

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0069386		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/17/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	01/15/2009
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/18/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	04/02/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/13/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:  
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Pennsylvania, Washington  
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Internal Medicine, Geriatric Medicine

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 51 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 1/15/2009. The medical records submitted for this reveal did not include the details regarding the initial injury. Diagnoses include radiculopathy, sacroiliac joint dysfunction, discogenic spine pain and lumbar stenosis. Treatments to date include medication therapy, physical therapy, epidural injection, cortisone joint injection and home exercise. Currently, she complained of back pain with radiation down bilateral lower extremities. On 3/20/15, the physical examination documented tenderness over lumbar facets with decreased range of motion. The plan of care included continuation of Percocet as previously prescribed along with home exercise, moist heat and stretches.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**Percocet 10-325mg #90:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines opioids.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 9792.20, 9792.26 Page(s): 74-80.

**Decision rationale:** This injured worker has chronic pain with an injury sustained in 2009. The medical course has included numerous treatment modalities and use of several medications including narcotics. Per the guidelines, in opioid use, ongoing review and documentation of pain relief, functional status, appropriate medication use and side effects is required. Satisfactory response to treatment may be reflected in decreased pain, increased level of function or improved quality of life. The MD visit fails to document any significant improvement in pain, functional status or a discussion of side effects specifically related to Percocet to justify use per the guidelines. Additionally, the long-term efficacy of opioids for chronic back pain is unclear but appears limited. The medical necessity of Percocet is not substantiated in the records.