

Case Number:	CM15-0067734		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	08/21/2009
Decision Date:	05/19/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: New York, Tennessee
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Emergency Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 53 year old male, who sustained an industrial injury on August 21, 2009. The injured worker reported back pain. The injured worker was diagnosed as having lumbar intervertebral disc displacement, lumbar radiculopathy and left hip arthroscopy. Treatment and diagnostic studies to date have included surgery, medication and physical therapy. A progress note dated March 12, 2015 provides the injured worker complains of low back and leg pain with numbness and tingling. He has had a fair up since an injury during physical therapy after lumbar surgery. His pain is greater in legs than back and primarily in the left leg. Physical exam notes well healed lumbar surgical scar with normal straight leg raises, heel and toe walking, deep knee bending and gait. The plan includes medication diagnostic tests and follow-up.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

MRI of The Lumbar Spine with and without Gad: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS ACOEM Chapter 12 Low Back Complaints Page(s): 303. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines Low Back - Lumbar and Thoracic MRI's.

Decision rationale: Imaging of the lumbosacral spine is indicated in patients with unequivocal objective findings that identify specific nerve compromise on the neurologic examination who do not respond to treatment and who would consider surgery an option. When the neurologic examination is less clear, however, further physiologic evidence of nerve dysfunction should be obtained before ordering an imaging study. Indiscriminant imaging will result in false-positive findings, such as disk bulges, that are not the source of painful symptoms and do not warrant surgery. Further investigation is indicated in patients with history of tumor, infection, abdominal aneurysm, or other related serious conditions, who have positive findings on examination. MRI of the spine is recommended for indications below. MRI's are test of choice for patients with prior back surgery. MRI of the lumbar spine for uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, is not recommended until after at least one month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit. Repeat MRI is not routinely recommended, and should be reserved for a significant change in symptoms and/or findings suggestive of significant pathology (eg, tumor, infection, fracture, neurocompression, recurrent disc herniation). Indications for imaging Magnetic resonance imaging: Thoracic spine trauma: with neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: trauma, neurological deficit, Lumbar spine trauma: seat belt (chance) fracture (If focal, radicular findings or other neurologic deficit), Uncomplicated low back pain, suspicion of cancer, infection, other "red flags," Uncomplicated low back pain, with radiculopathy, after at least 1 month conservative therapy, sooner if severe or progressive neurologic deficit. Uncomplicated low back pain, prior lumbar surgery, Uncomplicated low back pain, cauda equina syndrome- Myelopathy (neurological deficit related to the spinal cord), traumatic- Myelopathy, painful- Myelopathy, sudden onset- Myelopathy, stepwise progressive- Myelopathy, slowly progressive- Myelopathy, infectious disease patient-Myelopathy, oncology patient. In this case, there is no documentation of red flags or significant change in the patient's symptoms or findings. MRI of the lumbar spine is not indicated. The request should not be authorized. The request is not medically necessary.