

Case Number:	CM15-0074680		
Date Assigned:	04/24/2015	Date of Injury:	06/11/2006
Decision Date:	05/22/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/16/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/20/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 54 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on June 11, 2006. He has reported lower back pain and leg pain. Diagnoses have included lumbar spine disc disease with myelopathy, nerve root irritation, facet joint syndrome, and gastritis. Treatment to date has included medications, physical therapy, epidural steroid injection, and imaging studies. A progress note dated September 24, 2013 indicates a chief complaint of lower back pain radiating to the right leg. The treating physician documented a plan of care that included medications.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #220: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Ongoing management Page(s): 78-80.

Decision rationale: Norco 10/325mg #220 is not medically necessary per the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines. The MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that a pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. The MTUS does not support ongoing opioid use without improvement in function or pain. The documentation submitted does not reveal the above pain assessment or clear monitoring of the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug-taking behaviors). There is no urine drug screen submitted for review or updated signed opiate agreement submitted. The documentation reveals that the patient has been on long-term opioids without significant objective documentation of functional improvement therefore the request for Norco is not medically necessary.