

<b>Case Number:</b>	CM15-0068123		
<b>Date Assigned:</b>	04/15/2015	<b>Date of Injury:</b>	11/29/1979
<b>Decision Date:</b>	05/14/2015	<b>UR Denial Date:</b>	03/13/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard	<b>Application Received:</b>	04/10/2015

### HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

### CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 76 year old male who sustained an industrial injury on 11/29/79. The injured worker reported symptoms in the foot. The injured worker was diagnosed as having thoracic stenosis status post fusion. Treatments to date have included anti-inflammatories, injections, status post multiple surgeries and oral pain medication. Currently, the injured worker complains of foot pain. The plan of care was for medication prescriptions and a follow up appointment at a later date.

### IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

**60 Lidocaine Patch with 1 refill:** Upheld

**Claims Administrator guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Lidoderm (lidocaine patch) Page(s): 56-57.

**MAXIMUS guideline:** Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 112 of 127.

**Decision rationale:** Regarding request for topical Lidoderm, Chronic Pain Medical Treatment Guidelines recommend the use of topical Lidocaine for localized peripheral pain after there has

been evidence of a trial of the 1st line therapy such as tri-cyclic antidepressants, SNRIs, or antiepileptic drugs. Within the documentation available for review, there is no indication that the patient has failed first-line therapy recommendations. Additionally, there is no documentation of analgesic effect or objective functional improvement as a result of Lidoderm, if it has been previously prescribed. As such, the currently requested Lidoderm is not medically necessary.