

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0069439		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/17/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	06/21/2002
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/20/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/19/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/13/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Maryland, Texas, Virginia

Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Allergy and Immunology, Rheumatology

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 49-year-old male with an industrial injury dated 06/21/2002. His diagnosis includes low back pain, chronic; lumbar radiculopathy and post laminectomy syndrome of lumbar region. Prior treatment includes medications, spinal cord stimulator, diagnostics, physical therapy and surgery of spine and knee. He presents on 02/12/2015 with complaints of back and left leg pain. Physical exam of the lumbar spine revealed continued tightness of the paraspinal without spasm, decreased pelvic rotation on forward flexion and tightness and tenderness above the level of fusion. Strength was decreased in bilateral lower extremities. Treatment plan included home heat and ice therapy, continuing home exercising, medication for sleep, muscle relaxants and pain medication.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Dilaudid 4mg, QTY: 210:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Opioids Page(s): 51, 74-96. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Opioids.

**Decision rationale:** Per MTUS, Dilaudid is the brand name version of Hydromorphone, which is a pure agonist/short acting opioid and "they are often used for intermittent or breakthrough pain." ODG does not recommend the use of opioids for low back pain "except for short use for severe cases, not to exceed 2 weeks." The patient has exceeded the 2 week recommended treatment length for opioid usage. MTUS does not discourage use of opioids past 2 weeks, but does state that "ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use, and side effects. Pain assessment should include current pain; the least reported pain over the period since last assessment; average pain; intensity of pain after taking the opioid; how long it takes for pain relief; and how long pain relief lasts. Satisfactory response to treatment may be indicated by the patient's decreased pain, increased level of function, or improved quality of life." The treating physician does not document any of the following: the least reported pain over the period since last assessment, intensity of pain after taking opioid, pain relief. The treating physician does not detail the functional improvement while on this medication. The patient is not currently working and there are noted activities but no further detail. The UR modified the request to allow for a wean which is appropriate. As such, the question for Dilaudid 4mg, #210 is not medically necessary.

**Flexeril 10mg, QTY: 90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain); Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) Page(s): 64-66.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Cyclobenzaprine, Medications for chronic pain, Antispasmodics Page(s): 41-42, 60-61, 64-66. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril ½).

**Decision rationale:** MTUS Chronic Pain Medical Treatment states for Cyclobenzaprine, "Recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. The effect is greatest in the first 4 days of treatment, suggesting that shorter courses may be better. (Browning, 2001) Treatment should be brief." The medical documents indicate that patient is far in excess of the initial treatment window and period. Additionally, MTUS outlines that "Relief of pain with the use of medications is generally temporary, and measures of the lasting benefit from this modality should include evaluating the effect of pain relief in relationship to improvements in function and increased activity. Before prescribing any medication for pain, the following should occur: (1) determine the aim of use of the medication; (2) determine the potential benefits and adverse effects; (3) determine the patient's preference. Only one medication should be given at a time, and interventions that are active and passive should remain unchanged at the time of the medication change. A trial should be given for each individual medication. Analgesic medications should show effects within 1 to 3 days, and the analgesic effect of antidepressants should occur within 1 week. A record of pain and function with the medication should be recorded. (Mens, 2005)" Uptodate "flexeril" also recommends "Do not use longer than 2-3

weeks." Medical documents do not fully detail the components outlined in the guidelines above and do not establish the need for long term/chronic usage of cyclobenzaprine. ODG states regarding cyclobenzaprine, "Recommended as an option, using a short course of therapy. The addition of cyclobenzaprine to other agents is not recommended." Several other pain medications are being requested, along with cyclobenzaprine, which ODG recommends against. As such, the request for Flexeril 10mg #90 is not medically necessary.

**Ambien 12.5mg, QTY: 30:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG), Ambien (Zolpidem).

**MAXIMUS guideline:** The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Zolpidem, insomnia treatment.

**Decision rationale:** The CA MTUS silent regarding this topic. ODG states that zolpidem is a prescription short acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for short-term treatment of insomnia. In this case, the patient has been taking this medication for greater than 2 months. There has been no discussion of the patient's sleep hygiene or the need for variance from the guidelines, such as "a) Wake at the same time everyday; (b) Maintain a consistent bedtime; (c) Exercise regularly (not within 2 to 4 hours of bedtime); (d) Perform relaxing activities before bedtime; (e) Keep your bedroom quiet and cool; (f) Do not watch the clock; (g) Avoid caffeine and nicotine for at least six hours before bed; (h) Only drink in moderation; & (i) Avoid napping." Medical documents also do not include results of these first line treatments, if they were used in treatment of the patient's insomnia. ODG additionally states "The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning." Medical documents provided do not detail these components. As such, the request for Ambien 12.5mg Qty: 30 is not medically necessary at this time.