

Case Number:	CM15-0069825		
Date Assigned:	04/17/2015	Date of Injury:	01/24/2012
Decision Date:	05/18/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/31/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/13/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Neuromuscular Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 56 year old female with an industrial injury dated January 24, 2012. The injured worker diagnoses include impingement syndrome of left shoulder. Treatment consisted of diagnostic studies, prescribed medications, and periodic follow up visits. A 2/17/15 progress note indicated evidence of impingement with no frank rotator cuff pathology. Her motion is diminished in the left side. She has full passive range of motion. There is no evidence of adhesive capsulitis of the right or left shoulder. In a progress note dated 3/17/2015, the injured worker reported pain in the shoulder and tingling in her left hand. Phalen's test was noted to cause left wrist pain. The treating physician prescribed services for MRI left shoulder now under review.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI left shoulder: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 207-209.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 9 Shoulder Complaints Page(s): 208. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Shoulder-MRI.

Decision rationale: MRI of the left shoulder is not medically necessary per the MTUS and the ODG Guidelines. The ACOEM MTUS Criteria state that the primary criteria for ordering imaging studies are: emergence of a red flag (e.g., indications of intra-abdominal or cardiac problems presenting as shoulder problems); physiologic evidence of tissue insult or neurovascular dysfunction (e.g.,cervical root problems presenting as shoulder pain, weakness from a massive rotator cuff tear, or the presence of edema, cyanosis or Reynaud's phenomenon); failure to progress in a strengthening program intended to avoid surgery; clarification of the anatomy prior to an invasive procedure (e.g., a full thickness rotator cuff tear not responding to conservative treatment). The documentation does not reveal a red flag condition or physical exam findings suggestive of significant pathology. The request for an MRI of the left shoulder is not medically necessary.