

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0073244		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/23/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	09/02/1997
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/20/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/13/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/17/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 42 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 09/27/1997, he complained of lower back pain. On provider visit dated 03/04/2015 the injured worker has reported low back pain with radiation into the lower extremities. On examination of the lumbar spine there was tenderness to palpation of paravertebral muscles, nerve root test was positive and range of motion was noted as guarded and restricted. The diagnoses have included lumbago. Treatment to date has included physical therapy and medication. The provider requested 30 Ondansetron 8mg for nausea and 90 Tramadol ER 150mg for severe pain.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**30 Ondansetron 8mg:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain Chronic.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain chapter; Antiemetics (for opioid nausea), page 773.

**Decision rationale:** The Ondansetron (Zofran) is provided as medication causes recurrent nausea and vomiting. Ondansetron (Zofran) is an antiemetic, serotonin 5-HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist FDA- approved and prescribed for the prevention of nausea and vomiting associated with highly emetogenic cancer chemotherapy, and in severe postoperative nausea and/or vomiting, and for acute gastroenteritis. Common side effects include headaches, dizziness, malaise, and diarrhea amongst more significant CNS extra-pyramidal reactions, and hepatic disease including liver failure. None of these indications are industrially related to this injury. The medical report from the provider has not adequately documented the medical necessity of this antiemetic medication prescribed from nausea and vomiting side effects of chronic pain medications. A review of the MTUS-ACOEM Guidelines, McKesson InterQual Guidelines are silent on its use; however, ODG Guidelines does not recommend treatment of Zofran for nausea and vomiting secondary to chronic opioid use. The 30 Ondansetron 8mg is not medically necessary and appropriate.

**90 Tramadol ER 150mg:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids, pages 74-96.

**Decision rationale:** Per the MTUS Guidelines cited, opioid use in the setting of chronic, non-malignant, or neuropathic pain is controversial. Patients on opioids should be routinely monitored for signs of impairment and use of opioids in patients with chronic pain should be reserved for those with improved functional outcomes attributable to their use, in the context of an overall approach to pain management that also includes non-opioid analgesics, adjuvant therapies, psychological support, and active treatments (e.g., exercise). Submitted documents show no evidence that the treating physician is prescribing opioids in accordance to change in pain relief, functional goals with demonstrated improvement in daily activities, decreased in medical utilization or change in functional status. There is no evidence presented of random drug testing or utilization of pain contract to adequately monitor for narcotic safety, efficacy, and compliance. The MTUS provides requirements of the treating physician to assess and document for functional improvement with treatment intervention and maintenance of function that would otherwise deteriorate if not supported. From the submitted reports, there is no demonstrated evidence of specific functional benefit derived from the continuing use of opioids with persistent severe pain for this chronic injury without acute flare, new injury, or progressive deterioration. The 90 Tramadol ER 150mg is not medically necessary and appropriate.