

Case Number:	CM15-0069926		
Date Assigned:	04/17/2015	Date of Injury:	05/13/2013
Decision Date:	05/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/08/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 66-year-old male with an industrial injury dated May 13, 2013. The injured worker diagnoses include lumbar sprain hip and thigh, lumbar sprain sacroiliac, and lumbar disc displacement. Treatment consisted of prescribed medications, therapy, consultations and periodic follow up visits. According to the most recent progress report dated 3/18/2015, the injured worker reported low back pain and left buttock pain. Physical exam revealed painful right rotation and marked pain with right facet loading. The current diagnoses consisted of lumbar spine injury, sacroiliac joint, facet, disc all possible, with non-verified radiculopathy. The treating physician prescribed services for physical therapy x 12 to the lumbar spine now under review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Physical therapy x12 to the lumbar spine: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines physical medicine Page(s): 98-99.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 287-315, Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Physical Therapy, Physical Medicine Page(s): 98-99. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Low Back - Lumbar & Thoracic (Acute & Chronic), Physical Therapy.

Decision rationale: California MTUS guidelines refer to physical medicine guidelines for physical therapy and recommends as follows: "Allow for fading of treatment frequency (from up to 3 visits per week to 1 or less), plus active self-directed home Physical Medicine." Additionally, ACOEM guidelines advise against passive modalities by a therapist unless exercises are to be carried out at home by patient. ODG quantifies its recommendations with 10 visits over 8 weeks for lumbar sprains/strains and 9 visits over 8 weeks for unspecified backache/lumbago. ODG further states that a "six-visit clinical trial" of physical therapy with documented objective and subjective improvements should occur initially before additional sessions are to be warranted. Medical records provided do not specify if this patient has attended physical therapy in the past, or the results of that therapy. Additionally, guidelines recommend a six-visit clinic a trial of physical therapy with documentation objective and subjective improvements before additional sessions are warranted. As such, the request for Physical therapy x 12 to the lumbar spine is not medically necessary.