

Case Number:	CM15-0073366		
Date Assigned:	04/23/2015	Date of Injury:	10/05/1998
Decision Date:	05/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/17/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New Jersey, Alabama, California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurology, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 45 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 10/5/1998. She reported injury from a motor vehicle accident. The injured worker was diagnosed as having chronic multifactorial lower back and left lower extremity pain, low back pain, and lumbar radiculopathy. Treatment to date has included medications, lumbar epidural steroid injections. The request is for Gabapentin, and Oxy IR. On 11/6/2014, she reported her average pain as 6/10. She complained of low back and left lower extremity pain with radiation into the foot. She gained transient benefit from a lumbar epidural injection. She reported having no benefit from intradiscal electrotherapy therapy. She reported having good benefit from the use of Methadone augmented by Oxy IR and Gabapentin. The treatment plan included: refilling Methadone, Oxy IR, and Gabapentin.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Gabapentin 300mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
Gabapentin Page(s): 49.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, "Gabapentin is an anti-epilepsy drug (AEDs - also referred to as anti-convulsants), which has been shown to be effective for treatment of diabetic painful neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia and has been considered as a first-line treatment for neuropathic pain." There is no documentation that the patient is suffering from neuropathic pain including diabetic neuropathic pain or post-herpetic neuralgia condition. Therefore, the prescription of GABAPENTIN 300 MG #60 is not medically necessary.

Methadone 10mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines
Medications for chronic pain Page(s): 61.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, section Medications for chronic pain, Methadone is recommended as a second line drug for moderate to severe pain if the potential benefit outweighs the risk. The FDA reports that they have received reports of severe morbidity and mortality with this medications. As an opioid, Methadone should be used in the context of a well established plan, tailored to the patient needs, when there is no reasonable alternative to treatment and when the patient is responsive to treatment. The lowest possible effective dose should be used. In this case, the patient continue to have severe pain despite the use of Methadone. Furthermore, it appears that a multidisciplinary approach was not used in this patient who continued to report severe pain despite the use of Methadone and other pain medications. Based on the above, the prescription of Methadone 10mg #60 is not medically necessary.

Oxy IR 15mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids
Page(s): 75-81.

Decision rationale: According to MTUS guidelines, Oxycodone as well as other short acting opioids are indicated for intermittent or breakthrough pain. It can be used in acute post operative pain. It is not recommended for chronic pain of long-term use as prescribed in this case. In addition and according to MTUS guidelines, ongoing use of opioids should follow specific rules: (a) Prescriptions from a single practitioner taken as directed, and all prescriptions from a single pharmacy. (b) The lowest possible dose should be prescribed to improve pain and function. (c) Office: Ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include: current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life. Information from family members or other caregivers should be considered in determining the patient's response to treatment. The 4 A's for Ongoing Monitoring: Four domains have been proposed as most relevant for ongoing monitoring of

chronic pain patients on opioids: pain relief, side effects, physical and psychosocial functioning, and the occurrence of any potentially aberrant (or non adherent) drug-related behaviors. These domains have been summarized as the "4 A's" (analgesia, activities of daily living, adverse side effects, and aberrant drug taking behaviors). The monitoring of these outcomes over time should affect therapeutic decisions and provide a framework. There is no documentation that the patient have pain breakthrough. There is no documentation of pain and functional improvement with previous use of opioids. There is no rational for a continuous and chronic use of Oxycodone. There is no recent documentation of the patient compliance with her medications. Therefore, the prescription of Oxycodone IR 15mg #60 is not medically necessary.