumbar fusion, myofascial pain syndrome and lumbar radiculopathy. Treatments to date have included oral pain medication, and medial branch blocks. Currently, the injured worker complains of pain in the back with radiation to the lower extremities. The plan of care was for diagnostics and a follow up appointment at a later date.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) of the cervical spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 177-178.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 8 Neck and Upper Back Complaints Page(s): 165-188.

Decision rationale: The ACOEM Guidelines support the use of cervical MRI imaging if a "red flag" is found, such as findings suggesting a fracture, symptoms of upper back complaints after a

recent trauma, or symptoms suggesting an infection or tumor. MRI imaging is also supported when symptoms do not improve despite three to four weeks of conservative care with observation and there is evidence of an injury or nerve problem or when an invasive procedure is planned and clarification of the worker's upper back structure is required. The submitted and reviewed documentation indicated the worker was experiencing lower back stiffness and pain that went into both legs with numbness, neck pain that went into the right arm with numbness and tingling and right hand weakness, problems sleeping, constipation, and depressed mood. There was no discussion detailing conservative care, suggesting this study was needed in preparation for surgery, or other supported issues. There also was no discussion detailing how this study would affect the worker's care. In the absence of such evidence, the current request for a MRI of the cervical spine region is not medically necessary.