

Case Number:	CM15-0068019		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	05/16/2011
Decision Date:	05/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: California

Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pain Management

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 39 year old female, who sustained an industrial injury on 5/16/11. She reported right ankle pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having tibialis tendinitis, ankle enthesopathy, sprain distal tibiofibula, and ankle joint pain. Treatment to date has included ankle injections with Euflexxa and platelet rich plasma as well as oral medications. Currently, the injured worker complains of right ankle pain. The treating physician requested authorization for Flector patches 1.3% #30. The treating physician noted the Flector patches are needed for the right foot and ankle to help treat and relieve her symptomology.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Flector patches 1.3% quantity 30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Non Steroidal Anti Inflammatory Drugs Page(s): 67.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines 8 C.C.R. 9792.20 - 9792.26 MTUS (Effective July 18, 2009) Page(s): 111-113 of 127.

Decision rationale: Regarding the request for Flector, CA MTUS states that topical NSAIDs are indicated for "Osteoarthritis and tendinitis, in particular, that of the knee and elbow or other joints that are amenable to topical treatment: Recommended for short-term use (4-12 weeks). There is little evidence to utilize topical NSAIDs for treatment of osteoarthritis of the spine, hip or shoulder. Neuropathic pain: Not recommended as there is no evidence to support use." Within the documentation available for review, none of the abovementioned criteria have been documented. Given all of the above, the requested Flector is not medically necessary.