

Case Number:	CM15-0071205		
Date Assigned:	04/21/2015	Date of Injury:	04/07/2011
Decision Date:	05/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/31/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 47 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 04/07/2011. She reported injuries to her left pinky finger, shoulder, and elbow. The injured worker is currently diagnosed as having neck pain, disc protrusions at C3-C4, C4-C5, and C5-C6 with multilevel neural foraminal narrowing, cervical radiculopathy, cervical facet arthropathy, status post left shoulder surgery with residual pain, history of left hand fifth finger fracture, and history of gastric irritation possibly due to medication. Treatment and diagnostics to date has included left shoulder surgery, physical therapy, chiropractic treatment, and medications. In a progress note dated 03/02/2015, the injured worker presented with complaints of neck, left shoulder, and left upper extremity pain with tingling and numbness. The treating physician reported requesting authorization for Omeprazole.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Omeprazole 20mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Page(s): 68.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69.

Decision rationale: Omeprazole 20 mg # 60 is not medically necessary per the MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines. The guidelines state that the patient is at risk for gastrointestinal events if they meet the following criteria (1) age > 65 years; (2) history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding or perforation; (3) concurrent use of ASA, corticosteroids, and/or an anticoagulant; or (4) high dose/multiple NSAID (e.g., NSAID + low-dose ASA). The guidelines also state that a proton pump inhibitor can be considered if the patient has NSAID induced dyspepsia. The documentation does not indicate that the patient meets the criteria for a proton pump inhibitor therefore the request for Omeprazole is not medically necessary.