

Case Number:	CM15-0067661		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	06/29/2012
Decision Date:	05/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/03/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:
 State(s) of Licensure: California
 Certification(s)/Specialty: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

The injured worker is a 36-year-old male with an industrial injury dated 06/29/2012. His diagnoses include lumbar degenerative disc disease, ischial tuberosity, myofascial pain, hypertension and lumbar radiculopathy. Prior treatment included medications and chiropractic therapy. He presents on 03/17/2015 with complaints of low back pain radiating to left lower groin, left leg and knee. Physical exam noted decreased range of motion in the lumbar area. Treatment plan consisted of medications to include pain patch.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Lidopro patches 5% QTY: 90.00: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Analgesics Page(s): 111-113.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Topical Medications, Pages 111- 113.

Decision rationale: The patient exhibits diffuse tenderness and pain on the exam to the spine and extremities with radiating symptoms. The chance of any type of patch improving generalized

symptoms and functionality significantly with such diffuse pain is very unlikely. Topical Lidoderm patch is indicated for post-herpetic neuralgia, according to the manufacturer. There is no evidence in any of the medical records that this patient has a neuropathic source for the diffuse pain. Without documentation of clear localized, peripheral pain to support treatment with Lidoderm along with functional benefit from treatment already rendered, medical necessity has not been established. There is no documentation of intolerance to oral medication as the patient is also on other oral analgesics. The Lidopro patches 5% QTY: 90.00 is not medically necessary and appropriate.