

Case Number:	CM15-0071832		
Date Assigned:	04/22/2015	Date of Injury:	09/01/2008
Decision Date:	05/20/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/02/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/15/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Orthopedic Surgery

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 50 year old female injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 09/01/2008. The diagnoses included left rotator cuff impingement. The injured worker had been treated with right shoulder arthroscopy 2/20/2015 and medications. On 9/16/2014 the treating provider reported the cortisone injection to the left shoulder was wearing off. On this date the exam of the left shoulder was unremarkable. The treatment plan included Left shoulder arthroscopy, Assistant surgeon and Post-operative physical therapy.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Left shoulder arthroscopy with arthroscopic acromioplasty and rotator cuff repair:

Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 209 and 210. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Shoulder, Acromioplasty surgery.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS/ACOEM Shoulder Chapter, pages 209 and 210, surgical considerations for the shoulder include failure of four months of activity modification and existence of a surgical lesion. The ODG shoulder section, acromioplasty surgery recommends 3-6 months of conservative care plus a painful arc of motion from 90-130 degrees that is not present in the submitted clinical information from 9/1. In addition night pain and weak or absent abduction must be present. There must be tenderness over the rotator cuff or anterior acromial area and positive impingement signs with temporary relief from anesthetic injection. In this case the exam note from 9/16/14 does not demonstrate evidence satisfying the above criteria. Therefore the determination is not medically necessary.

Assistant surgeon: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation <http://www.aaos.org/about/papers/position/1120.asp>.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.

Post-operative physical therapy 2 times a week for 4 weeks: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not cite any medical evidence for its decision.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Postsurgical Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 26 and 27.

Decision rationale: As the requested surgical procedure is not medically necessary, none of the associated services are medically necessary and appropriate.