

Case Number:	CM15-0070884		
Date Assigned:	04/20/2015	Date of Injury:	11/05/2003
Decision Date:	06/15/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker (IW) is a 55 year old female who sustained an industrial injury on 11/05/2003. The initial injury and symptoms are not described. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar degenerative disk disease with associated lumbar facet syndrome; cervical degenerative disk disease with disk protrusion at C4-5, C5-6, and C6-7; bilateral impingement syndrome of shoulders, status post video arthroscopy and rotator cuff repairs of both shoulders. Treatment to date has included oral and topical medications. Currently, the injured worker complains of persistent pain in the neck with radiating pain in both parascapular regions with spasms in the clavicular region of the neck. She also complains of headaches. She is on the following medications which are requested as part of her plan of treatment: Norco, Lidoderm, Flexeril, and Prilosec.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Norco 10/325mg #60: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 76-80, 91 and 124.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids for the treatment of chronic pain Page(s): 91-97. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Opioids.

Decision rationale: According to the CA MTUS and the ODG, Norco 10/325mg (Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen) is a short-acting opioid analgesic indicated for moderate to moderately severe pain, and is used to manage both acute and chronic pain. The treatment of chronic pain with any opioid analgesic requires review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. A pain assessment should include current pain, intensity of pain after taking the opiate, and the duration of pain relief. In this case, there is no documentation of the medication's functional benefit. Medical necessity of the requested item has not been established. Of note, discontinuation of an opioid analgesic should include a taper, to avoid withdrawal symptoms. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Lidoderm patches 5% Qty: 30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical analgesics.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 56-57.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS Guidelines (2009), topical analgesics, such as the Lidoderm patches, are primarily recommended for neuropathic pain when trials of antidepressants and anticonvulsants have failed. These agents are applied topically to painful areas with advantages that include lack of systemic side effects, absence of drug interactions, and no need to titrate. Many agents are compounded as monotherapy or in combination for pain control, for example, NSAIDs, opioids, or antidepressants. Lidoderm is the brand name for a lidocaine patch. Topical lidocaine may be recommended for localized peripheral pain after there has been evidence of a trial of first-line therapy (tricyclic or SNRI anti-depressants, or an AED, such as gabapentin or Lyrica). Lidoderm patches are not a first-line treatment and are only FDA approved for post-herpetic neuralgia. Further research is needed to recommend this treatment for chronic neuropathic pain disorders other than post-herpetic neuralgia. Medical necessity for the requested item has not been established. The requested Lidoderm patches are not medically necessary.

Flexeril 10mg Qty: 60.00: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxant (for pain) Page(s): 63, 64.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63.

Decision rationale: According to the reviewed literature, Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is not recommended for the long-term treatment of chronic pain. This medication has its greatest effect in the first four days of treatment. Guidelines state that this medication is not recommended to be used for longer than 2-3 weeks. According to CA MTUS Guidelines, muscle relaxants are not considered any more effective than non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications alone. In this case, there are no muscle spasms documented on physical exam. There is no documentation of functional improvement from any previous use of this medication. Based on the currently available information, the medical necessity for this muscle relaxant medication has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Prilosec 20mg Qty: 30.00: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines PPIs Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to the California MTUS (2009), Omeprazole (Prilosec), is proton pump inhibitor (PPI) that is recommended for patients taking NSAIDs, with documented GI distress symptoms, or at risk for gastrointestinal events. GI risk factors include: age >65, history of peptic ulcer, GI bleeding, or perforation; concurrent use of aspirin, corticosteroids, and/or anticoagulants, or high dose/multiple NSAIDs. PPIs are highly effective for their approved indications, including preventing gastric ulcers induced by NSAIDs. There is no documentation indicating that this patient has had any GI symptoms or risk factors. The medical necessity for Prilosec has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.