

Case Number:	CM15-0075374		
Date Assigned:	04/27/2015	Date of Injury:	08/01/2014
Decision Date:	05/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/07/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 42 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 08/01/2014. Current diagnoses include right fifth through tenth rib fractures, lumbago, and left sided sacroiliitis. Previous treatments included a knee brace, 1 marijuana cigarette, and Methamphetamine as needed. Previous diagnostic studies include x-rays. Initial complaints included immediate right sided rib pain and low back pain after tripping and falling. Report dated 03/26/2015 noted that the injured worker presented with complaints that included ribs, left knee, and low back pain. Pain level was 7 out of 10 (rib pain), 9 out 10 (low back pain), and 6 out of 10 (left knee pain) on the visual analog scale (VAS). Physical examination was positive for abnormal findings. The treatment plan included requests for Lidopro ointment, and Ultram, MRI of the lumbar spine, and return for follow up in 5 months. Disputed treatments include Lidopro topical ointment.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

LidoPro Topical Oint/Applicator: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Compound creams.

Decision rationale: MTUS and ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed". The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended". Lidopro Lotion (Not Recommended) Lidopro is a topical medication containing Lidocaine, Capsaicin, Menthol, and Methyl Salicylate. ODG recommends usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed". The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anticonvulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended". MTUS recommends topical capsaicin "only as an option in patients who have not responded or are intolerant to other treatments". There is no indication that the patient has failed oral medication or is intolerant to other treatments. Additionally, ODG states "Topical OTC pain relievers that contain menthol, methyl salicylate, or capsaicin, may in rare instances cause serious burns, a new alert from the FDA warns". ODG only comments on menthol in the context of cryotherapy for acute pain, but does state "Topical OTC pain relievers that contain menthol, methyl salicylate, or capsaicin, may in rare instances cause serious burns, a new alert from the FDA warns". MTUS states regarding topical Salicylate, "Recommended. Topical salicylate (e.g., Ben-Gay, methyl salicylate) is significantly better than placebo in chronic pain. (Mason-BMJ, 2004) See also topical analgesics; & Topical analgesics, compounded". In this case, lidocaine is not supported for topical use per guidelines. As such, the request for LidoPro Topical Oint/Applicator is not medically necessary.