

Case Number:	CM15-0067626		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	03/26/2011
Decision Date:	06/03/2015	UR Denial Date:	03/04/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: New York

Certification(s)/Specialty: Anesthesiology

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 51 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 3/26/11. She subsequently reported low back pain. Diagnoses include lumbar disc disease, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar facet syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. Treatments to date have included x-rays, MRIs, injections, surgery, therapy and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience low back pain, headaches and neck pain with radiation to the upper extremities. A request for Omeprazole, Ondansetron, Cyclobenzaprine and Fenoprofen medications was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Omeprazole 20mg 1 po PRN upset stomach #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk Page(s): 68-69.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines PPIs Page(s): 68.

Decision rationale: According to CA MTUS (2009), proton pump inhibitors, such as Omeprazole (Prilosec), are recommended for patients taking NSAIDs with documented GI distress symptoms or specific GI risk factors. Risk factors include, age >65, history of peptic ulcer disease, GI bleeding, concurrent use of aspirin, corticosteroids, and/or anticoagulants or high-dose/multiple NSAIDs. There is no documentation indicating the patient has any GI symptoms or GI risk factors. Based on the available information provided for review, the medical necessity for Omeprazole has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Ondansetron 8mg ODT 1 PRN no more than 2/day #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain chapter.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation UpToDate.

Decision rationale: Ondansetron (Zofran) is used to prevent nausea and vomiting that may be caused by anesthesia/surgery, or chemotherapy or radiation therapy. It is also approved for use acutely with gastroenteritis. Ondansetron is not used and is ineffective for nausea associated with narcotic analgesics. There is no specific indication for the requested medication. Medical necessity for the requested medication has not been established. The requested medication is not medically necessary.

Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Tablets 7.5mg OrallyQ 8hrs/PRN Pain and Spasm #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle relaxants (for pain) Page(s): 63-64.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines Muscle Relaxants Page(s): 63.

Decision rationale: According to the reviewed literature, Cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) is not recommended for the long-term treatment of chronic pain. This medication has its greatest effect in the first four days of treatment. In addition, this medication is not recommended to be used for longer than 2-3 weeks. According to CA MTUS Guidelines, muscle relaxants are not considered any more effective than nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications alone. In this case, the available records show that the patient has not shown a documented benefit or any functional improvement from prior Cyclobenzaprine use. Based on the currently available information, the medical necessity for this muscle relaxant medication has not been established. The requested treatment is not medically necessary.

Fenoprofen Calcium (Nalfon) 400mg 1 pill TID #120: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs, GI symptoms & cardiovascular risk.

MAXIMUS guideline: Decision based on MTUS Chronic Pain Treatment Guidelines NSAIDs Page(s): 67-71. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) NSAIDs.

Decision rationale: Fenoprofen calcium (Nalfon) is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Oral NSAIDs are recommended for the treatment of chronic pain and control of inflammation as a second-line therapy after acetaminophen. According to the California MTUS guidelines, NSAIDs reduce pain so activity and functional restoration can resume, but long-term use may not be warranted. The ODG states that NSAIDs are recommended for acute pain, acute low back pain (LBP), short-term pain relief in chronic LBP, and short-term improvement of function in chronic LBP. There is no evidence of long-term effectiveness for pain or function. There is inconsistent evidence for the use of NSAIDs to treat long-term neuropathic pain, but they may be useful to treat breakthrough pain. Guidelines indicate that Fenoprofen should not be used unless there is a sound medical basis for not using a safer or more effective alternative NSAID. In this case, there was no rationale provided which explained the request for Fenoprofen. Medical necessity of the requested medication has not been established. The requested item is not medically necessary.