

Case Number:	CM15-0074110		
Date Assigned:	04/24/2015	Date of Injury:	06/21/2006
Decision Date:	05/27/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/06/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old female with an industrial injury dated 06/21/2006. Her diagnoses included lumbago, joint replaced, knee and joint pain, leg. Prior treatments included physical therapy, surgery and medications. She presented on 04/01/2015 with complaints of low back pain and soreness after walking around during her rehab for her recent knee revision surgery. Objective findings are not documented in this note. The treatments plans included physical therapy, medications and in home assistance in the short term for her back flare up.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Home Health Assistance, 12 visits: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Home Health Services Page(s): 51.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Home Health Services Page(s): 51. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Home Health Services.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS and ODG Home Health Services section, "Recommended only for otherwise recommended medical treatment for patients who are homebound, on a part-time or 'intermittent' basis, generally up to no more than 35 hours per week. Medical treatment does not include homemaker services like shopping, cleaning, and laundry, and personal care given by home health aides like bathing, dressing, and using the bathroom when this is the only care needed." Given the medical records provided, employee does not appear to be "homebound." The treating physician does not detail what specific home services the patient should have. Additionally, documentation provided does not support the use of home health services as "medical treatment", as defined in MTUS. As such, the current request for Home Health Assistance, 12 visits is not medically necessary.