

Case Number:	CM15-0075050		
Date Assigned:	04/24/2015	Date of Injury:	01/13/2009
Decision Date:	05/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 64-year-old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 1/13/2009. The medical records submitted for this review failed to include the details of the initial injury. Diagnoses include rotator cuff, bursitis, unspecified neuralgia neuritis and radiculitis, and fibromyalgia/myositis. Treatments to date include medication therapy and acupuncture treatments. Currently, he complained of increased left shoulder and upper back pain. On 3/31/15, the physical examination documented decreased range of motion in the left shoulder, tenderness and palpable trigger point in the upper trapezius and scapulae areas. There was atrophy of the left trapezius noted along with decreased sensation to the left upper extremity. The plan of care included continuation of medication therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 7.5/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 74-82.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioid Medication Page(s): 75-80.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen), Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that Norco is an opiate pain medication. Due to high abuse potential, close follow-up is recommended with documentation of analgesic effect, objective functional improvement, side effects, and discussion regarding any aberrant use. Guidelines go on to recommend discontinuing opioids if there is no documentation of improved function and pain. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the medication is improving the patient's function or pain, as the patient continues to have 9 out of 10 pain despite the use of Norco. As such, there is no clear indication for ongoing use of the medication. Opioids should not be abruptly discontinued, but unfortunately, there is no provision to modify the current request to allow tapering. In light of the above issues, the currently requested Norco (hydrocodone/acetaminophen) is not medically necessary.