

Case Number:	CM15-0073194		
Date Assigned:	04/23/2015	Date of Injury:	08/10/2010
Decision Date:	05/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/24/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/17/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 67 year old woman sustained an industrial injury on 8/10/2010 after a slip and fall accident. Evaluations include right shoulder MRI date 11/5/2013. Diagnoses include right shoulder impingement syndrome. Treatment has included oral medications, physical therapy, and cortisone injections. Physician notes dated 11/10/2014 show persistent right shoulder pain. Recommendations include surgical intervention with associated pre and post-operative services and equipment.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Associated surgical service: cold therapy unit, unspecified duration: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Shoulder Chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation ODG Shoulder Chapter, Continuous flow cryotherapy.

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM is silent on the issue of shoulder cryotherapy. According to ODG Shoulder Chapter, Continuous flow cryotherapy, it is recommended immediately postoperatively for upwards of 7 days. In this case, the request is for an unspecified amount of days. Therefore, the request is not medically necessary and the determination is for non-certification.

Associated surgical service: surgi-Stim unit for 90 days, then purchase: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation (ICS).

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Interferential Current Stimulation Page(s): 118-119.

Decision rationale: Regarding the Interferential Current Stimulation (ICS), the California MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines, Interferential Current Stimulation, pages 118-119 state, "Not recommended as an isolated intervention. There is no quality evidence of effectiveness except in conjunction with recommended treatments, including return to work, exercise and medications, and limited evidence of improvement on those recommended treatments alone. The randomized trials that have evaluated the effectiveness of this treatment have included studies for back pain, jaw pain, soft tissue shoulder pain, cervical neck pain and post-operative knee pain. The findings from these trials were either negative or non-interpretable for recommendation due to poor study design and/or methodologic issues." As there is insufficient medical evidence regarding use in this clinical scenario, the request is not medically necessary.

Associated surgical service: continuous passive motion (CPM) device for 45 days home use: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Shoulder Chapter, Continuous passive motion (CPM).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Shoulder Chapter, Continuous passive motion (CPM).

Decision rationale: CA MTUS/ACOEM guidelines are silent on the issue of CPM machine. According to the Official Disability Guidelines, Shoulder Chapter, Continuous passive motion (CPM), CPM is recommended for patients with adhesive capsulitis but not with patients with rotator cuff pathology primarily. With regards to adhesive capsulitis it is recommended for 4 weeks. As there is no evidence preoperatively of adhesive capsulitis in the exam note of 10/10/14, the request is not medically necessary.