

Case Number:	CM15-0075241		
Date Assigned:	04/27/2015	Date of Injury:	06/18/1991
Decision Date:	05/22/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/07/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: Connecticut, California, Virginia
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker was a 53 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury, June 18, 1991. The injured worker previously received Norco. The injured worker was diagnosed with lumbar spine radiculopathy with disc injury. According to progress note of March 9, 2015, the injured workers chief complaint was constant pain and tingling in the legs. The physical exam noted unchanged tenderness with limited mobility. The treatment plan included a prescription for Norco.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, criteria for use.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-96.

Decision rationale: Chronic use of opioids is addressed thoroughly by the MTUS chronic pain guidelines and given the long history pain in this patient since the initial date of injury,

consideration of the MTUS Criteria for Use of Opioids in chronic pain is appropriate. Documentation of pain and functional improvement are critical components, along with documentation of adverse effects. While the MTUS does not specifically detail a set visit frequency for re-evaluation, recommended duration between visits is 1 to 6 months. Consideration of additional expertise in pain management should be considered if there is no evidence of improvement in the long term. In this case, the provided records are quite scant, and there is very little objective evidence presented to support the use of opioids, including lack of evidence indicating failure of treatment with other medications. Consideration of other pain treatment modalities and adjuvants is also recommended. Given the lack of details provided in the records to support the request, the request for Norco 10/325, particularly with a quantity of 120 tablets, is not considered medically necessary.