

Case Number:	CM15-0070697		
Date Assigned:	04/20/2015	Date of Injury:	06/30/2014
Decision Date:	05/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/19/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/14/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The 28 year old male injured worker suffered an industrial injury on 06/30/2014. The diagnoses included myofascial pain, tendonitis and carpal tunnel syndrome. The diagnostics included electromyographic studies /nerve conduction velocity studies and magnetic resonance imaging of the left wrist. The injured worker had been treated with medications. On 3/12/2015, the treating provider reported decreased reflexes in the upper extremities, swelling in the left wrist and a hard mass noted with concern for a ganglion cyst. The treatment plan included H-wave device purchase.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Home H-wave device purchase: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines H-wave stimulation.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Transcutaneous Electrotherapy, H-Wave Stimulation, pages 115-118.

Decision rationale: The MTUS guidelines recommend a one-month HWT rental trial to be appropriate to permit the physician and provider licensed to provide physical therapy to study the effects and benefits, and it should be documented (as an adjunct to ongoing treatment modalities within a functional restoration approach) as to how often the unit was used, as well as outcomes in terms of pain relief and function. Trial periods of more than one month should be justified by documentation submitted for review; however, there is no documentation the patient has undergone trial use nor is there any documented consistent pain relief in terms of decreasing medication dosing and clear specific objective functional improvement in ADLs demonstrated. No trial treatment of TENS unit has occurred nor any outcome from functional restoration approach been identified. The Home H-wave device purchase is not medically necessary and appropriate.