

Case Number:	CM15-0067622		
Date Assigned:	04/15/2015	Date of Injury:	03/26/2011
Decision Date:	05/14/2015	UR Denial Date:	04/01/2015
Priority:	Standard	Application Received:	04/09/2015

HOW THE IMR FINAL DETERMINATION WAS MADE

MAXIMUS Federal Services sent the complete case file to an expert reviewer. He/she has no affiliation with the employer, employee, providers or the claims administrator. He/she has been in active clinical practice for more than five years and is currently working at least 24 hours a week in active practice. The expert reviewer was selected based on his/her clinical experience, education, background, and expertise in the same or similar specialties that evaluate and/or treat the medical condition and disputed items/Service. He/she is familiar with governing laws and regulations, including the strength of evidence hierarchy that applies to Independent Medical Review determinations.

The Expert Reviewer has the following credentials:

State(s) of Licensure: Iowa, Illinois, Hawaii

Certification(s)/Specialty: Preventive Medicine, Occupational Medicine, Public Health & General Preventive Medicine

CLINICAL CASE SUMMARY

The expert reviewer developed the following clinical case summary based on a review of the case file, including all medical records:

This 51 year old female sustained an industrial injury on 3/26/11. She subsequently reported low back pain. Diagnoses include lumbar disc disease, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar facet syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. Treatments to date have included x-rays, MRIs, injections, surgery, therapy and prescription pain medications. The injured worker continues to experience low back pain. A request for Ambien medication was made by the treating physician.

IMR ISSUES, DECISIONS AND RATIONALES

The Final Determination was based on decisions for the disputed items/services set forth below:

Ambien 10mg 1 tablet oral at bedtime #30: Upheld

Claims Administrator guideline: The Claims Administrator did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines, Pain, Insomnia Treatment and Zolpidem.

MAXIMUS guideline: The Expert Reviewer did not base their decision on the MTUS. Decision based on Non-MTUS Citation Official Disability Guidelines (ODG) Pain, Zolpidem, insomnia treatment.

Decision rationale: The CA MTUS is silent regarding this topic. ODG states that zolpidem is a prescription short acting non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, which is approved for short-term treatment of insomnia. In this case, the patient has been taking this medication as early as July 2013. There has been no discussion of the patient's sleep hygiene or the need for variance from the guidelines, such as “(a) Wake at the same time everyday; (b) Maintain a consistent bedtime; (c) Exercise regularly (not within 2 to 4 hours of bedtime); (d) Perform relaxing activities before bedtime; (e) Keep your bedroom quiet and cool; (f) Do not watch the clock; (g) Avoid caffeine and nicotine for at least six hours before bed; (h) Only drink in moderation; & (i) Avoid napping.” Medical documents also do not include results of these first line treatments, if they were used in treatment of the patient's insomnia. ODG additionally states “The specific component of insomnia should be addressed: (a) Sleep onset; (b) Sleep maintenance; (c) Sleep quality; & (d) Next-day functioning.” Medical documents provided do not detail these components. As such, the request for Ambien 10mg 1 tablet oral at bedtime #30 is not medically necessary at this time.