

Case Number:	CM15-0070798		
Date Assigned:	04/20/2015	Date of Injury:	05/02/2011
Decision Date:	05/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/07/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 55 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/2/11. The injured worker was diagnosed as having left carpal metacarpal sprain, post arthroplasty, right wrist carpal metacarpal arthroplasty and left knee posttraumatic arthritis. Treatment to date has included carpal tunnel release, physical therapy, oral medications including opioids, TENS unit and home exercise program. Currently, the injured worker complains of left thumb weakness. A treatment plan included request for renewal of Zolpidem, Tylenol #3 and TENS unit supplies. Physical exam noted left thumb basilar joint is stable and finger range of motion is much improved.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

TENS supplies times 12 months: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 114-117 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for TENS supplies, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines state that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is not recommended as a primary treatment modality, but a one-month home-based TENS trial may be considered as a noninvasive conservative option for neuropathic pain if used as an adjunct to a program of evidence-based functional restoration. Guidelines recommend failure of other appropriate pain modalities including medications prior to a TENS unit trial. Prior to TENS unit purchase, one month trial should be documented as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach, with documentation of how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has neuropathic pain and there is no evidence of efficacy from prior use in the form of quantified pain relief, functional improvement, decreased use of pain medication, etc. In the absence of clarity regarding those issues, the currently requested TENS supplies are not medically necessary.

Zolpidem 10mg: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG).

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES (ODG) Chronic Pain, Sleep Medication, Insomnia treatment.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for zolpidem (Ambien), California MTUS guidelines are silent regarding the use of sedative hypnotic agents. ODG recommends the short-term use (usually two to six weeks) of pharmacological agents only after careful evaluation of potential causes of sleep disturbance. They go on to state the failure of sleep disturbances to resolve in 7 to 10 days, may indicate a psychiatric or medical illness. Within the documentation available for review, there is no current description of the patient's insomnia, no discussion regarding what behavioral treatments have been attempted, and no statement indicating how the patient has responded to Ambien treatment. Furthermore, there is no indication that Ambien is being used for short term use as recommended by guidelines. In the absence of such documentation, the currently requested zolpidem (Ambien) is not medically necessary.