

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0073982		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/24/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	10/26/1993
<b>Decision Date:</b>	07/07/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/06/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/17/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: Pennsylvania, Ohio, California  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 64 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/26/93. He reported a low back injury. The injured worker was diagnosed as having sacroiliac pain and chronic back pain. Treatment to date has included oral medications including Soma, Colace, Oxycodone, OxyContin, Flexeril and Aspirin. Currently, the injured worker complains of low back pain rated 3/10 with medications and 10/10 without medications. The injured worker states the oral medications are working well. Physical exam noted antalgic gait, restricted lumbar range of motion and tenderness and hypertonicity of paravertebral muscles bilaterally with tenderness over the posterior iliac spine on the left. The treatment plan included continuation of oral medications including OxyContin, Oxycodone, Flexeril, Soma, Colace and anti-inflammatory medication.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Soma 350mg #90 with 1 refill:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma) Page(s): 29.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS does not recommend use of Carisoprodol (Soma), particularly for long-term use or in combination with hydrocodone or other opioids. This medication has abuse potential for sedative and relaxant effects; abuse has also been noted in order to augment or alter effects of other drugs. MTUS recommends other first-line medications rather than Soma for pain or muscle spasm. The records do not provide an alternate rationale to support this request. This medication is not medically necessary.

**Oxycodone HCl 15mg #120 with 1 refill:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids/Ongoing Management Opioids for Chronic Pain Page(s): 78, 80.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS discusses in detail the 4 As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective, verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. MTUS also discourages the use of chronic opioids for back pain due to probable lack of efficacy. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.

**Oxycontin 60mg #90 with 1 refill:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids/Ongoing Management Opioids for Chronic Pain Page(s): 78, 80.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS discusses in detail the 4 As of opioid management, emphasizing the importance of dose titration vs. functional improvement and documentation of objective, verifiable functional benefit to support an indication for ongoing opioid use. MTUS also discourages the use of chronic opioids for back pain due to probable lack of efficacy. The records in this case do not meet these 4As of opioid management and do not provide a rationale or diagnosis overall for which ongoing opioid use is supported. Therefore, this request is not medically necessary.

**Flexeril 10mg #30 with 1 refill:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants/Flexeril Page(s): 63-64.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS recommends the use of non-sedating muscle relaxants for short-term use only. This guideline recommends Cyclobenzaprine/Flexeril only for a short course of therapy. The records in this case do not provide an alternate rationale to support longer or ongoing use. This request is not medically necessary.