

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0071657		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/21/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	08/25/2003
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/20/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/26/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/14/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 69 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 8/25/2003. Diagnoses include post laminectomy syndrome lumbar, post laminectomy syndrome cervical and myofascial pain. Treatment to date has included surgical intervention (cervical fusion, 4/2001 and lumbar L4-S1 fusion in 2006), diagnostics, medications, application of heat and cold, and aquatic therapy. Per the Pain Management Progress Report dated 3/03/2015, the injured worker reported lower back pain with radiation down the posterior and lateral aspects of both lower extremities to his feet and neck pain that radiates to the right shoulder. Physical examination revealed an antalgic gait. There was marked tenderness in the midline of the lower lumbar spine particularly over the scar. There was tenderness in the paravertebral muscles left of the midline and marked tenderness over the midline of the cervical spine. There was increased tone and trigger points in the thoracic and lumbar paraspinal muscles. Lumbar and cervical ranges of motion were restricted in all planes. The plan of care included medications and authorization was requested for Soma 350mg and Voltaren gel 1%.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Soma 350mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Carisoprodol (Soma) and Muscle relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 29, 63-66. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Soma (Carisoprodol).

**Decision rationale:** Soma is the brand name version of the muscle relaxant carisoprodol. MTUS guidelines state that Soma is "Not recommended. This medication is not indicated for long-term use." MTUS continues by discussing several severe abuse, addiction, and withdrawal concerns regarding Soma. Soma is not recommended for longer than a 2 to 3 week period and that weaning of medication should occur, according to MTUS. The patient has been taking Soma in excess of guidelines and a prior reviewer denied Soma in 2014. As such, the request for Soma 350mg #90 is not medically necessary.

**Voltaren gel 1% #5 tubes:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical NSAIDs.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Compound creams.

**Decision rationale:** MTUS and ODG recommend usage of topical analgesics as an option, but also further details "primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed." The medical documents do not indicate failure of antidepressants or anti-convulsants. MTUS states, "There is little to no research to support the use of many of these agents. Any compounded product that contains at least one drug (or drug class) that is not recommended is not recommended." MTUS specifically states for Voltaren Gel 1% (diclofenac) that it is "Indicated for relief of osteoarthritis pain in joints that lend themselves to topical treatment (ankle, elbow, foot, hand, knee, and wrist). It has not been evaluated for treatment of the spine, hip or shoulder." Medical records do not indicate that the patient is being treated for osteoarthritis pain in the joints. As such, the request for Voltaren gel 1% #5 tubes is not medically necessary.