

Case Number:	CM15-0072827		
Date Assigned:	04/23/2015	Date of Injury:	11/04/2010
Decision Date:	05/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/07/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/16/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 54 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 11/4/10. She subsequently reported left ankle pain. Diagnoses include degenerative joint disease of bilateral knees. Treatments to date have included x-rays, injections, surgery, physical therapy and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience left sciatic nerve, left ankle and left knee pain. A request for 2 Synvisc One Injections for the Bilateral Knees with ultrasound guidance (one injection per knee) was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

2 Synvisc One Injections for the Bilateral Knees with ultrasound guidance (one injection per knee): Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 13 Knee Complaints Page(s): 337-352. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Knee, Hyaluronic acid injections.

Decision rationale: Synvisc is a high molecular weight hyaluronan. MTUS is silent regarding the use of ultrasound guided orthovisc injections. While ACOEM guidelines do not specifically mention guidelines for usage of ultrasound guided orthovisc injections, it does state that "Invasive techniques, such as needle aspiration of effusions or prepatellar bursal fluid and cortisone injections, are not routinely indicated. Knee aspirations carry inherent risks of subsequent intraarticular infection." ODG recommends as guideline for Hyaluronic acid injections. "Patients experience significantly symptomatic osteoarthritis but have not responded adequately to recommended conservative non-pharmacologic (e.g., exercise) and pharmacologic treatments or are intolerant of these therapies (e.g., gastrointestinal problems related to anti-inflammatory medications), after at least 3 months. Documented symptomatic severe osteoarthritis of the knee, which may include the following: Bony enlargement; Bony tenderness; Crepitus (noisy, grating sound) on active motion; Less than 30 minutes of morning stiffness; No palpable warmth of synovium; Over 50 years of age. Pain interferes with functional activities (e.g., ambulation, prolonged standing) and not attributed to other forms of joint disease. Failure to adequately respond to aspiration and injection of intra-articular steroids." ODG states that "This RCT found there was no benefit of hyaluronic acid injection after knee arthroscopic meniscectomy in the first 6 weeks after surgery, and concluded that routine use of HA after knee arthroscopy cannot be recommended." Additionally, ODG states that Hyaluronic acid injections "Generally performed without fluoroscopic or ultrasound guidance." While the patient does have bilateral knee osteoarthritis, guidelines recommend against the use of ultrasound guidance for this type of injection. As such, the request for 2 Synvisc One Injections for the Bilateral Knees with ultrasound guidance (one injection per knee) is not medically necessary.