

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0072086		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/27/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	03/21/2013
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/29/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/31/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/15/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Neurological Surgery

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 44 year old, female who sustained a work related injury on 3/21/13. The diagnoses have included acquired spinal stenosis, low back pain and lower limb radiculopathy. The treatments have included lumbar epidural steroid injections, medications and physical therapy. In the PR-2 dated 3/5/15, the injured worker complains of persistent, worsening low back pain. She feels frequent radiating pain in legs. She feels like legs give way at times. She complains of numbness and tingling below the knees in both legs. She rates the pain level a 7/10. She has frequent spasm like sensations. The treatment plan is a request for authorization for lumbar surgery and associated pre- and postoperative treatments.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Decompression Laminectomy with fusion L5-S1 with blood transfusion: Upheld**

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 305-307.

**Decision rationale:** The California MTUS guidelines do recommend a spinal fusion for traumatic vertebral fracture, dislocation and instability. This patient has not had any of these events. The guidelines note that the efficacy of fusion in the absence of instability has not been proven. The California MTUS guidelines recommend surgery when the patient has had severe persistent, debilitating lower extremity complaints referable to a specific nerve root or spinal cord level corroborated by clear imaging, clinical examination and electrophysiological studies. Documentation does not provide this evidence. The guidelines note the patient would have failed a trial of conservative therapy. The guidelines note the surgical repair proposed for the lesion must have evidence of efficacy both in the short and long term. The requested treatment: Decompression Laminectomy with fusion L5-S1 with blood transfusion is NOT Medically necessary and appropriate.

**Associated service: Pre-Op Clearance:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated service: Assistant Surgeon:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated service: Hospital Admission 3-4 Days Stay:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Associated service: Front Wheel Walker & Elevated Toilet Commode:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Norco 10/325mg #40 po:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Keflex 500mg #15 Po:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Flexeril 10mg #40 Po:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.

**Restoril 15mg #10 Po:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

**Decision rationale:** Since the primary procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary.